

contained in the *Initiation Checklist* at Attachment III.

Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation

The petitioner alleges that the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product is being materially injured, and is threatened with material injury, by reason of the imports of the subject merchandise sold at less than NV. The allegations of injury and causation are supported by relevant evidence including the petitioner's import data, lost sales data, and pricing information. The Department assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury and causation and determined that these allegations are supported by accurate and adequate evidence and meet the statutory requirements for initiation. See *Initiation Checklist*, at page 4 and Attachment II.

Initiation of Antidumping Investigation

Based on our examination of the petition, we have found that the petition meets the requirements of section 732 of the Act. Therefore, we are initiating an antidumping investigation to determine whether imports of ironing tables from the PRC are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV. Should the need arise to use any of this information as facts available under section 776 of the Act in our preliminary or final determinations, we may reexamine the information and revise the margin calculations, if appropriate. Unless this deadline is extended, we will make our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation.

Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 732(b)(3)(A) of the Act, copies of the public version of the petition have been provided to representatives of the government of the PRC.

International Trade Commission Notification

We have notified the ITC of our initiation, as required by section 732(d) of the Act.

Preliminary Determination by the ITC

The ITC will determine by August 14, 2003, whether there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of ironing tables from the PRC. A negative ITC determination will result in the investigation being terminated; otherwise, this investigation

will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 21, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary

[FR Doc. 03-19025 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-337-803]

Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, Revocation of Order, and Rescission of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Final results of antidumping duty changed circumstances review; revocation of order; and rescission of administrative review.

SUMMARY: On May 23, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review with the intent to revoke the antidumping order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 68 FR 28196 (May 23, 2003) (Initiation Notice). On July 1, 2003, based on the fact that domestic parties have expressed no interest in the continuation of the order, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily revoked this order, retroactive to July 1, 2001, with respect to entries of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 68 FR 39058 (July 1, 2003) (Preliminary Results). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on both the Initiation Notice and the Preliminary Results, but received no comments.

Therefore, the Department hereby revokes the order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile for all entries that were entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, on or after July 1, 2001, the first day after the last completed administrative review in this proceeding. As the result of the revocation of the order, the Department

also is rescinding the on-going administrative review of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile covering the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keith Nickerson or Constance Handley, at (202) 482-3813 or (202) 482-0631, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement Office 5, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 30, 1998, the Department issued an antidumping duty (AD) order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 63 FR 40699 (July 30, 1998). On July 1, 2002, the Department issued a notice of opportunity to request the fourth administrative review of this order. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 67 FR 44172 (July 1, 2002).

On July 31, 2002, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2003), L.R. Enterprises, Inc. (L.R. Enterprises) requested a review of 90 producers/exporters of fresh Atlantic salmon. Twelve respondents also requested reviews of themselves. On August 27, 2002, the Department published the notice of initiation of this AD administrative review, covering the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 67 FR 55000 (August 27, 2002). L.R. Enterprises subsequently withdrew its request for review of all but 13 of these companies. For a detailed discussion of L.R. Enterprises' withdrawals, as well as a listing of which respondents requested reviews, see *Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 67 FR 76378 (December 12, 2002).

On April 29, 2003, L.R. Enterprises withdrew its request that the Department conduct reviews of the remaining 13 producers/exporters of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. Furthermore, L.R. Enterprises stated that it had no interest in maintaining the AD order. Subsequently, by letters dated April 29, 2003, five U.S. producers of fresh Atlantic salmon including

Heritage Salmon Inc., Maine Nordic Salmon, Stolt Sea Farms Inc., Cypress Island Inc., and Atlantic Salmon of Maine, requested that the Department initiate a changed circumstances review for the purpose of revoking the AD order on the subject merchandise. On May 2 and 7, 2003, L. R. Enterprises and Trumpet Island Salmon Farm Inc., respectively, submitted their requests to the Department for the initiation of a changed circumstances review for the purpose of revoking the AD order. All parties requested that the Department grant revocation of the AD order retroactive to July 1, 2001, the first day of the period of review covered by the on-going fourth administrative review.

