

paragraph (b) of this section that is sufficient to appropriately meet the treatment needs of the veteran until the date of the veteran's initial appointment for primary care in a VA health care facility.

(d) *Appointment cancellation.* If VA reschedules a veteran eligible under paragraph (b) for an initial appointment for primary care in a VA health care facility, or if such a veteran reschedules the appointment for good cause, as determined by the local VA treatment facility, VA may furnish the eligible veteran with a quantity of medication under paragraph (b) of this section that is sufficient to appropriately meet the treatment needs of the veteran until the date of the veteran's rescheduled appointment for primary care in a VA health care facility.

(e) *Written prescription and information requirements.* VA may furnish medication under paragraph (b) of this section only if the veteran provides VA with a written prescription for the medication signed by a duly licensed physician within the previous 90 days.

(1) *The veteran must furnish the following information:*

- (i) Name;
- (ii) Date of Birth;
- (iii) Social Security Number;
- (iv) Home address;
- (v) Phone number (with area code);
- (vi) Name of Health Insurance Company and Health Insurance Policy Number;
- (vii) List of any allergies;
- (viii) History of any adverse reaction to any medication;
- (ix) List of current medications, including over-the-counter medications or herbal supplements; and
- (x) Indication of whether the VA pharmacist may call a non-VA physician for information regarding medications.

(2) The non-VA physician must furnish the following information:

- (i) Name;
- (ii) Group practice name;
- (iii) Social Security Number or Tax ID number;
- (iv) License Number;
- (v) Office address;
- (vi) Phone number and fax number; and
- (vii) E-mail address.

(f) *Medications that may be furnished.* VA may furnish medication under paragraph (b) of this section only if the medication:

- (1) Must be dispensed by prescription;
- (2) Is not an over-the-counter medication;
- (3) Is not listed as a controlled substance under schedule I through V of

the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act, 21 U.S.C. 812;

(4) Is included on VA's National Formulary, unless VA determines a non-Formulary medication is medically necessary; and

(5) Is not an acute medication, an intravenous medication nor one required to be administered only by a medical professional.

(g) *Copayments.* Copayment provisions in § 17.110 of this part apply to medication furnished under paragraph (b) of this section.

(h) *Mailing of Medications.* VA may furnish medication under paragraph (b) of this section only by having the medication mailed to the veteran.

(i) *Medications for veterans receiving increased compensation or pension.* Any prescription, which is not part of authorized Department of Veterans Affairs hospital or outpatient care, for drugs and medicines ordered by a private or non-Department of Veterans Affairs doctor of medicine or doctor of osteopathy duly licensed to practice in the jurisdiction where the prescription is written, shall be filled by a Department of Veterans Affairs pharmacy or a non-VA pharmacy in a state home under contract with VA for filling prescriptions for patients in state homes, provided:

(1) The prescription is for:

(i) A veteran who by reason of being permanently housebound or in need of regular aid and attendance is in receipt of increased compensation under 38 U.S.C. chapter 11, or increased pension under section 3.1(u) (Section 306 Pension) or section 3.1(w) (Improved Pension), of this title, as a veteran of the Mexican Border Period, World War I, World War II, the Korean Conflict, or the Vietnam Era (or, although eligible for such pension, is in receipt of compensation as the greater benefit), or

(ii) A veteran in need of regular aid and attendance who was formerly in receipt of increased pension as described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section whose pension has been discontinued solely by reason of excess income, but only so long as such veteran's annual income does not exceed the maximum annual income limitation by more than \$ 1,000, and

(2) The drugs and medicines are prescribed as specific therapy in the treatment of any of the veteran's illnesses or injuries.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1706, 1710, 17.12(d))

[FR Doc. 03-19011 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 82

[FRL7529-6]

RIN 2060-AK67

Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Ban on Trade of Methyl Bromide with Non-Parties to the Montreal Protocol

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: With this action, EPA is taking direct final action on the regulations that govern the production, import, and export of substances that deplete the ozone layer under the authority of Title VI of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act) and in accordance with U.S. obligations under the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (Protocol). Specifically, today's amendments reflect the Montreal Amendments to the Protocol, which ban the import or export of methyl bromide (class I, Group VI controlled substance) from or to countries that are not Parties to the 1992 Copenhagen Amendments.

