

6; Ohio Rev. Code Ann. 2915.01–2915.06 (1996); Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 3A, 205.6 (West 1993); Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 21, 941.993 (West 2002); Or. Rev. Stat. 167.108–167.170 (2001); Pa. Stat. Ann. tit. 18, 911 (Purdon 1998); Pa. Stat. Ann. tit. 18, 5513 (Purdon 2000); Pa. Stat. Ann. tit. 66, 2902 (Purdon 2000); R.I. Const. art. VI, 22; R.I. Gen. Laws 11–19–1 to 11–19–45 (1993); R.I. Gen. Laws 11–51–1 to 11–51–2 (1979); S.C. Code Ann. 16–19–10 to 16–19–160 (Law Cop. 1996); S.D. Codified Laws 22–25–1 to 22–25–51 (Michie 1976); S.D. Codified Laws 22–25A–1 to 22–25A–15 (Michie 2000); Tenn. Const. art. XI, V; Tenn. Code Ann. 39–17–501 to 39–17–509 (1989); Tex. Penal Code Ann. 47.01 to 47.10 (West 2003); Utah Code Ann. 76–10–1101 to 76–10–1109 (1998); Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 13, 2133–2156 (1957); Va. Code Ann. 18.2–325 to 18.2–340 (Michie 1992); Wash. Rev. Code Ann. 4.24.070 (West 1988); Wash. Rev. Code Ann. 9.46.010 to 9.46.903 (West 1998); W. Va. Code 61–10–1 to 61–10–5 (1970); Wis. Const. art. IV, 24; Wis. Stat. Ann. 945.01–945.13 (West 2001); Wyo. Stat. 6–7–101 to 6–7–104 (1996); 9 Guam Code Ann. 64.10 to 64.22A (2003); P.R. Laws Ann. tit. 33, 1241 to 1259 (1949); V.I. Code Ann. tit. 14, 1224–1226 (1985); V.I. Code Ann. tit. 32, 602–646 (2001).

C. Other Materials: *United States v. Cohen*, 260 F.3d 68 (2nd Cir. 2001), cert. denied, 122 S. Ct. 2587 (2002); Florida Attorney General, Press Release: Western Union Cuts Off Sports Betting Accounts (December 23, 1997); Kansas; Op. Att’y Gen. No. 96–31 (March 25, 1996); Kansas Attorney General, Internet Gambling Warning (visited March 13, 2003); <http://www.accesskansas.org/ksag/contents/consumer/internetwarning.htm>; Michigan Gaming Control Board, Frequently Asked Questions: Is it Legal to Gamble Over the Internet in Michigan <http://www.michigan.gov/mgcb/0,1607,7-120-7863-19182-F,00.html>; Minnesota Attorney General, Statement of Minnesota Attorney General on Internet Jurisdiction (visited March 13, 2003) <http://www.jmls.edu/cyber/docs/minn-ag.html>; *Vacco ex rel. People v. World Interactive Gaming Corp.*, 714 N.Y.S.2d 844, 854 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1999); New York Attorney General, Press Release: Ten Banks End Online Gambling With Credit Cards + Spitzer Hails Establishment of New Banking Industry Standard (11 February 2003); New York Attorney General, Press Release: Agreement Reached with PayPal to Bar New Yorkers from Online Gambling + Campaign Against Illegal Gambling Web Site in New York Continues (21 August

2002); Attorney General of the State of the New York, Internet Bureau, In the matter of PayPal, Inc., Assurance of Discontinuance (16 August 2002); New York Attorney General, Press Release: Financial Giant Joins Fight Against Online Gambling + Leading Credit Card Issuer Agrees to Block Key Internet Transactions (14 June 2002); Attorney General of the State of New York, Internet Bureau, In the matter of Citibank (South Dakota), N.A., Assurance of Discontinuance (21 June 2002).

#### Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. Persons submitting comments may either send one copy by fax to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–3640, or transmit a copy electronically to [fr0087@ustr.gov](mailto:fr0087@ustr.gov), with “Gambling and Betting Dispute (DS285)” in the subject line. For documents sent by fax, USTR requests that the submitter provide a confirmation copy to the electronic mail address listed above.

USTR encourages the submission of documents in Adobe PDF format, as attachments to an electronic mail. Interested persons who make submissions by electronic mail should not provide separate cover letters; information that might appear in a cover letter should be included in the submission itself. Similarly, to the extent possible, any attachments to the submission should be included in the same file as the submission itself, and not as separate files.

