

Section 7 and U.S. Corps Section 10 Permits, CA, Comment Period Ends: September 9, 2003, Contact: Ms. Sammie Cervantes (916) 978-5104.
EIS No. 030324, Final Supplement, COE, FL, Upper St. Johns River Basin and Related Areas, Central and Southern Florida Flood Control Project, Proposed Modifications to Project Features North of the Fellsmere Grade, To Preserve and Enhance Floodplain and Aquatic Habitats, Brevard County, FL, Wait Period Ends: August 18, 2003, Contact: Esteban Jimenez (904) 232-3442.
EIS No. 030325, Final EIS, AFS, CO, Missionary Ridge Burned Area Timber Salvage Project, Timber Harvesting, San Juan National Forest north of Durango, LaPlata County, CO, Wait Period Ends: August 18, 2003, Contact: David Dallison (970) 385-1253. This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/sanjuan/reading_room/planning_and_nepa/nepa1.htm.
EIS No. 030326, Final EIS, USN, NC, SC, VA, Introduction of F/A 18 E/F (Super Hornet) Aircraft, Replacing the F-14 (TOMCAT) and F/A-18 C/D (Hornet) Aircraft, Homebasing and Operation, Possible Homebase sites include Naval Air Station (NAS) Oceana, VA; Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Beaufort, SC and MCAS Cherry Point, Wait Period Ends: August 18, 2003, Contact: Fred Pierson (757) 322-4935.
EIS No. 030327, Draft EIS, FRC, CT, Housatonic River Hydroelectric Project, Application to Relicense Existing Licenses for Housatonic Project No. 2576-022 and the Falls Village Project No. 2597-019, Housatonic River Basin, Fairfield, New Haven and Litchfield Counties, CT, Comment Period Ends: September 2, 2003, Contact: Jack Duckworth (202) 502-6392. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.ferc.gov>.
EIS No. 030328, Draft EIS, NPS, MD, VA, PA, DC Chesapeake Bay Special Resource Study (SRS), To Conserve and Restore Chesapeake Bay, New Unit of the National Park System, MD, VA, PA, and DC. Comment Period Ends: September 17, 2003, Contact: Jonathan Doherty (410) 267-5725. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.chesapeakestudy.org>.
EIS No. 030329, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Middle Little Salmon Vegetation Management Project, Timber Stands Current Condition Improvements, Payette National Forest, New Meadows Ranger District, Adam County, ID, Wait Period Ends: August

18, 2003, Contact: Sylvia Clark (208) 347-0300. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r4/payette/main.htm1>.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 030233, Draft EIS, FHW, PA, Woodhaven Road Project, To Relieve Congestion on Byberry Road between the Roosevelt Boulevard and Huntingdon Pike, Philadelphia, Bucks and Montgomery Counties, PA, Comment Period Ends: August 11, 2003, Contact: James A Cheatham (717) 221-3461. Revision of FR Notice Published on 5/23/2003: CEQ Comment Period Ending 7/11/2003 has been Extended to 8/11/2003.
EIS No. 030289, Final Supplement, COE, TX, OK, Red River Chloride Control Project, Authorization to Reduce the Natural Occurring Levels of Chloride in the Wichita River Only Portion, North, Middle and South Forks, Wichita River and Red River, Implementation, Tulsa District, Wichita County, TX, Wait Period Ends: July 28, 2003, Contact: David L. Combs (918) 669-7660. Revision of FR Notice Published on 6/27/2003: Correction to the Title.

Dated: July 15, 2003.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities

[FR Doc. 03-18289 Filed 7-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6642-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65249-CA Rating EC2, Stream Fire Restoration Project, Implementation, Plumas National Forest, Mt. Hough Ranger District, Plumas County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern that the output

guidelines developed for Alternative C may be inconsistent with the overall management strategy embodied in the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment. EPA also expressed concern that the Forest Service has not identified road decommissioning opportunities as part of Alternatives B and C.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65423-ID Rating NS, Big Bend Ridge Vegetation Management Project and Timber Sale, Provision of Forest Products on a Sustained Yield Basis, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Ashton/Island Park Ranger District, Fremont County, ID.

Summary: EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of this action. Based upon this screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed action. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40173-TX Rating EC2, Grand Parkway/TX-99 Improvement Project, I-10 to US 290, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Harris County, TX.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns with the DEIS and proposed action, specifically relating to air quality impacts and conformity issues. EPA is also concerned with jurisdictional wetland delineations and mitigation, particularly the applicants interpretation of Galveston District Corps of Engineers policy as well as recent SWANC decisions.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-J65338-UT Uinta National Forest Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Juab, Sanpete, Tooele, Utah and Wasatch Counties, UT.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L39057-OR Rimrock Ecosystem Restoration Project, Promotion of Healthy and Sustainable Watershed Conditions, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, Heppner Ranger District, Grant, Morrow and Wheeler Counties, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-L09815-00 Fish and Wildlife Implementation Plan, Policy Directions for Fish and Wildlife Mitigation and Recovery, Implementation and Funding, Pacific Northwest, AZ, CA, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WY and British Columbia.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NRC-E05099-FL Generic EIS—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants for the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2, Supplement 11, NUREG-1437,

Implementation, Hutchinson Island, St. Lucie County, FL.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about some aspects of the project, but notes that the EIS indicates that the plant will continue radiological monitoring of effluents and the appropriate storage and disposition of radioactive waste during the license renewal period.

