

success would entail draining the reservoir pool, passing most fish downstream, and utilizing a fish toxicant (rotenone) to kill any remaining fish. The configuration of Cascade Dam would allow the almost complete evacuation of water down to the former river channel. After the eradication of the fish, the reservoir would begin to refill with the next seasons spring runoff.

Through preliminary public involvement conducted by IDFG, several areas of potential impact and public concern caused by the proposed operational changes have been identified. Irrigation supply may be affected, and alternative management of flows and operations will need to be considered. The flows in the North and South Forks of the Payette River provide a commercial whitewater rafting industry, and change in water management from Reclamation reservoirs may have adverse effects. Water-based recreation on the lake itself may also be impacted. Water quality both in Lake Cascade and downstream may be impaired. Lake Cascade also supports several nesting pairs of bald eagles, a federally listed threatened species, as well as abundant waterfowl and other wildlife.

In response to the issues developed during scoping, other alternative means of operating the reservoir system to meet IDFG's needs will be explored and analyzed in the EIS if found to be feasible. In addition to changes at Lake Cascade, these alternatives may involve changes in operations upstream at Payette Lake, as well as at Deadwood Reservoir. Federal, state and local agencies, tribes, and the general public are invited to participate in the EIS process.

Dated: June 11, 2003.

**J. William McDonald,**

*Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 03-17815 Filed 7-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### Notice of Proposed Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation

and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing that the information collection requests for 30 CFR part 733, Maintenance of State programs and procedures for substituting Federal enforcement of State programs and withdrawing approval of State programs; 30 CFR part 785, Requirements for permits for special categories of mining; and 30 CFR part 876, Acid mine drainage treatment and abatement program, have been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The information collection requests describe the nature of the information collections and their expected burden and cost.

**DATES:** OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collections but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, public comments should be submitted to OMB by August 14, 2003, in order to be assured of consideration.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request a copy of any of the three information collection requests, explanatory information and related forms, contact John A. Trelease at (202) 208-2783. You may also contact Mr. Trelease at [jtreleas@osmre.gov](mailto:jtreleas@osmre.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted three requests to OMB to renew its approval for the collections of information found at 30 CFR parts 733, 785 and 876. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for these information collection activities.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for these collections of information are 1029-0025 for part 733, 1029-0040 for part 785, and 1029-0104 for part 876, and may be found in OSM's regulations at 733.10, 785.10 and 876.10.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a **Federal Register** notice soliciting comments on the collections of information for parts 733, 785 and 876 was published on March 14, 2003 (68 FR 12379). No comments were received. This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activities:

**Title:** Maintenance of State programs and procedures for substituting Federal enforcement of State programs and withdrawing approval of State programs, 30 CFR 733.

**OMB Control Number:** 1029-0025.

**Summary:** This part provides that any interested person may request the Director of OSM to evaluate a State program by setting forth in the request a concise statement of facts that the person believe establishes the need for the evaluation.

**Bureau Form Number:** None.

**Frequency of Collection:** Once.

**Description of Respondents:** Any interested person (individuals, businesses, institutions, organizations).

**Total Annual Response:** 2.

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 200 hours.

**Title:** Requirements for permits for special categories of mining, 30 CFR 785.

**OMB Control Number:** 1029-0040.

**Summary:** The information is being collected to meet the requirements of sections 507, 508, 510, 515, 516, 701 and 711 of Pub. L. 95-87, which require applicants for special types of mining activities to provide descriptions, maps, plans and data of the proposed activity. This information will be used by the regulatory authority in determining if the applicant can meet the applicable performance standards for the special type of mining activity.

**Bureau Form Number:** None.

**Frequency of Collection:** Once.

**Description of Respondents:**

Applicants for coalmine permits.

**Total Annual Responses:** 432.

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 47,850 hours.

**Title:** Acid mine drainage treatment and abatement program, 30 CFR 876.

**OMB Control Number:** 1029-0104.

**Summary:** This part establishes the requirements and procedures allowing State and Indian Tribes to establish acid mine drainage abatement and treatment programs under the Abandoned Mine Land fund as directed through Public Law 101-508.

**Bureau Form Number:** None.

**Frequency of Collections:** Once.

**Description of Respondents:** State governments and Indian Tribes.

**Total Annual Responses:** 1.

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 350 hours.

Send comments on the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and ways to

minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information, to the following address. Please refer to the appropriate OMB control number in all correspondence.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Department of Interior Desk Officer, by telefax at (202) 395-5806 or via e-mail to *Ruth\_Solomon@omb.eop.gov*. Also, please send a copy of your comments to John A. Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave, NW., Room 210-SIB, Washington, DC 20240, or electronically to *jtreleas@osmre.gov*.

Dated: May 21, 2003.

**Richard G. Bryson,**

*Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.*

[FR Doc. 03-17855 Filed 7-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,073]

#### **Collins & Aikman Automotive Systems, Marshall, MI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application of May 30, 2003, the International Union, UAW, Region 1C and Local Union 1294 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 16, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 2003 (68 FR 23322).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Collins & Aikman Automotive Systems, Marshall, Michigan was denied because the "contributed importantly" group

eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of customers of the workers' firm. The survey revealed that none of the respondents increased their purchases of vibration dampeners. The company did not import vibration dampeners in the relevant period nor did it shift production to a foreign source.

The union asserts that the company shifted production to Canada, and in support of this, includes a letter dated October 1, 2002 from a former company official who indicates that some plant production previously supplied by the subject plant to an affiliated Canadian facility was outsourced to a Canadian vendor.

A review of the initial investigation revealed that the same company official who provided the letter noted above also provided information to the Department in March of 2003. This information included a table that clearly delineated which customers were responsible for sales losses from the subject plant in the relevant period, and provides exact figures of the volume of sales loss that each customer was responsible for. The table further indicates that a Collin's & Aikman facility in Canada ceased purchasing vibration deadeners from the subject facility, and that this production was "resourced to another vendor". However, in context to total plant production, the sales loss to this customer was negligible. Further, in a communication with the Department during the initial investigation, this same company official stated that it was the decline in business from another customer who represented the overwhelming majority of subject plant business that precipitated the shift in production to another domestic facility, and subsequent closure of the subject plant.

The union appears to allege that a significant shift in production to Canada is indicated in a local new article that mentions the closure of two Collins & Aikman domestic plants (including the subject facility) and later states that a Collins & Aikman facility in Ontario, Canada "took on more business as Collins & Aikman restructured with work transferred from closed plants." The union infers that the subject plant must be one of the plants that shifted production to Canada because it is one of two plants mentioned as being closed.

As already indicated, a negligible amount of production was shifted from the subject facility to Canada, albeit not

significant enough to contribute significantly to layoffs. Plant closure is predominantly attributable to the decline in business from the subject facility's largest customer and a subsequent decision by the company to shift production from the subject facility to another domestic facility in Ohio.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of June, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-17822 Filed 7-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,295]

#### **Evening Vision Dresses, Ltd, Also Doing Business as Evening Vision Limited, Evening Visions Apparel, Ltd, New York, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 9, 2003, applicable to workers of Evening Vision Dresses, LTD located in New York, New York. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2003 (68 FR 20177).

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce dresses. The review shows that the subject firm also does business under Evening Vision Limited and Evening Vision Dresses at the same New York, New York location.

It is the Department's intent to include all workers of Evening Vision Dresses, LTD, New York, New York, adversely affected by increased imports. Therefore, the Department is amending the certification to include workers whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) wages were reported to Evening Vision Limited and Evening Vision Dresses.