A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitter. Confidential business information must be clearly marked “BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page of the submission.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitting person believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitting person—

- (1) Must so designate the information or advice;
- (2) Must clearly mark the material as “SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE” at the

top and bottom of each page of the cover page and each succeeding page; and

(3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room, which is located at 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; if a dispute settlement panel is convened, the U.S. submissions to that panel, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other participants in the dispute, as well as the report of the panel; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. An appointment to review the public file (Docket No. WT/DS–285, Gambling and Betting Dispute) may be made by calling the USTR Reading Room at (202) 395–6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**Daniel E. Brinza,**

*Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 03–19555 Filed 7–31–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

#### OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/DS–267]

#### WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Regarding United States Subsidies to Upland Cotton

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that on March 18, 2003, a dispute settlement panel was established at the request of the Government of Brazil under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (“WTO”) to examine “subsidies provided to U.S. producers, users and/or exporters of upland cotton.” Brazil alleges that these subsidies are inconsistent with the obligations of the United States under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (“GATT 1994”), the Agreement on Agriculture (“Agriculture Agreement”), and the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (“Subsidies Agreement”). USTR invites

written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

**DATES:** Although the USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before August 15, 2003, to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be submitted (i) Electronically, to *fr0088@ustr.gov*, Attn: "United States—Subsidies on Upland Cotton" in the subject line, or (ii) by fax, to Sandy McKinzy (Attn: United States—Subsidies on Upland Cotton) at 202–395–3640, with a confirmation copy sent electronically to the e-mail address above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Juan A. Millán, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC (202) 395–3581, or Sharon Bomer Lauritsen, Deputy Assistant USTR for Agricultural Affairs, (202) 395–6127.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 127(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)), USTR is providing notice that on February 6, 2003, Brazil requested the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel to examine Brazil's allegations concerning "subsidies provided to U.S. producers, users and/or exporters of upland cotton." On March 18, 2003, a WTO dispute settlement panel was established to consider this matter, and on May 19, 2003, the panel was composed by the WTO Direct General. The panel, which will hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, is expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations in January 2004. Argentina, Australia, Benin, Canada, Chad, China, Chinese Taipei, the European Communities, India, New Zealand, Pakistan, Paraguay, and Venezuela have notified the WTO of their intention to participate as third parties.

#### Major Issues Raised by Brazil

Brazil has challenged alleged "prohibited and actionable subsidies provided [by the United States] to U.S. producers, users and/or exporters of upland cotton, as well as legislation, regulations and statutory instruments and amendments thereto providing such subsidies (including export credit guarantees), grants, and any other assistance to the U.S. producers, users and exporters of upland cotton ('U.S. upland cotton industry')." Specific

programs identified by Brazil include marketing loans, loan deficiency payments, commodity certificates, direct payments, counter-cyclical payments, Step 2 certificate payments, export credit guarantees, and crop insurance.

Brazil contends that these U.S. measures, as such and as applied, are inconsistent with the obligations of the United States under Articles III:4, XVI:1, and XVI:3 of the GATT 1994; Articles 3.3, 7.1, 8, 9.1, and 10.1 of the Agriculture Agreement; and Articles 3.1(a), 3.1(b), 3.2, 5(a), 5(c), 6.3(b), 6.3(c), 6.3(d), and item (j) of the Illustrative List of Export Subsidies of the Subsidies Agreement.

#### Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Persons submitting comments may either send one copy by fax to Sandy McKinzy at 202–395–3640, or transmit a copy electronically to *fr0088@ustr.gov*, with "United States—Subsidies on Upland Cotton" in the subject line. For documents sent by fax, USTR requests that the submitter provide a confirmation copy electronically. USTR encourages the submission of documents in Adobe PDF format, as attachments to an electronic mail.

Interested persons who make submissions by electronic mail should not provide separate cover letters; information that might appear in a cover letter should be included in the submission itself. Similarly, to the extent possible, any attachments to the submission should be included in the same file as the submission itself and not as separate files.

A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person by treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitter. Confidential business information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page of the submission.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

(1) Must so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as "SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE" at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page of the submission; and

(3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room, located at 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; the U.S. submissions to the panel in the dispute, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other participants in the dispute, as well as the report of the panel; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. An appointment to review the public file may be made by calling the Reading Room at (202) 395–6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**Daniel E. Brinza,**

*Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 03–19556 Filed 7–31–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3190–01–M**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### Denial of Motor Vehicle Defect Petition

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Denial of petition for a defect investigation.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the reasons for the denial of a petition submitted by Mr. Jon Welch, dated February 15, 2003, and received by the NHTSA's Office of Defects Investigation (ODI) on March 10, 2003, under 49 U.S.C. 30162, requesting that the agency commence a proceeding to determine the existence of a defect related to motor vehicle safety with respect to the air bag system in model year (MY) 1999 Hyundai Sonata vehicles. After a review of the petition and other information, NHTSA has concluded that further expenditure of the agency's investigative resources on the issues raised by the petition does not appear to be warranted. The agency accordingly