ERP No. F-USN-K52004-CA

Advanced Amphibious Assault Vehicle (AAAV) Development, Replacement and Establishment, Implementation, Del Mar Basin Area of Marine Corps Base (MCB) Camp Pendelton, San Diego County, CA

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: July 15, 2003.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 03-18290 Filed 7-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7529-3]

Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of a Public Meeting Concerning Public Involvement in EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Activities and Announcement of a Public Comment Period on a Proposal to Reorganize the SAB

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office is inviting members of the public to attend a public meeting as part of its continued effort to be more effective in obtaining public input in the SAB activities. The SAB Staff Office is also announcing a three-week public comment period on a proposal to reorganize the SAB.

DATES: The public meeting will take place on August 5, 2003, 10 a.m. to 3 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time. Written comments on the proposed reorganization of the SAB may be submitted to Mr. Fred Butterfield at the address identified in the section **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** no later than August 18, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Information about the meeting location will be announced on the SAB Web site, <http://www.epa/sab> one week before the meeting and also may be obtained by contacting Ms. Delores Darden as listed in the section **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**

below. The meeting will take place in the Washington, DC Metropolitan area.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the public meeting and the agenda, please contact Mr. Robert Flaak, telephone/voice mail at (202) 564-4546, or via e-mail at flaak.robert@epa.gov. Members of the public who have questions about, want to request a paper copy of the proposed reorganization, or would like to submit comments on the reorganization proposal should contact Mr. Fred Butterfield, telephone/voice mail at (202) 564-4561, via e-mail at butterfield.fred@epa.gov, or at the mailing address indicated below. Members of the public who have logistical questions about the meeting described in this notice may contact Ms. Delores Darden, telephone/voice mail at 202-564-2282, via fax at: (202) 501-0582, via e-mail at darden.delores@epa.gov, or at the following mailing address: EPA Science Advisory Board, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1400A), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460 (FedEx/Courier address: U.S. EPA SAB, Suite 6450, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004). General information concerning the SAB can be found on the EPA Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/sab>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary Information and Background Related to the Public Meeting

This public meeting is the second in a series of semiannual meetings that the EPA SAB Staff Office plans to hold with the public as part of its efforts to enhance effective public input as it continues to improve SAB policies and procedures. A description of the background for Staff Office meetings on public involvement in SAB activities can be found in the **Federal Register** notice published on September 5, 2002 (67 FR 56831-56832). At the August 5, 2003 meeting, the SAB Staff Office will provide a general update and take public comments on its planned efforts to improve policies and procedures at the SAB. One area of focus for discussions will be plans for developing a draft "Guide to Effective Public Participation in SAB Meetings and Report Development." The Staff Office will also address questions from the public concerning the proposal for reorganizing the SAB and hear public comments on the proposal.

Summary Information and Background Related to the Proposed Reorganization of the SAB

The SAB Staff Office is seeking public comments on a proposed organization of the SAB. The SAB Staff Office has worked extensively with the Reorganization Sub-Committee of the SAB Executive Committee and other EPA offices to develop this proposal. Background information on previous efforts to solicit public input concerning prospective SAB structural reorganization can be found in the **Federal Register** notice published on November 26, 2002 (67 FR 70729-70730). The Staff Office will consider public comments and consult with the SAB in making its final recommendations on the proposed reorganization to the EPA Administrator. The proposed SAB reorganization is available through the "Recent Additions" link on the EPA SAB Web site or can be obtained from Mr. Butterfield (for addresses see the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.)

The proposed reorganization is intended to enhance the ability of the SAB to carry out its critical mission more effectively. The Staff Office has identified three specific questions for public comment.

(a) Does the proposed reorganization appear to more effectively support the SAB mission in improving the way science is developed and used in environmental decision-making within EPA through its advisory role and peer review function, and does it appear to be flexible enough to support the SAB's mission in meeting future environmental challenges?

(b) Does the proposed reorganization appear to meet the SAB's goal of strengthening the independence of the SAB peer-review process and enhancing the quality of its peer review reports?

(c) If the proposed reorganization is approved, are there any major considerations that the SAB Staff Office should be aware of in planning for and implementing the proposed reorganization, especially in regard to facilitating effective public involvement in SAB processes?

Written comments on the proposed reorganization will be accepted until the date specified above. Written comments should be provided either in hard copy with original signature or electronic copy (acceptable file formats: Adobe Acrobat, WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM-PC/Windows 95/98 format), and may be sent to Mr. Butterfield at the mailing or e-mailing addresses provided above.