DATES: This rule is effective on October 23, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by August 25, 2003, or, if a public hearing is requested, by September 18, 2003. If we receive such comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

Written comments on this rule must be received on or before August 25, 2003, unless a public hearing is requested. Comments must then be received on or before 30 days following the public hearing. Any party requesting a public hearing must notify the contact person listed below by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on August 4, 2003. If a hearing is requested it will be held August 19, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail to Air and Radiation. Send two copies of your comments to: Air and Radiation Docket (6102), Air Docket No. A-92-13, Section XIII, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode 6205J, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460. The Docket's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday. Comments may also be submitted electronically, through hand delivery or courier. Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving

comments. Go directly to EPA dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. For hand delivery or courier, deliver your comments to: 501 3rd Street NW., Washington, DC 20001, Attention Docket ID No. A-92-13, Section XIII.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Choban, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Global Programs Division, Stratospheric Programs Implementation Branch (6205), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202)-564-3524. Overnight or courier deliveries should be sent to 501 3rd Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001. You may also visit the Ozone Depletion web site of EPA's Global Programs Division at <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/index.html> for further information about EPA's Stratospheric Ozone Protection regulations, the science of ozone layer depletion, and other topics.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comment. No adverse comment is expected due to the fact that the U.S. Senate gave its advice and consent to ratification of the Montreal Amendment on October 9, 2002, and this rule simply adopts one of the provisions contained in that Amendment. However, in the "Proposed Rules" section of today's **Federal Register**, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to implement the methyl bromide trade bans if adverse comments are filed. This rule will be effective on October 23, 2003 without further notice unless we receive adverse comment by August 25, 2003 (or, if a public hearing is requested, by September 18, 2003). If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any persons interested in commenting must do so at this time.

Table of Contents

- I. General Information
 - A. Regulated Entities
 - B. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information?
 - C. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?
 - D. How Should I Submit CBI To The Agency?
- II. What is the Legislative and Regulatory Background of the Phaseout Regulations for Ozone-Depleting Substances?
- III. What is Methyl Bromide?

- IV. What is the Regulatory Background Relating Specifically to Methyl Bromide?
- V. What is the Ban on Trade of Methyl Bromide with non-Parties to the Protocol?
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
 - A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review
 - B. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), As Amended By the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 *et. seq.*
 - D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
 - E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism
 - F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments
 - G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children from Environmental Health & Safety Risks
 - H. Executive Order 13211: Actions that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use
 - I. National Technology Transfer Advancement Act
- VII. Congressional Review
 - A. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

I. General Information

A. Regulated Entities

Entities potentially regulated by this action are those associated with the import and export of methyl bromide. Potentially regulated categories and entities include:

| Category | Examples of regulated entities |
|----------------|---|
| Industry | Importers and Exporters of methyl bromide |

The above table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be regulated by this action. To determine whether your facility, company, business, or organization is regulated by this action, you should carefully examine the regulations promulgated at 40 CFR part 82, subpart A. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

B. How Can I Get Copies Of This Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under the Office of Air and Radiation Docket & Information Center, Air Docket ID No. A-92-13, Section XIII. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments

received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at EPA West, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Room B108, Mail Code 6102T, Washington, DC 20460, Phone: (202)-566-1742, Fax: (202)-566-1741. The materials may be inspected from 8:30 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials.

2. *Electronic Access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The

entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the Docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

C. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of comment period will be marked late. EPA is not required to consider these late comments. If you plan to submit comments, please also notify Kate Choban, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Global Programs Division (6205J), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 564-3524.

