
Lawrence Starfield,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

**Subpart SS—Texas**

2. In §52.2270 the table in paragraph (c) is amended under Chapter 117, Subchapter B, by adding a new entry heading as “Division 4—Cement Kilns”, adding new individual entries for sections “117.260, 117.261, 117.265, 117.273, 117.279, and 117.283”;

---

**EPA APPROVED REGULATIONS IN THE TEXAS SIP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State citation</th>
<th>Title/subject</th>
<th>State approval/submittal date</th>
<th>EPA approval date</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chapter 117 (Reg 7)—Control of Air Pollution From Nitrogen Compounds

| * | * | * | * | * | * |

Section 117.223 Source Cap 04/19/00 03/16/01, 66 FR 15200 (b)(1) Requires EPA’s approval.

Subchapter B—Division 4—Cement Kilns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 117.260</th>
<th>Cement Kiln Definitions</th>
<th>04/30/00, 04/02/03</th>
<th>July 30, 2003 and [FR page number].</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 117.261</td>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>04/30/00</td>
<td>July 30, 2003 and [FR page number].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 117.265</td>
<td>Emission Specifications</td>
<td>04/30/00</td>
<td>July 30, 2003 and [FR page number].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 117.273</td>
<td>Continuous Demonstration of Compliance</td>
<td>04/30/00</td>
<td>July 30, 2003 and [FR page number].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 117.279</td>
<td>Notification, Record-keeping, and Reporting Requirements</td>
<td>04/30/00, 04/02/03</td>
<td>July 30, 2003 and [FR page number].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 117.283</td>
<td>Source Cap</td>
<td>04/30/00</td>
<td>July 30, 2003 and [FR page number].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subchapter E—Administrative Provisions

| Section 117.524 | Compliance Schedule for Cement Kilns. | 04/30/00, 04/02/03 | July 30, 2003 and [FR page number]. |
| Section 117.570 | Use of Emissions Credits for Compliance. | 04/02/03 | July 30, 2003 and [FR page number]. |

---

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 180**

**[OPP–2003–0059; FRL–7309–8]**

**Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 on all agricultural commodities when applied/used in accordance with good agricultural use practices for plant strengthening, growth enhancement, and plant disease suppression. Earth BioSciences submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA), requesting an exemption from...
the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24.

DATES: This regulation is effective July 30, 2003. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket ID number OPP–2003–0059, must be received on or before September 29, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted by mail or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit IX. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robyn Rose, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511C), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 308–9581; e-mail address: rose.robyn@epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS 111)
- Animal production (NAICS 112)
- Food manufacturing (NAICS 311)
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS 32532)
- Antimicrobial pesticides (NAICS 32561)

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP–2003–0059. The official public docket is intended to serve as a repository for materials (i.e., documents and other information) submitted to the Agency in connection with this action and/or relied upon by the Agency in taking this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305–5805. To the extent that a particular document is not located in the official public docket, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

2. Electronic access. You may access this Federal Register document electronically through the EPA Internet under the “Federal Register” listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedregstr/. A frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 is available at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/ cfhtml/00/Title_40/40cfr180_00.html, a beta site currently under development.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA’s electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may view EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select “search,” then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

II. Background and Statutory Findings

In the Federal Register of October 22, 2002 (67 FR 32231) (FR–7275–7), EPA issued a notice pursuant to section 408 of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), as amended by FQPA (Public Law 104–170), announcing the filing of a pesticide tolerance petition (PP 2F06453) by Earth BioSciences, 451 Orange St, New Haven, CT 06511. This notice included a summary of the petition prepared by the petitioner Earth BioSciences. There were no comments received in response to the Notice of Filing.

The petition requested that 40 CFR part 180 be amended by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24. Section 408(c)(2)(A)(ii) of the FFDCA defines “safe” to mean that “there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information.” This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of the FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to “ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue.” Additionally, section 408(b)(2)(D) of the FFDCA requires that the Agency consider “available information concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide’s residues and other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity.”

EPA performs a number of analyses to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide residues. First, EPA determines the toxicity of pesticides. Second, EPA examines exposure to the pesticide through food, drinking water, and through other exposures that occur as a result of pesticide use in residential settings.

III. Toxicological Profile

Consistent with section 408(b)(2)(D) of the FFDCA, EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action and considered its validity, completeness, and reliability and the relationship of this information to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children.