On May 23, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review with the intent to revoke the AD order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. In the Initiation Notice, we indicated that interested parties could submit comments for consideration in the Department's preliminary results no later than 20 days after publication of the initiation of the review, and submit rebuttal to those comments no later than 10 days following the submission of comments. We did not receive any comments on the Initiation Notice.

On July 1, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily revoked this order with respect to all unliquidated entries for all entries of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile that were entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, on or after July 1, 2001, based on the fact that domestic parties have expressed no interest in continuation of the order. In addition, we stated our intention to rescind the fourth administrative review. In the Preliminary Results, we stated that interested parties could submit case briefs to the Department no later than 10 days after the publication of the Preliminary Results notice in the **Federal Register**, and submit rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in those case briefs, five days subsequent to this due date. We did not receive any comments on the Preliminary Results.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is fresh, farmed Atlantic salmon, whether imported "dressed" or cut. Atlantic salmon is the species *Salmo salar*, in the genus *Salmo* of the family *salmoninae*. "Dressed" Atlantic salmon refers to salmon that has been bled, gutted, and cleaned. Dressed Atlantic salmon may be imported with the head on or off; with the tail on or off; and with the gills in or out. All cuts of fresh Atlantic

salmon are included in the scope of the order. Examples of cuts include, but are not limited to: crosswise cuts (steaks), lengthwise cuts (fillets), lengthwise cuts attached by skin (butterfly cuts), combinations of crosswise and lengthwise cuts (combination packages), and Atlantic salmon that is minced, shredded, or ground. Cuts may be subjected to various degrees of trimming, and imported with the skin on or off and with the "pin bones" in or out.

Excluded from the scope are (1) fresh Atlantic salmon that is "not farmed" (*i.e.*, wild Atlantic salmon); (2) live Atlantic salmon; and (3) Atlantic salmon that has been subject to further processing, such as frozen, canned, dried, and smoked Atlantic salmon, or processed into forms such as sausages, hot dogs, and burgers.

The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable as item numbers 0302.12.0003 and 0304.10.4093 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS statistical reporting numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of the AD Order

Pursuant to sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order, in whole or in part, based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant review of a final affirmative antidumping determination. The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.222(g)(2) provide that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 if the Secretary concludes from the available information that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation or termination exist. The Department may revoke an order (in whole or in part), if the Secretary determines that: (i) producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or (ii) if other changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. 19 CFR

351.222(g)(1)(i); *See also Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 52109 (October 12, 2001) and 19 CFR 351.208(c). According to the record of this case, the following are all of the known U.S. producers of fresh Atlantic salmon: L.R. Enterprises, Heritage Salmon Inc., Maine Nordic Salmon, Stolt Sea Farms Inc., Cypress Island Inc., Atlantic Salmon of Maine, and Trumpet Island Salmon Farm Inc. Based upon the statement of no interest by the U.S. producers referenced above and the fact that the Department did not receive any comments during the comment periods following the initiation and preliminary results of this review, the Department hereby revokes this order for all entries of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after July 1, 2001, the first day after the last completed administrative review in this proceeding, in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

On August 27, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 55000) a notice of initiation of an administrative review for the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002. As the result of the revocation of the order, the Department is rescinding the on-going administrative review of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile pursuant to section 751(d)(3) of the Act.

Instructions to the Customs Service

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.222, the Department will instruct the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, all unliquidated entries of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after July 1, 2001, which is the first day after the last completed review covering the 2001–2002 review period. The Department will further instruct the BCBP to refund with interest any estimated duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after July 1, 2001, in accordance with section 778 of the Act.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written

notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice of final results of antidumping duty changed circumstances review and revocation of the antidumping duty order are in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d), and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(g). The 2001–2002 antidumping duty administrative review of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile is rescinded in accordance with section 751(d)(3) of the Act.