1. *Electronically.* If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed below, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving

comments. Go directly to EPA dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

2. *By Mail.* Send two copies of your comments to: Air and Radiation Docket (6102), Air Docket No. A-92-13, Section XIII, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode 6205J, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460.

3. *By Hand Delivery or Courier.* Deliver your comments to: 501 3rd Street NW., Washington, DC, 20001, Attention Docket ID No. A-92-13, Section XIII. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation as identified under **ADDRESSES**.

4. *By Facsimile.* Fax your comments to: (202) 566-1741, Attention Docket ID No. A-92-13, Section XIII.

D. How Should I Submit CBI To the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. Send or deliver information identified as CBI only to the mail or courier addresses listed in Units C.2 or C.3, as appropriate, to the attention of Air Docket ID No. A-92-13, Section XIII. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR Part 2. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

II. What Is the Legislative and Regulatory Background of the Phaseout Regulations for Ozone-Depleting Substances?

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 direct the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to issue regulations to implement the provisions of the Protocol within the United States through a system of controls on production and consumption of ozone-depleting substances. The current regulatory requirements of the Stratospheric Ozone Protection Program are codified at subpart A to Part 82 of Volume 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR part 82, subpart A). As the control measures of the Protocol have been amended or adjusted, and in consideration of other factors, subpart A has also been amended. For example, the amendments to the Protocol made at the Fourth Meeting of the Parties in Copenhagen in 1992 included an accelerated phaseout of ODS production and consumption. EPA published a final regulation in December of 1993, implementing the United States' accelerated phaseout obligation under the Copenhagen amendments (58 FR 65018).

The requirements contained in the final rules published in the **Federal Register** on December 20, 1994 and May 10, 1995 establish an Allowance Program. The Allowance Program and its history are described in the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on November 10, 1994 (59 FR 56276). The control and the phaseout of the production and consumption of class I ozone-depleting substances as required under the Protocol and the CAA are accomplished through the Allowance Program.

In developing the Allowance Program, we collected information on the amounts of ozone-depleting substances produced, imported, exported, transformed and destroyed within the U.S. for specific baseline years for specific chemicals. This information was used to establish the U.S. production and consumption ceilings for these chemicals. The data were also used to assign company-specific production and import rights to companies that were in most cases producing or importing during the specific year of data collection. These production or import rights are called "allowances." Due to the complete phaseout of many of the ozone-depleting chemicals, the quantities of allowances granted to companies for those chemicals were gradually reduced and eventually eliminated. Production allowances and consumption

allowances continue to exist for only one specific class I controlled ozone-depleting substance—methyl bromide. All other production or consumption of class I controlled substances is prohibited under the Protocol and the CAA, but for a few narrow exemptions.

In the context of the regulatory program, the use of the term consumption may be misleading. Consumption does not mean the “use” of a controlled substance, but rather is defined as the formula: production + imports – exports, of controlled substances (Article 1 of the Protocol and Section 601 of the CAA). Class I controlled substances that were produced or imported through the expenditure of allowances prior to their phaseout date can continue to be used by industry and the public after that specific chemical’s phaseout under these regulations, unless otherwise precluded under separate regulations.

The specific names and chemical formulas for the class I controlled ozone-depleting substances are in appendix A and appendix F in subpart A of 40 CFR part 82. The specific names and chemical formulas for the class II controlled ozone-depleting substances are in appendix B and appendix F in subpart A.

III. What Is Methyl Bromide?

Methyl bromide is an odorless and colorless gas used in the U.S. and throughout the world as a fumigant. Methyl bromide, which is toxic to living things, is used in many different situations to control a variety of pests, such as insects, weeds, pathogens, and nematodes. Additional characteristics and details about the uses of methyl bromide, as well as information on the basis for listing methyl bromide as a class I substance, can be found in the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on March 18, 1993 (58 FR 15014) and the final rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 1993 (58 FR 65018). Updated information on methyl bromide can be found at the following sites of the World Wide Web: <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/mbr/> and <http://www.teap.org> or by contacting the Stratospheric Ozone Protection Hotline at 1–800–296–1996.

