

■ 26. Amend § 2.79 by removing “D.C. Code 24–204” and adding “D.C. Code 24–404”.

#### § 2.91 [Amended]

■ 27. Amend § 2.91, paragraph (a), by removing “D.C. Code 24–1233(c) and 4203(b)(4)” and adding “D.C. Code 24–133(c)”.

#### § 2.92 [Amended]

■ 28. Amend § 2.92, paragraph (a), by removing “D.C. Code 24–431(a)” and adding “D.C. Code 24–221.03(a) and 24–405”.

#### § 2.98 [Amended]

■ 29. Amend § 2.98, paragraph (e), by removing “D.C. Code 24–206(a)” and adding “D.C. Code 24–406(a)”.

#### § 2.100 [Amended]

■ 30. Amend § 2.100, paragraph (d)(2), by removing “D.C. Code 24–206(a)” and adding “D.C. Code 24–406(a)”.

■ 31. Amend § 2.102 by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

#### § 2.102 Place of revocation hearing.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d)(1) A parolee shall be given an institutional revocation hearing upon the parolee’s return or recommitment to an institution if the parolee:

(i) Voluntarily waives the right to a local revocation hearing; or

(ii) Admits (or has been convicted of) one or more charged violations without contesting any unadjudicated charge that may be determinative of the Commission’s decision regarding revocation and/or reparole.

(2) An institutional revocation hearing may also be conducted in the District of Columbia jail or prison facility in which the parolee is being held. On his own motion, a Commissioner may designate any case described in paragraph (d)(1) of this section for a local revocation hearing. The difference in procedures between a “local revocation hearing” and an “institutional revocation hearing” is set forth in § 2.103(b).

\* \* \* \* \*

#### § 2.105 [Amended]

■ 32. Amend § 2.105 by removing “D.C. Code 24–206(a)” wherever it appears in paragraphs (b), (d), and (e) and adding “D.C. Code 24–406(a)”.

■ 33. Amend § 2.106 by revising paragraph (a) as set forth below, and, in paragraph (c), by removing “D.C. Code 24–805” and adding “D.C. Code 24–905”.

The revised text reads as follows:

#### § 2.106 Youth Rehabilitation Act.

(a) *Regulations governing YRA offenders and D.C. Code FYCA offenders.* Unless the judgment and commitment order provides otherwise, the provisions of this section shall apply to an offender sentenced under the Youth Rehabilitation Act of 1985 (D.C. Code 24–901 *et seq.*) (YRA) who committed his offense before 5 p.m., August 11, 2000, and a D.C. Code offender sentenced under the former Federal Youth Corrections Act (former 18 U.S.C. 5005 *et seq.*) (FYCA). An offender sentenced under the YRA who committed his offense (or who continued to commit his offense) on or after 5 p.m., August 11, 2000, is not eligible for release on parole, but may be terminated from a term of supervised release before the expiration of the term and receive a certificate setting aside the conviction under § 2.208(f). *See* D.C. Code 24–904(c) and 24–906(c).

\* \* \* \* \*

#### § 2.107 [Amended]

■ 34. Amend § 2.107, paragraph (a), by removing “D.C. Code 24–1233(b)(2)(G)” and “D.C. Code 24–251” and adding “D.C. Code 24–133(b)(2)(G)” and “D.C. Code 24–451”, respectively.

Dated: June 27, 2003.

Edward F. Reilly, Jr.,

Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 03–17175 Filed 7–11–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–31–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD09–03–235]

RIN 1625–AA00

#### Safety Zone; Gary Air and Water Show, Lake Michigan, Gary, IN

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the Gary Air and Water Show. The safety zone is necessary to protect vessels, participants and spectators during the Gary Air and Water Show. This safety zone is intended to restrict vessel from a portion of Lake Michigan.

**DATES:** This temporary final rule is effective from 8:30 a.m. on July 17, 2003, until 7 p.m. on July 20, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as

documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [CGD09–03–235] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Chicago, 215 W. 83rd Street, Suite D, Chicago, Illinois 60527, between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

MST2 Kenneth Brockhouse, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Chicago, at (630) 986–2155.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The permit application was not received in time to publish an NPRM followed by a final rule before the effective date. Delaying this rule would be contrary to the public interest of ensuring the safety of spectators and vessels during this event and immediate action is necessary to prevent possible loss of life or property. The Coast Guard has not received any complaints or negative comments previously with regard to this event.

##### Background and Purpose

A temporary safety zone is necessary to ensure the safety of participants and spectators from the hazards associated with an air and water show. All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on scene patrol personnel. Entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Chicago or his designated on scene representative. The Captain of the Port Chicago’s designated on scene representative will be the Patrol Commander. The Captain of the Port or his designated on scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

##### Discussion of Rule

The safety zone will encompass all waters and adjacent shoreline of Lake Michigan bounded by the arc of a circle with a radius of 5 nautical miles with its center in approximate position 41°37’25” N, 087°15’42” W (off of Miller Beach Ogden Dunes). These coordinates are based upon North American Datum 1983 (NAD 1983).

### Regulatory Evaluation

This temporary rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary.

### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Coast Guard considered whether this rule will have a significant impact on a substantial number of small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated are not dominant in their respective fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) that this temporary final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

### Assistance for Small Entities

In accordance with Section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), the Coast Guard offered to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effectiveness and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

### Collection of Information

This rule contains no information collection requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and has determined that

this rule does not have implications under that Order.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Protection of Children

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.IC, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A “Categorical Exclusion Determination” is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and Recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Vessels, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. From 8:30 a.m. on July 17, 2003 through 7 p.m. on July 20, 2003 add a new temporary § 165.T09–235 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T09–235 Safety Zone; Lake Michigan, Gary, Indiana.

(a) *Location.* The following is a safety zone: all waters and adjacent shoreline of Lake Michigan bounded by the arc of a circle with a radius of 5 nautical miles with its center in approximate position 41°37'25" N, 087°15'42" W (off of Miller Beach Ogden Dunes)(NAD 1983).

(b) *Enforcement periods.* This rule is effective from 8:30 a.m. on July 17, 2003, through 7 p.m. on July 20, 2003. This section will be enforced from 8:30 a.m. through 7 p.m. on July 18, 2003; from 8:30 a.m. through 7 p.m. on July 19, 2003; and again from 8:30 a.m. through 7 p.m. on July 20, 2003.

(c) *Regulations.* In accordance with § 165.23, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Chicago, or the designated on scene representative. Section 165.23 also contains other general requirements.

Dated: June 30, 2003.

**Raymond E. Seebald,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Chicago.*

[FR Doc. 03–17724 Filed 7–11–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Patent and Trademark Office

#### 37 CFR Part 1

**RIN 0651–AB60**

#### Revision of Patent Fees for Fiscal Year 2004

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.