Dated: July 21, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–19022 Filed 7–24–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–863]

Honey From the People's Republic of China: Final Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final rescission, in part, of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that the first administrative reviews of five PRC honey exporters/producers should be rescinded.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angelica Mendoza or Brandon Farlander at (202) 482–3019 or (202) 482–0182, respectively; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the

Department's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2002).

Case History

On December 17, 2002, the Department published a *Notice of Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation*, 67 FR 77222 (December 17, 2002). On December 31, 2002, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners) in this proceeding, requested, in accordance with section 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations, an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period May 11, 2001, through November 30, 2002.¹

Petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise made by ten PRC producers/exporters, including Anhui Native Produce Import & Export Corp. (Anhui), Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Native Produce and Animal By-Products (Inner Mongolia), Shanghai Xiuwei International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Xiuwei), Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd. (Sichuan Dubao), and Wuhan Bee Healthy Co., Ltd. (Wuhan).² We also received a timely request from Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Corp., a.k.a. Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import and Export Group Corporation (collectively Zhejiang), that the Department conduct an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise exported by Zhejiang during the POR. On January 22, 2003, the Department initiated an administrative review of all requested PRC honey exporters/producers. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2003).

¹ On January 27, 2003, the Department clarified that the period of review (POR) for certain PRC honey exporters/producers, including Wuhan, Shanghai Xiuwei, and Sichuan Dubao is February 10, 2001, through November 30, 2002. See Memorandum to the File through Donna L. Kinsella, Case Manager, Office 8; POR for Exporters of Honey from the People's Republic of China with Affirmative Critical Circumstances Findings (January 27, 2003) for further details.

² The other five PRC honey exporters/producers included Henan Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Company, High Hope International Group Jiangsu Foodstuffs Import and Export Corp., Kunshan Foreign Trade Company, Shanghai Eswell Enterprise Co., Ltd., and Zhejiang.

In a separate proceeding, the Department received timely requests from Shanghai Xiuwei and Sichuan Dubao, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, which has a December annual anniversary month. On February 5, 2003, we published a *Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews*, 68 FR 5868 (February 5, 2003) initiating new shipper reviews of Shanghai Xiuwei's and Sichuan Dubao's sales during the same POR as this administrative review.³

On May 6, 2003, the Department preliminarily determined to rescind, in part, the administrative reviews of Anhui, Inner Mongolia, Shanghai Xiuwei, Sichuan Dubao, and Wuhan. See Memorandum to Barbara Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III; Intent to Partially Rescind Administrative Reviews (May 6, 2003) (Rescission Memo).

As discussed in the above-referenced Rescission Memo, this administrative review is intended to cover shipments of subject merchandise by Anhui and Inner Mongolia during the POR of May 11, 2001, through November 30, 2003. However, based upon our shipment data query and examination of entry documents, we determined that Anhui and Inner Mongolia did not ship subject merchandise during this period.

As discussed in the Department's Rescission Memo, the new shipper reviews of Shanghai Xiuwei and Sichuan Dubao covered the same POR as this administrative review. The Department also determined, with respect to Wuhan, that there were no other entries to review other than those currently under review in its new shipper review. Therefore, we determined that Shanghai Xiuwei, Sichuan Dubao, and Wuhan were new shippers and should not be subject to this proceeding.

We invited parties to comment on our Rescission Memo. On May 21, 2003, we received responses from Wuhan, Inner Mongolia, and Anhui in support of the Department's decision to rescind their respective administrative reviews. We did not receive comments from petitioners, Shanghai Xiuwei, nor Sichuan Dubao. Furthermore, interested

³ Moreover, the Department currently is conducting a six-month new shipper review of Wuhan's sales during the period December 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews*, 67 FR 50862 (August 6, 2002). See also *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey from the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 33099 (June 3, 2003).