IV. What Is the Regulatory Background Relating Specifically to Methyl Bromide?

The Parties to the Protocol established a freeze in the level of methyl bromide production and consumption for industrialized countries at the 1992 Meeting in Copenhagen. The Parties agreed that each industrialized country’s level of methyl bromide

production and consumption in 1991 should be the baseline for establishing the freeze. EPA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 1993, listing methyl bromide as a class I, Group VI controlled substance, freezing U.S. production and consumption at this 1991 level, and, in § 82.7 of the rule, setting forth the percentage of baseline allowances for methyl bromide granted to companies in each control period (each calendar year) until the year 2001 (58 FR 65018). Consistent with the CAA requirements for newly listed class I ozone-depleting substances, this rule established a 2001 phaseout for methyl bromide. In the rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 1993 (58 FR 69235), we established baseline methyl bromide production and consumption allowances for specific companies in § 82.5 and § 82.6.

At their 1997 meeting, the Parties agreed to establish the phaseout schedule for methyl bromide in industrialized countries. The U.S. Congress followed by amending the CAA (in Oct. 1998) to direct EPA to promulgate regulations reflecting the Protocol phaseout date of 2005, with interim phasedown steps in 1999, 2001, and 2003. EPA promulgated a regulation that was published in the **Federal Register** on June 1, 1999 (64 FR 29240), instituting the initial interim reduction of 25 percent in the production and import¹ of methyl bromide for the 1999 and 2000 control periods. In a subsequent rule, published in the **Federal Register** on November 28, 2000 (65 FR 70795), EPA implemented reductions in the production and consumption of methyl bromide for 2001 and beyond, as follows: beginning January 1, 2001, a 50 percent reduction in baseline levels; beginning January 1, 2003, a 70 percent reduction in baseline levels; and, beginning January 1, 2005, the complete phaseout of methyl bromide.

V. What Is the Ban on Trade of Methyl Bromide With non-Parties to the Protocol?

With today’s action EPA is proposing to prohibit the import and export of methyl bromide (class I, Group VI controlled substance) from or to a foreign state that is not a Party to the 1992 Copenhagen Amendments to the Protocol. EPA is banning trade in methyl bromide with non-Parties to the Copenhagen Amendments to the

Protocol in order to ensure the United States meets its obligations under the Protocol and associated amendments. Article 4, paragraph 1 *qua* of the Protocol bans the import of methyl bromide (Annex E substances) from any country not a Party to the Protocol amendments creating control obligations for methyl bromide (Copenhagen Amendments). Later refinements made to the methyl bromide phaseout schedule were in the form of adjustments, not amendments, and any Party that has ratified the Copenhagen Amendments is subject to those adjustments. Article 4, paragraph 2 *qua* of the Protocol bans exports of methyl bromide to any Party that has not ratified the Copenhagen Amendments to the Protocol. These bans were added as part of the 1997 Montreal Amendments to the Protocol. Section 614 of the CAA states, “This title as added by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 shall be construed, interpreted, and applied as a supplement to the terms and conditions of the Montreal Protocol, as provided in Article 2, paragraph 11 thereof, and shall not be construed, interpreted, or applied to abrogate the responsibilities or obligations of the United States to implement fully the provisions of the Montreal Protocol. In the case of conflict between any provision of this title and any provision of the Montreal Protocol, the more stringent provision shall govern. Nothing in this title shall be construed, interpreted, or applied to affect the authority or responsibility of the Administrator to implement Article 4 of the Montreal Protocol with other appropriate agencies.” Pursuant to section 614, today’s action fulfills the U.S. obligation to implement the methyl bromide trade ban provisions of the Montreal Protocol.