Earth BioSciences, formerly Taensa, Inc., conducted the toxicology studies required under section 408(d)(2)(A) of the FFDCA to support its petition for an exemption from the requirement of tolerance for Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24. As illustrated below, the studies conducted indicate a low mammalian toxicity for Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24. In addition, no pathogenicity or infectivity was observed in any of the tests conducted with Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24. All toxicity data generated by Earth BioSciences, formerly Taensa, Inc., were used to evaluate the potential human health risk associated with the use of Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24.
BioSciences have been reviewed by the Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (“BPPD”).

Toxicology data in support of the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 included studies with spores (technical) and with the formulated product (water dispersible powder) as follows:

1. **Acute toxicity and/or pathogenicity**—i. Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 Spores

   (Technical):
   - **Acute oral toxicity/pathogenicity in rats**. “... does not appear to be toxic and/or pathogenic in rats when dosed at 1.3 x 10^8 cfu.” BPPD Review of Product Chemistry and Toxicity/Pathogenicity Data Submitted by Taensa, Inc., for the Registration of TAE–022 and TAE–022 WDG, which contains Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 (Submission No.: S559221; DP Barcode: 254584; Master Record Identification (MRID) No.s: 447581–01 through 447581–26; hereinafter referred to as “BPPD Review - December 20, 1999”).
   - **Acute dermal toxicity/pathogenicity in rabbits**. “The severity of irritation persisted 72 hours, and slight irritation persisted for 10 days, and all resolved by day 11. No deaths observed. The acute lethal dose (LD_{50}) is greater than 2,000 mg/kg. ... Dermal Toxicity = Toxicity Category III.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).
   - **Acute inhalation toxicity in rats**. “The inhalation LC_{50} for males, females, and combined was > 0.93 mg/L. Toxicity Category III.” (Submission No.: S616797; DP Barcode: 283473; MRID No.s: 456725–01 and 456725–02 (hereinafter referred to as “BPPD Review - April 25, 2002”)
   - **Acute pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity in rats**. “... does not appear to be toxic and/or pathogenic in rats, when dosed at 1.3 x 10^8 cfu/animal. No total clearance is seen form the lungs of treated test animals ... showed a distinct pattern of clearance from kidney, liver, and spleen.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).
   - **Acute intravenous toxicity/pathogenicity in rats**. “... does not appear to be toxic and/or pathogenic in rats, when dosed at 1.7 x 10^8 cfu/animal.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).
   - **Primary eye irritation**. “... showed no signs of persistent irritation into day 21, when dosed at 4.7 x 10^10 cfu/right eye/animal.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999.) The December 20, 1999 BPPD review indicated Toxicity Category I, but was amended in a March 7, 2000 review to Toxicity Category II based on a comparison of test animals showing similar recovery trends and leading to reversibility within 21 days. Addendum to Toxicity Category for TAE–022, which contains Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens Strain FZB24 (Submission No.: S559221; DP Barcode: 254584; MRID No.: 447581–14).
   - **Primary dermal irritation**. “... severity of irritations persisted >72 hours, but resolved by day 11. Dermal irritation = Toxicity II.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).
   - **Hypersensitivity testing**. “Based on the submitted data ... does not appear to be a sensitizer when dosed at 3.6 x 10^10 cfu.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).
   - **Hypersensitivity incident reporting**. “No recorded or reported hypersensitivity reaction ... based on handling MCPA in lab control setting, equating to 55 person years...” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).
   - **Potential health effects**. “Based on information given, there are no apparent negative effects ... Cited literature on B. subtilis indicate and/or support the development as a biological control...” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).

2. **Immune response**. “There is no information to suggest that Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 has an effect on the immune system. The submitted toxicity/pathogenicity studies in rodents indicated that following several routes of exposure, the immune system is still intact and able to process and clear the active ingredient.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).

3. **Growth parameters**. “... is shown to grow at all tested temperatures (e.g., 30, 34, 37, and 50 °C). The enumeration shows a low 4.2 x 10^11 cfu/g at 37 °C to a high 6.0 x 10^11 cfu/g at 34 °C.” (BPPD Review - December 20, 1999).

4. **Hypersensitivity**. “Based on the data generated in accordance with the Tier I data requirements set forth in 40 CFR 158.740(c), the Tier II and Tier III data requirements were not triggered and, therefore, not required in connection with this action.

