

## Conclusions

The weighted two-dosimeter algorithm, described in this RIS, provides an acceptably conservative estimate of EDE<sub>ex</sub>. The TEDE based on EDE<sub>ex</sub> using this algorithm in accordance with its associated limitations is acceptable.

When recording or reporting doses in situations in which the EDE<sub>ex</sub> is assessed instead of the DDE, the value of the EDE<sub>ex</sub> is entered in place of the DDE in recording or reporting forms, such as NRC Forms 4 or 5.

## References

1. Exemption from the Requirements of 10 CFR part 20, § 20.1003 Definition of Total Effective Dose Equivalent issued to Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station; Indian Point Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3; James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant; Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station; River Bend Station; Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant; and Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3, 67 FR 58826 (September 18, 2002) (ML022550559).
2. EPRI Technical Report TR-101909, Volume 1, February 1993.
3. EPRI Technical Report TR-101909, Volume 2, June 1995.
4. EPRI Implementation Guide TR-109446, September 1998.
5. Xu, X. G.; Reese, W. D.; and Poston, J. W., "A Study of the Angular Dependence Problem In Effective Dose Equivalent Assessment", Health Physics, Volume 68., No. 2, February 1995, pp. 214-224.

## Backfit Discussion

This RIS does not require any action nor written response nor require any modification to plant structures, systems, components, or design; therefore, the staff did not perform a backfit analysis.

## Federal Register Notice

A notice of opportunity for public comment was published in the **Federal Register**.

## Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This RIS does not require any action nor written response nor require any modification to plant structures, systems, components, or design; therefore, the staff did not perform a backfit analysis.

## Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This RIS does not request any information collection.

## End of Draft Regulatory Issue Summary

Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available

records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if you have problems in accessing the documents in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of July 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**William D. Beckner,**

*Branch Chief, Reactor Operations Branch,  
Division of Inspection Program Management,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 03-18688 Filed 7-23-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Existing Collection; Comment Request

*Upon Written Request, Copies Available*

*From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.*

*Extension:*

Rule 23c-1 [17 CFR 270.23c-1], SEC File No. 270-253, OMB Control No. 3235-0260.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 23c-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, among other things, permits a closed-end fund to repurchase its securities for cash if in addition to the other requirements set forth in the rule: (i) Payment of the purchase price is accompanied or preceded by a written confirmation of the purchase; (ii) the asset coverage per unit of the security to be purchased is disclosed to the seller or his agent; and (iii) if the security is a stock, the fund has, within the preceding six months, informed stockholders of its intention to purchase stock. The Commission staff estimates that approximately 19 closed-end funds rely on rule 23c-1 annually to undertake approximately 132 repurchases of their securities. The

Commission staff estimates that, on average, a fund spends approximately 2.5 hours on complying with the paperwork requirements listed above each time it undertakes a security repurchase under the rule. The total annual burden of the rule's paperwork requirements thus is estimated to be 330 hours.

In addition, the fund must file with the Commission, during the calendar month following any month in which a purchase permitted by rule 23c-1 occurs, two copies of a report of purchases made during the month, together with a copy of any written solicitation to purchase securities given by or on behalf of the fund to 10 or more persons. The burden associated with filing Form N-23C-1, the form for this report, has been addressed in the submission for that Form.

The estimate of average burden hours is made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, and is not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate Executive Director/CIO, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: July 16, 2003.

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-18898 Filed 7-23-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**