Current regulations (60 FR 24970; 40 CFR 82.4(l)(2)) prohibit the import and export of certain class I controlled substances from or to foreign states not Parties to the Montreal Protocol or specific amendment packages to the Protocol (*e.g.*, the London Amendments). These bans on imports from and exports to non-Parties to amendment packages reflect an agreed strategy by the Parties to the Montreal Protocol to encourage ratification of each successive amendment package to the Protocol and to ensure that controlled ozone-depleting substances are not provided to countries that have not agreed to control measures.

A list of Parties that have ratified the Montreal Protocol and that have ratified successive amendments to the Protocol is published with today’s action in appendix C. For the purposes of today’s

¹ The formula for “consumption” is production + import – export. Because “consumption” encompasses “production and import”, production and import controls also have the effect of controlling consumption.

methyl bromide trade ban, companies should refer to appendix C to subpart A of part 82 to identify nations that have not yet ratified the Copenhagen Amendments. Today's action prohibits imports of methyl bromide from, or exports of methyl bromide to, these nations that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendments. EPA will publish notices on a periodic basis to update this list (appendix C) to reflect when Parties ratify the Montreal Protocol and its amendments. For additional information on countries that have ratified the Protocol and its amendments, you may want to visit the website of the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) Ozone Secretariat at <http://www.unep.org/ozone/> and look for the "Status of Ratification".

Article 4, paragraph 8 of the Protocol recognizes that countries may actually be complying with relevant control measures without having officially ratified the Protocol or its relevant Amendments and permits the Parties to meet and determine that imports from and exports to these countries are permitted. Therefore, EPA is reserving Annex 2 of appendix C for any country determined by the Parties to be complying with the relevant control measures.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comment. No adverse comment is expected due to the fact that the U.S. Senate gave its advice and consent to ratification of the Montreal Amendment on October 9, 2002, and this rule simply adopts one of the provisions contained in that Amendment. The regulated producers, importers and exporters attended both meetings of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol the year that the trade ban provisions were agreed through an amendment. EPA did not hear from the producers, importers and exporters when this provision was up for consideration by the Parties. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments on this action. Establishing such a trade ban is now standard practice under the Protocol for controlled ozone-depleting substances. However, in the "Proposed Rules" section of today's **Federal Register**, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to implement the methyl bromide trade bans if adverse comments are filed. This rule will be effective on October 23, 2003 without further notice unless we receive adverse comment by August 25, 2003 (or, if a public hearing is requested, by September 18, 2003). If EPA receives

adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any persons interested in commenting must do so at this time.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether this regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to OMB review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Order defines a "significant" regulatory action as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;

(2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

It has been determined by EPA and OMB that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" within the meaning of the Executive Order.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) previously approved the information collection requirements that can be used to implement today's direct final rule. The previously approved ICR is assigned OMB control number 2060-0170 (EPA ICR No. 1432.21).

There is no additional paperwork burden as a result of this rule. Current record keeping will allow EPA to implement the provisions of today's action.

The information collection previously approved will be used to implement the trade ban in paragraph 1 qua under Article 4 of the Montreal Protocol for methyl bromide. The information collection under this rule is authorized under sections 603(b) and 603(d) of the

Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA). This information collection is conducted to meet U.S. obligations under Article 7, Reporting Requirements, of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (Protocol); and to carry out the requirements of Title VI of the CAA, including sections 603 and 614.

The reporting requirements included in this rule are intended to:

(1) Satisfy U.S. obligations under the international treaty, The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (Protocol), to report data under Article 7;

(2) Fulfill statutory obligations under Section 603(b) of Title VI of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA) for reporting and monitoring;

(3) Provide information to report to Congress on the production, use and consumption of class I controlled substances as statutorily required in section 603(d) of title VI of the CAA.

EPA informs respondents that they may assert claims of business confidentiality for any of the information they submit. Information claimed confidential will be treated in accordance with the procedures for handling information claimed as confidential under 40 CFR part 2, subpart B, and will be disclosed only to the extent, and by means of the procedures, set forth in that subpart. If no claim of confidentiality is asserted when the information is received by EPA, it may be made available to the public without further notice to the respondents (40 CFR 2.203).