**IV. Aggregate Exposures**

In examining aggregate exposure, section 408 of the FFDCA directs EPA to consider available information concerning exposures from the pesticide residue in food and all other non-occupational exposures, including the potential risks from dietary exposure in this action. The end-use (formulated) product will be applied to all agricultural commodities as a seed treatment and via incorporation, drenching, spraying, dipping, cremiagino and hydroponics.

**A. Dietary Exposure**

Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 is a naturally-occurring microorganism and widespread in the environment. The low toxicity and non-pathogenicity/infestivity of Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 is demonstrated by the data summarized in this action. The end-use (formulated) product will be applied to all agricultural commodities as a seed treatment and via incorporation, drenching, spraying, dipping, chemigation and hydroponics.

1. **Food**. While the proposed use pattern may result in dietary exposure with possible residues on all agricultural commodities, negligible risk is expected for the general population, as well as for infants and children. Submitted acute toxicity tests (MRID Numbers 447581–08, 447581–09, 447581–10, 447581–11, 447581–12, 447581–13, 447581–14, 447581–16, and 456725–02) demonstrate that based upon the use sites, use patterns, application method, use rates, low exposure, and minimal risk of toxicity, the potential risks from dietary exposure for both the general population and infants and children are considered low.

2. **Drinking water exposure**. Although Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 spores may be found naturally in water, it is not known as an aquatic bacterium, and therefore is not expected to proliferate in aquatic...
the risks anticipated for this route of exposure are considered minimal.

V. Cumulative Effects

The Agency has considered available information on the cumulative effects of such residues and other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity. These considerations included the cumulative effects on infants and children of such residues and other substances with a common mechanism of toxicity. Because there is no indication of mammalian toxicity to *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24, the Agency is confident that there will not be cumulative effects from the residues of this product on all agricultural commodities. (See Unit III.)

VI. Determination of Safety for U.S. Population, Infants and Children

1. U.S. population. *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 is a naturally occurring microorganism and *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* is widespread in the environment. Based on the very low levels of mammalian toxicity associated with *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24, which is demonstrated by the data summarized above, and the history of safe use of *B. subtilis*, the Agency has concluded that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to residues of *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 to the U.S. population. This includes all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information. Accordingly, exempting *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 from the requirement of a tolerance should be considered safe and pose no significant risk.

2. Infants and children. The Agency has concluded that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for prenatal and postnatal toxicity and the completeness of the data base on toxicity and exposure unless EPA determines that a different margin of exposure (safety) will be safe for infants and children. Margins of exposure (safety) are incorporated into EPA risk assessments either directly through the use of a margin of exposure analysis or by using uncertainty (safety) factors in calculating a dose level that poses no appreciable risk to humans. Here, EPA concludes that the toxicity and exposure data are sufficiently complete to adequately address the potential for additional sensitivity of infants and children to residues of *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 and that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 residues. Also, for food use of microbial pesticides, the acute toxicity/ pathogenicity studies have allowed for the conclusion that an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 is appropriate and adequate to protect human health, including that of infants and children.

VII. Other Considerations

A. Endocrine Disruptors

EPA is required under section 408(p) of the FFDCA, as amended by FQPA, to develop a screening program to determine whether certain substances (including all pesticide active and other ingredients) “may have an effect in humans that is similar to an effect produced by a naturally occurring estrogen, or other such endocrine effects as the Administrator may designate.” Following the recommendations of its Endocrine Disruptor Screening and Testing Advisory Committee (EDSTAC), EPA determined that there is no scientific basis for including, as part of the screening program, the androgen and thyroid hormone systems in addition to the estrogen hormone system. EPA also adopted EDSTAC’s recommendation that the program include evaluations of potential effects in wildlife. For pesticide chemicals, EPA will use Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and, to the extent that effects in wildlife may help determine whether a substance may have an effect in humans, FFDCA authority to require wildlife evaluations. As the science develops and resources allow, screening of additional hormone systems may be added to the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP). When the appropriate screening and/or testing protocols being considered under the Agency’s EDSP have been developed, *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24 may be subjected to additional screening and/or testing to better characterize effects related to endocrine disruption.

Based on available data, no endocrine system-related effects have been identified with consumption of *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24. It is a naturally occurring bacteria that is widespread in the environment. To date, there is no evidence to suggest that *Bacillus subtilis* var. *amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB24
affects the immune system, functions in a manner similar to any known hormone, or that it acts as an endocrine disruptor.

