

airspace area into compliance with FAA Order 7400.2E, Procedures for handling Airspace Matters. The information published, however, did not correct a previous error in the volume of Class E airspace necessary at Red Oak, IA and did not bring the airspace area into compliance with the order. This action rectifies the oversight and does bring the Red Oak, IA Class E airspace into compliance with FAA Order 7400.2E.

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Red Oak, IA Class E airspace, as published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, May 23, 2003, (68 FR 28123), [FR Doc. 03-13045] is corrected as follows:

#### § 71.1 [Corrected]

■ On page 28124, Column 3, second paragraph, sixth and ninth lines after heading "ACE IA E5 Red Oak, IA," change "6-mile radius" to read "6.4-mile radius."

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on July 1, 2003.

**Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,**

*Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.*

[FR Doc. 03-17761 Filed 7-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 171

[Docket No. FAA-2003-15079; Airspace  
Docket No. 03-ACE-47]

#### Modification of Class E Airspace; Sac City, IA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; request for comments; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects a direct final rule; request for comments that was published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, May 23, 2003, (68 FR 28127) [FR Doc. 03-13039]. It corrects an error in the dimension and legal description of the Sac City, IA Class E airspace area.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective on 0901 UTC, September 4, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE-520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329-2525.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### History

**Federal Register** Document 03-13039, published on Friday, May 23, 2003, (68

FR 28127) modified Class E airspace and Sac City, IA. The modification was to correct discrepancies in the Sac City Municipal Airport, IA airport reference point and the location of the Sac City nondirectional radio beacon, both used in the legal description of the Sac City, IA Class E airspace area. These corrections were to bring the airspace area into compliance with FAA Order 7400.2E, Procedures for handling Airspace Matters. The information published, however, did not correct a previous error in the volume of Class E airspace necessary at Sac City, IA and did not bring the airspace area into compliance with the order. This action rectifies the oversight and does bring the Sac City, IA Class E airspace into compliance with FAA Order 7400.2E.

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Sac City, IA Class E airspace, as published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, May 23, 2003, (68 FR 28127), [FR Doc. 03-13039] is corrected as follows:

#### § 71.1 [Corrected]

■ On page 28127, Column 1, sixth paragraph, sixth and ninth lines after heading "ACE IA E5 Sac City, IA," change "6-mile radius" to read "6.4-mile radius."

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on July 1, 2003.

**Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,**

*Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.*

[FR Doc. 03-17760 Filed 7-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Parole Commission

#### 28 CFR Part 2

#### Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners: Prisoners Serving Sentences Under the United States and District of Columbia Codes

**AGENCY:** United States Parole Commission, Justice.

**ACTION:** Interim rule with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Parole Commission is amending interim rules on the supervision of District of Columbia offenders who are serving terms of supervised release imposed by the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. This publication sets out all of the Commission's rules on D.C. supervised release cases, first promulgated as interim rules in November, 2000, and includes rules promulgated in January, 2003 on

revocation procedures for supervised releasees, and new provisions regarding the conditions of supervision and the appeal of supervised release revocation decisions.

With the promulgation of these amended interim rules for D.C. supervised releasees, the Commission is also making revisions to several rules for federal offenders and D.C. parolees in order to maintain consistent procedures and reduce duplicative rules. The rule describing the administrative appeal procedure for federal offenders is revised to include certain requirements regarding the formatting of the appeal. The Commission is also revising the rules describing the conditions of supervision for federal and D.C. parolees in an effort to reduce duplicative rules and make the conditions easier to read and understand. These amendments are also promulgated as interim rules.

The interim rules also contain a number of amendments to the citations to the District of Columbia Code made necessary as a result of a recodification of D.C. criminal laws.

**DATES:** Effective Date: August 14, 2003. Comments must be received by November 12, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Office of General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission, 5550 Friendship Blvd, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815, telephone (301) 492-5959. Questions about this publication are welcome, but inquiries concerning individual cases cannot be answered over the telephone.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997, Pub.L. 105-33, Congress assigned to the U.S. Parole Commission the task of carrying out supervised release terms imposed for D.C. felony offenders by the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. D.C. Code 24-133(c)(2). The Commission was given the same authority over D.C. supervised releasees as is exercised by U.S. District Courts over federal supervised releasees under 18 U.S.C. 3583, except that any extension of a term of supervised release imposed by the Superior Court must be ordered by the Superior Court, not the Commission. Further, the Revitalization Act specifies that the procedures to be followed by the Commission in exercising its authority over D.C. supervised releasees are the procedures applicable to federal parolees under the Parole Commission and Reorganization Act of 1976, as set forth in Chapter 311 of Title 18, United States Code.

In November, 2000, the Commission published interim rules governing its