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et. seq.

EPA has determined that it is not necessary to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with this final rule. EPA has also determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of assessing the impact of today's rule on small entities, small entities are defined as: (1) A small business that is identified by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code in the Table below; (2) a small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; and (3) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.

| Category | NAICS code | SIC code | NAICS small business size standard (in number of employees or millions of dollars) |
|---|------------|----------|--|
| 1. <i>Chemical and Allied Products, NEC</i> | 424690 | 5169 | 100 |

Based on an analysis of the U.S. exports of methyl bromide to specific countries, EPA has determined that only 3 countries of the 50 to whom U.S. producers of methyl bromide have exported over the past three years would be impacted because they have not yet ratified the Copenhagen Amendments to the Protocol. Specifically, the rule would ban the export of 41 metric tonnes to Cyprus, Cote d'Ivoire, and the United Arab Emirates compared to an average export from the entire U.S. of 5,236 metric tonnes. These countries represent less than 1% of all U.S. exports of methyl bromide for the years 2000, 2001, and 2002. So, economic impacts for U.S. producers of methyl bromide would be extremely minimal. The rule will not constrain U.S. farmers' ability to obtain methyl bromide from importers because the major methyl bromide exporting countries have already ratified the Copenhagen Amendments.

After considering the economic impacts of today's final rule on small entities, EPA has concluded that this

action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This final rule will not impose any requirements on small entities. None of the entities affected by this rule are considered small as defined by the NAICS Code listed above.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Public Law 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. If a written statement is required under section 202, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires EPA to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule, unless the Agency explains why this alternative is not selected or the selection of this alternative is inconsistent with law.

Section 203 of the UMRA requires the Agency to establish a plan for obtaining input from and informing, educating, and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely affected by the rule. Section 204 of the UMRA requires the Agency to develop a process to allow elected state, local, and tribal government officials to provide input in the development of any proposal containing a significant Federal intergovernmental mandate.

EPA has determined that this rule does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in expenditures of \$100 million or more by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, in any one year. The provisions in today's rule fulfill the obligations of the United States under the international treaty, The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, as well as those requirements set forth by Congress in section 614 of the Clean Air Act. Viewed as a whole, all of today's amendments do not create a Federal mandate resulting in costs of \$100 million or more in any one year for State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or for the private sector. Thus, today's rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of

the UMRA. EPA has also determined that this rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments; therefore, EPA is not required to develop a plan with regard to small governments under section 203. Finally, because this proposal does not contain a significant intergovernmental mandate, the Agency is not required to develop a process to obtain input from elected state, local, and tribal officials under section 204.

E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government."

Under Section 6 of Executive Order 13132, EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by State and local governments, or EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the regulation. EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law, unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the regulation.

This rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. Today's rule is expected to primarily affect importers and exporters of methyl bromide. EPA is not aware of any current uses of methyl bromide by public sector entities. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments" (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications." This final rule does not have tribal implications, as specified in Executive Order 13175. Today's final rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. It does not impose any enforceable duties on communities of Indian tribal governments. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

G. Applicability of Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health & Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045: "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

EPA interprets E.O. 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5-501 of the Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This is not such a rule, and therefore E.O. 13045 does not apply. This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it implements specific trade measures adopted under the Montreal Protocol and required by section 614 of the CAA.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This rule is not a "significant energy action" as defined in Executive Order

13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law 104-113, Section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This rulemaking does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

VII. Congressional Review

A. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This rule will be effective October 23, 2003.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 82

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure,

Air pollution control, Chemicals, Exports, Imports, Methyl Bromide, Ozone layer.

Dated: July 11, 2003.

Linda J. Fisher,

Acting Administrator.