B. Analytical Method

The Agency proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance without any numerical limitation for the reasons stated above, including Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24’s lack of mammalian toxicity. For the same reasons, the Agency has concluded that an analytical method is not required for enforcement purpose for Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24.

C. Codex Maximum Residue Level

There are no Codex maximum residue levels established for residues of Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24.

VIII. Objections and Hearing Requests

Under section 408(g) of the FFDCA, as amended by the FQPA, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. The EPA procedural regulations which govern the submission of objections and requests for hearings appear in 40 CFR part 178. Although the procedures in those regulations require some modification to reflect the amendments made to the FFDCA by the FQPA, EPA will continue to use those procedures, with appropriate adjustments, until the necessary modifications can be made. The new section 408(g) of the FFDCA provides essentially the same process for persons to “object” to a regulation for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance issued by EPA under new section 408(d) of the FFDCA, as was provided in the old sections 408 and 409 of the FFDCA. However, the period for filing objections is now 60 days, rather than 30 days.

A. What Do I Need to Do to File an Objection or Request a Hearing?

You must file your written objections and hearing requests with the Hearing Clerk in accordance with the instructions provided in this unit and in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number OPP–2003–0059 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and hearing requests must be in writing, and must be mailed or delivered to the Hearing Clerk on or before September 29, 2003.

Your objection must specify the provisions in the regulation that you object to, and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issues(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor’s contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). Information submitted in connection with an objection or hearing request may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the information that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

Mail your written request to: Office of the Hearing Clerk (1900C), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001. You may also deliver your request to the Office of the Hearing Clerk in Rm.104, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. The Office of the Hearing Clerk is open from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Office of the Hearing Clerk is (703) 603–0061.

2. Tolerance fee payment. If you file an objection or request a hearing, you must also pay the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(j) or request a waiver of that fee pursuant to 40 CFR 180.33(m). You must mail the fee to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.

If you file an objection or request a hearing, you must identify the fee submission by labeling the copies, identified by docket ID number OPP–2003–0059, to: Public Information Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001. In person or by courier, bring a copy to the location of the PIRIB described in Unit I.B.1. You may also send an electronic copy of your request via e-mail to: opp-docket@epa.gov. Please use an ASCII file format and avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of electronic objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 6.1/8.0 or ASCII file format. Do not include any CBI in your electronic copy. You may also submit an electronic copy of your request at many Federal Depository Libraries.

B. When Will the Agency Grant a Request for a Hearing?

A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issues(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32).

IX. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This final rule establishes an exemption from the tolerance requirement for Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB24 under section 408(d) of the FFDCA in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled Regulatory Planning and Review (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this rule has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866 due to its lack of significance, this rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use (66 FR 28555, May 2001)
This final rule does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., or impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Public Law 104-4). Nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994); or OMB review or any Agency action under Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104–113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note). Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under section 408(d) of the FFDCA, such as the exemption from the tolerance requirement in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) do not apply. In addition, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, entitled Federalism (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” “Policies that have tribal implications” is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.” This rule will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes, as specified in Executive Order 13175. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

X. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this final rule in the Federal Register. This final rule is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 20, 2003.

James Jones,
Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346(a) and 371.

2. Section 180.1243 is added to subpart D to read as follows:

§ 180.1243 Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquifaciens; strain FZB24; exemption from the requirement of a tolerance.

An exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the Bacillus subtilis var. amyloliquifaciens strain FZB24 in or on all agricultural commodities when applied/used in accordance with label directions.

[FR Doc. 03–19134 Filed 7–29–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180


Boscalid; 3-pyridinecarboxamide, 2-chloro-N-(4′-chloro[1,1′-biphenyl]-2-yl); Pesticide Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes a tolerance for residues of boscalid, 3-pyridinecarboxamide, 2-chloro-N-(4′-chloro[1,1′-biphenyl]-2-yl) in or on certain commodities and establishes a tolerance for the combined residues of boscalid, 3-pyridinecarboxamide, 2-chloro-N-(4′-chloro[1,1′-biphenyl]-2-yl) and its metabolites 2-chloro-N-(4′-chloro-5-hydroxy-biphenyl-2-yl)nicotinamide and the glucuronic acid conjugate of 2-chloro-N-(4′-chloro-5-hydroxy-biphenyl-2-yl)nicotinamide in or on certain commodities. BASF Corporation requested tolerances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA).

DATES: This regulation is effective July 30, 2003. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket ID number OPP–2003–0246, must be received on or before September 29, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit VII of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia Giles-Parker, Registration