

*Id.* The CIT affirmed the Department's remand determination. *CIT Decision II*.

Although the Department complied with the CIT's instructions, the Department and Maui Pineapple Co., Ltd. (petitioner) appealed the CIT's decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) only with respect to the issue of the allocation of raw material costs of pineapple. In a decision issued on July 28, 1999, the CAFC reversed the CIT finding that the Department wrongly allocated raw material costs of pineapple and held that the Department's allocation methodologies were reasonable and supported by substantial evidence. *See CAFC Decision*, 187 F.3d at 1369–70. Because the remaining issues in *CIT Decision II* were not appealed, including the timing period used to calculate Dole's weighted average margin calculation, those portions of the *CIT Decision II* not pertaining to the Department's fruit cost allocation methodology remain undisturbed by the *CAFC Decision*. As there are now final and conclusive court decisions with respect to the litigation pertaining to this proceeding, we are hereby amending our amended final determination. As described above, any outstanding entries are no longer subject to an injunction. We will instruct BCBP to liquidate any outstanding entries subject to the cash deposit rate established by this revised final determination.

#### Amendment To Final Determinations

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516(f), we are now amending the amended final determination of sales at less than fair value to reflect a revised weighted average margin for Dole. The revised weighted-average margin for Dole in the antidumping determination on canned pineapple from Thailand (A-549–813) for the period January 1, 1994 through June 30, 1994 is 1.25 percent. Accordingly, the Department will determine and the BCBP will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by firms covered by the review of the period listed above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the BCBP within 15 days of the publication of this notice.

Dated: July 8, 2003.

**Jeffrey May,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03–17744 Filed 7–11–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570–803]

#### Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Bars/Wedges

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 14, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin at (202) 482–3936, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period February 1, 2001 through January 31, 2002. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 67 FR 14696 (March 27, 2002). The deadline for the preliminary results of review for the order on bars/wedges was extended on October 22, 2002. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 64869 (October 22, 2002). The preliminary results were published on March 6, 2003. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Order on Bars and Wedges*, 68 FR 10690 (March 6, 2003).

#### Extension Of Time Limits For Final Results Of Review:

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete its final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results were published. However, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to

extend the deadline for completion of the final results to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results. As a result of the complex issues involved in this review, it is not practicable to complete this review by July 7, 2003, and we are extending the time limit for one month, to August 7, 2003. *See Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary*, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. This notice is published in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: July 7, 2003.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary/Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 03–17743 Filed 7–11–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357–812]

#### Honey From Argentina; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limits.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 2001–2002 new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. This new shipper review covers one exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period May 11, 2001 through November 30, 2002.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 14, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angela Strom at (202) 482–2704 or Donna Kinsella at (202) 482–0194, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On February 6, 2003, in response to a request from Nutrin S.A., the exporter, and Nutrin Corporation, its affiliated U.S. company (collectively, “Nutrin”), we published a notice of initiation of

this new shipper antidumping duty administrative review in the **Federal Register**. See *Honey from Argentina: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 6114. Pursuant to the time limits for administrative reviews set forth in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), the current deadlines are July 30, 2003 for the preliminary results and October 28, 2003 for the final results. It is not practicable to complete this review within the normal statutory time limit due to a number of significant case issues, such as sales below cost, high inflation, currency devaluation, and the bona fides of the transaction under review. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until November 28, 2003 in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 90 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(3)(A) (2001)).

Dated: July 8, 2003.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 03-17748 Filed 7-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[I.D. 060503A]

#### Notice of Intent To Conduct Public Scoping and Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Snohomish County, Washington, Habitat Conservation Plan

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Interior

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, this notice advises the public that the USFWS and NMFS (collectively, the Services) intend to gather necessary information to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) related to the proposed approval of a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) and issuance of two incidental

take permits (Permits) (one from NMFS and one from USFWS) to take endangered and threatened species in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA). The Permit applicant is Snohomish County, Washington, Department of Public Works (Department). The application is related to activities associated with the development and maintenance of transportation and drainage infrastructure, including substantial capital projects, in the North Lake Washington Watershed, in southwest Snohomish County. The Department intends to apply for the Permits for Puget Sound chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), and certain other federally listed species. To address potential future listings, the Department also plans to seek coverage for approximately 14 unlisted fish and wildlife species under specific provisions of the Permits. In accordance with the ESA, the Department will prepare an HCP that includes measures to minimize and mitigate any take that could occur incidental to the proposed Permit activities (development and maintenance of transportation and drainage infrastructure).

The Services are furnishing this notice: (1) to advise other agencies and the public of the Services' intent to prepare an environmental review document, and (2) to obtain suggestions and information on the scope of issues to include in the environmental review.

**DATES:** Written comments from all interested parties must be received on or before August 13, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and requests for information should be sent to Jo Ellen Henry, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, USFWS, 510 Desmond Drive, S.E., Suite 102, Lacey, Washington 98503-1263, facsimile (360) 753-9518; or Chris Clemons, Fisheries Biologist, Habitat Conservation Division, NMFS, 503 Desmond Way, Suite 103, Lacey, WA 98503, facsimile (206) 526-6736.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jo Ellen Henry, USFWS, at telephone (360) 753-7766; or Chris Clemons, NMFS, at telephone (360) 753-9595.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Comments**

Written comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties to ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are identified. Comments will not be accepted via e-mail or the internet. All comments and materials received, including names and addresses, will become part of the administrative record

and may be released to the public. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

#### **Background**

The Lake Washington Watershed (Watershed) encompasses approximately 670 square miles [1,735 square Kilometers (Square Km)]. The Watershed boundary extends from southwest Snohomish County to south King County. The majority of the watershed (approximately 85 percent) lies within the boundaries of King County. The probable area covered by the proposed HCP lies within Snohomish County's portion of the watershed. Three sub-basins, North Creek, Swamp Creek, and Little Bear Creek, will be the focus of the HCP's covered area. While small segments are situated within King County, 95 percent of these sub-basins are within southwest Snohomish County (approximately 69 square miles (178 Square Km)). The largest of these, North Creek, is nearly 29 square miles (75 Square Km), with approximately 27 square miles (69 Square Km) located within Snohomish County (94 percent of the sub-basin). The second largest, Swamp Creek, is nearly 25 square miles (64 Square Km) in size, with approximately 23 square miles (59 Square Km) located within Snohomish County (92 percent of the sub-basin). The smallest of the targeted sub-basins, Little Bear Creek, is just over 15 square miles (38 Square Km), and has approximately 13 square miles (33 Square Km) located within Snohomish County (87 percent of the sub-basin).

Snohomish County owns, and the Department maintains, an extensive system of roadways and drainage facilities within these basins. Departmentally maintained rights-of-way approach nearly 315 miles (506 meters) in total length. The majority of this roadway (88 percent) lies within a state-designated Urban Growth Area (UGA), which is zoned for high-density residential and industrial development. A small portion of the road system and its attendant infrastructure is located outside of the UGA, primarily within the Little Bear Creek sub-basin.

Presently, over 1.4 million people reside in the Greater Lake Washington Watershed. The population growth trend in the Puget Sound region demands an increasingly complex roadway and drainage facilities infrastructure. Snohomish County recognizes the need to maintain and upgrade its transportation system to