■ For reasons set out in the preamble, title 40 chapter I of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 82—PROTECTION OF STRATOSPHERIC OZONE

■ 1. The authority citation for subpart 82 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7414, 7601, 7671-7671q.

Subpart A—Production and Consumption Controls

■ 2. Section 82.4 is amended by adding paragraph (l)(5).

§ 82.4 Prohibitions for Class I Controlled Substances.

* * * * *

(1) * * *

(5) Import or export any quantity of a controlled substance listed in Class I, Group VI, in Appendix A to this subpart, from or to any foreign state not Party to the Copenhagen Amendments (as noted in Appendix C, Annex 1, to this subpart), unless that foreign state is complying with the Copenhagen Amendments (as noted in Appendix C, Annex 2, to this subpart).

* * * * *

■ 5. Appendix C to Subpart A is revised to read as follows:

Appendix C to Subpart A of Part 82—Parties to the Montreal Protocol, and Nations Complying With, But Not Parties To, The Protocol

Annex 1 to Appendix C of Subpart A—Parties to the Montreal Protocol (as of January 29, 2003)

The check mark [✓] means the particular country ratified the Protocol or the specific Amendment package. Amendment packages are identified by the name of the city where the amendment package was negotiated and agreed. Updated lists of Parties to the Protocol and the Amendments can be located at: <http://www.unep.org/ozone/ratif.shtml>.

| Foreign state | Montreal protocol | London amendments | Copenhagen amendments | Montreal amendments | Beijing amendments |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Albania | ✓ | | | | |
| Algeria | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Angola | ✓ | | | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |

| Foreign state | Montreal protocol | London amendments | Copenhagen amendments | Montreal amendments | Beijing amendments |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Argentina | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Armenia | ✓ | | | | |
| Australia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Austria | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Azerbaijan | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Bahamas | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Bahrain | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Bangladesh | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Barbados | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Belarus | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Belgium | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Belize | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Benin | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Bolivia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | ✓ | | | | |
| Botswana | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Brazil | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Brunei Darussalam | ✓ | | | | |
| Bulgaria | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Burkina Faso | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Burundi | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cambodia | ✓ | | | | |
| Cameroon | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Canada | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cape Verde | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Central African Republic | ✓ | | | | |
| Chad | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Chile | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| China | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Colombia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Comoros | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Congo | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Congo, Democratic Republic of | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Costa Rica | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Cote d'Ivoire | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Croatia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cuba | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Cyprus | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Czech Republic | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Denmark | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Djibouti | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Dominica | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Dominican Republic | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Ecuador | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Egypt | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| El Salvador | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Estonia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Ethiopia | ✓ | | | | |
| European Community | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Federated States of Micronesia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Fiji | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Finland | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| France | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Gabon | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Gambia | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Georgia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Germany | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Ghana | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Greece | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Grenada | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Guatemala | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Guinea | ✓ | | | | |
| Guinea Bissau | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Guyana | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Haiti | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Honduras | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Hungary | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Iceland | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| India | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Indonesia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Iran, Islamic | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Ireland | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Israel | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |

| Foreign state | Montreal protocol | London amendments | Copenhagen amendments | Montreal amendments | Beijing amendments |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Italy | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Jamaica | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Japan | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Jordan | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Kazakhstan | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Kenya | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Kiribati | ✓ | | | | |
| Korea, Democratic People's Republic of | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Korea, Republic of | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Kuwait | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Kyrgyzstan | ✓ | | | | |
| Lao, People's Democratic Republic | ✓ | | | | |
| Latvia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Lebanon | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Lesotho | ✓ | | | | |
| Liberia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Libyan Arab Jamahiriya | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Liechtenstein | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Lithuania | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Luxembourg | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Madagascar | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Malawi | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Malaysia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Maldives | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Mali | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Malta | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Marshall Islands | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Mauritania | ✓ | | | | |
| Mauritius | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Mexico | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Moldova | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Monaco | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Mongolia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Morocco | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Mozambique | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Myanmar | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Namibia | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Nauru | ✓ | | | | |
| Nepal | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Netherlands | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| New Zealand | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Nicaragua | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Niger | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Nigeria | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Norway | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Oman | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Pakistan | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Palau | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Panama | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Papua New Guinea | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Paraguay | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Peru | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Philippines | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Poland | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Portugal | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Qatar | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Romania | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Russian Federation | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Rwanda | ✓ | | | | |
| Saint Kitts & Nevis | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Saint Lucia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Samoa | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Sao Tome and Principe | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Saudi Arabia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Senegal | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Seychelles | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Sierra Leone | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Singapore | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Slovakia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Slovenia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Solomon Island | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Somalia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

| Foreign state | Montreal protocol | London amendments | Copenhagen amendments | Montreal amendments | Beijing amendments |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| South Africa | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Spain | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Sri Lanka | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Sudan | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Suriname | ✓ | | | | |
| Swaziland | ✓ | | | | |
| Sweden | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Switzerland | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Syrian Arab Republic | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Tajikistan | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Tanzania, United Republic of | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Thailand | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Togo | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Tonga | ✓ | | | | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Tunisia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Turkey | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Turkmenistan | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| Tuvalu | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Uganda | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Ukraine | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| United Arab Emirates | ✓ | | | | |
| United Kingdom | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| United States of America | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Uruguay | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Uzbekistan | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Vanuatu | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Venezuela | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Viet Nam | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Yemen | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Yugoslavia | ✓ | | | | |
| Zambia | ✓ | ✓ | | | |

**Annex 2 to Appendix C of Subpart A—
Nations Complying with, But Not
Parties to, the Protocol [Reserved]**

[FR Doc. 03-18856 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 261

[FRL-7535-9]

**Hazardous Waste Management
System; Identification and Listing of
Hazardous Waste; Withdrawal of Final
Exclusion**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Because the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) received adverse comment, we are withdrawing the direct final rule for Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Final Exclusion, delisting petition from Bekeart Steel, Dyersburg, Tennessee. We published the direct final rule on June 2, 2003, (68 FR 32645-32656). We stated in that direct final rule that if we received adverse

comment by July 17, 2003, we would publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register**. We subsequently received adverse comment on that direct final rule. EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule on the delisting petition submitted by Bekaert Steel, Inc. for the Dyersburg, Tennessee facility.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 68 FR 32645, June 2, 2003, is withdrawn as of July 25, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information concerning this withdrawal of direct final rule, please contact Ms. Jewell Grubbs, Chief, RCRA Enforcement and Compliance Branch, (Mail Code 4WD-RCRA), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, (404) 562-8568, or call, toll free, (800) 241-1754, and leave a message, with your name and phone number, for Ms. Jewell Grubbs to return your call. Questions may also be e-mailed to Ms. Jewell Grubbs at Grubbs.jewell@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA published a Direct Final Rule on June 2, 2003, granting the delisting petition submitted by Bekaert Steel, Inc. (Bekaert) for an F006 waste water treatment sludge from electroplating

operations, where Bekaert manufactured copper plated steel cord for the automobile tire industry. The rule would have become effective on August 1, 2003, without further notice, unless EPA received adverse comment by July 17, 2003. The direct final rule 45-day public comment period explained that if we received adverse comments, we would withdraw the relevant direct final action.

We received adverse comment and are therefore withdrawing the direct final rule approving Bekaert's delisting petition. Commentors argued that EPA could not issue a delisting petition based on another identical facility's data, and that the regulations specifically require the delisting to be based on site specific information. Therefore, for the petition to be complete Bekaert should submit at a minimum, three additional data points from the Dyersburg, Tennessee facility to support the initial delisting petition. The three additional data points must be collected in compliance with 40 CFR 260.22, and be sufficient to demonstrate the temporal and spatial variability of the petitioned waste. EPA shall review the data submitted and shall publish a proposed rule to provide public notice