

**§§ 173.21, 173.23, 173.29, and 173.77**  
**[Amended]**

■ 31. In part 173, add the words “or her” immediately after the word “his” in the following places:

- 1. Section 173.21(a)(2).
- 2. Section 173.23.
- 3. Section 173.29(a) and (d).
- 4. Section 173.77(b)(2) and (e).

**§ 173.57 [Amended]**

■ 32. In § 173.57(j), remove the word “skis”, and add, in its place, the word “skis”.

**PART 174—STATE NUMBERING AND CASUALTY REPORTING SYSTEMS**

■ 33. The authority citation for part 174 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 6101, 12302; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

**§ 174.3 [Amended]**

■ 34. In § 174.3, in the definition of “owner”, add the word “or her” immediately after the word “him”.

**§ 174.5 [Amended]**

■ 35. In § 174.5, add the words “or she” immediately after the word “he”.

Dated: June 17, 2003.

**Joseph J. Angelo,**

*Director of Standards, Marine Safety, Security & Environmental Protection.*

[FR Doc. 03-15742 Filed 6-24-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Coast Guard**

**33 CFR Part 117**

**[CGD01-03-044]**

**Drawbridge Operation Regulations: Long Island, New York Inland Waterway From East Rockaway Inlet to Shinnecock Canal, NY**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of temporary deviation from regulations; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Commander, First Coast Guard District, has issued a temporary deviation from the drawbridge operation regulations for the Meadowbrook State Parkway Bridge, mile 12.8, across the Sloop Channel, in New York. This temporary deviation will test a proposed change to the drawbridge operation schedule and help determine whether a permanent change to the regulations is reasonable. This temporary deviation will allow the Meadowbrook State

Parkway Bridge to remain in the closed position from 9 p.m. to midnight on July 4, 2003. This temporary deviation is necessary to facilitate public safety during the annual Jones Beach, Fourth of July fireworks event.

**DATES:** Comments must reach the Coast Guard on or before September 5, 2003. This deviation is effective on July 4, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** You may mail comments to Commander (obr), First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, at 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, MA 02110-3350, or deliver them to the same address between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (617) 223-8364. The First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Schmied, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, at (212) 668-7195.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments or related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD01-03-044), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know if they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Comments must be received by September 5, 2003.

**Background and Purpose**

The Meadowbrook State Parkway Bridge has a vertical clearance of 22 feet at mean high water and 25 feet at mean low water in the closed position, unlimited vertical clearance in the full open position. The existing regulations are listed at 33 CFR 117.799(h).

The bridge owner, the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, requested that the bridge be allowed to remain closed from 9 p.m.

to midnight, during the annual Fourth of July fireworks event at the Jones Beach State Park. Allowing the bridge to remain closed is expected to enhance public safety by allowing the large volume of vehicular and pedestrian traffic to safely enter and exit Jones Beach during this annual public event.

Under this temporary deviation the Meadowbrook State Parkway Bridge may remain in the closed position from 9 p.m. through midnight on July 4, 2003.

The Coast Guard coordinated this closure with the mariners who normally use this waterway to help facilitate this public event and to minimize any disruption to the marine transportation system.

This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.43, and comments and information gathered during the comment period will assist the Coast Guard in determining if this test operating schedule is reasonable and should be made a permanent addition to the drawbridge operation regulations.

Dated: June 13, 2003.

**John L. Grenier,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 03-16000 Filed 6-24-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

**[IN153-2; FRL-7508-6]**

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is approving revisions to particulate matter (PM) regulations for Richmond Power and Light Company (RPL) of Wayne County, Indiana. EPA proposed approval of these regulations, 326 Indiana Administrative Code (IAC) 6-1-14, on April 9, 2003. EPA did not receive any comments on the proposed rule. As a result, the long-term (annual) limits for RPL will be consistent with the short-term limits. Modeling analysis show that air quality is expected to be maintained.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on July 25, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** You may inspect copies of Indiana's submittal at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs

Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, Telephone: (312) 886-6524.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” are used we mean the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. What are the changes from the previous rule?
- II. What is the EPA’s analysis of the supporting materials?
- III. Public Comments.
- IV. Summary of EPA action.
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews.

**I. What Are the Changes From the Previous Rule?**

Indiana revised the long-term PM limits in State Implementation Plan (SIP) rule 326 IAC 6-1-14 for the two RPL boilers in order to make them consistent with the SIP’s short-term limits. For boiler no. 1, the new limit is 320 TPY; for boiler no. 2, the new limit is 700 TPY. The previous limits were 71.6 TPY and 233.3 TPY, respectively. RPL’s short-term limits remain at 0.19 pounds per million British Thermal Units (lb/MMBTU) and 0.22 lb/MMBTU, respectively. The combined short-term emissions limit for both boilers stays at 0.22 lb/MMBTU.

**II. What Is the EPA’s Analysis of the Supporting Materials?**

Indiana submitted a PM modeling analysis for RPL on August 8, 1995 as part of the SIP revision request approved by EPA on April 9, 1996 (61 FR 15704). This modeling analysis applies to both the short-term limits approved in 1996 and to the new long-term limits. The maximum modeled annual PM concentration was 42.5 micrograms per meter cubed ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ). This is 1.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  above the measured background concentration of 40.8  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . The annual National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM is 50  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . As the modeled concentration is below the NAAQS, the air quality of Wayne County, Indiana should be protected.

**III. Public Comments**

EPA did not receive any public comments on the proposed rulemaking.

The comment period closed on May 9, 2003.

**IV. Summary of EPA Action**

EPA is approving revisions to 326 IAC 6-1-14, the PM emission limits for Wayne County, Indiana. EPA proposed approval of these revisions on April 9, 2003 (68 FR 17331) and received no comments during the 30-day comment period. These revisions change the long-term (annual) PM emission limits for both boilers at the RPL facility to make them consistent with short-term limits for these sources. EPA approved revisions to the short-term limits for RPL on April 9, 1996. The PM modeling analysis show concentrations below the NAAQS level, demonstrating that the air quality of Wayne County, Indiana should be protected.

**V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

*Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review*

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a “significant regulatory action” and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget.

*Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

*Regulatory Flexibility Act*

This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

*Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

*Executive Order 13132: Federalism*

This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various

levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act.

*Executive Order 13175: Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

*Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks*

This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

*Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use*

This action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001).

*National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act*

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

*Congressional Review Act*

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the

agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 804 exempts from section 801 the following types of rules: (1) Rules of particular applicability; (2) rules relating to agency management or personnel; and (3) rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice that do not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties. 5 U.S.C. 804(3). EPA is not required to submit a rule report regarding this action under section 801 because this is a rule of particular applicability.

*Petitions for Judicial Review*

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 25, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 22, 2003.

**Steven Rothblatt,**  
*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart P—Indiana**

■ 2. Section 52.770 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(159) to read as follows:

**§ 52.770 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(159) On January 31, 2003, Indiana submitted revised particulate matter regulations for Richmond Power and Light Company's coal burning power plant in Wayne County, Indiana. The submission amends 326 IAC 6-1-14. The revisions make the long-term emission limits consistent with the short-term limits approved by EPA on April 9, 1996. The new limits are 320 tons per years for boiler number 1 and 700 tons per years for boiler number 2.

(i) Incorporation by Reference

Amendments to Indiana Administrative Code Title 326: Air Pollution Control Board, Article 6: Particulate Rules, Rule 1: Non-attainment Area Limitations, Section 14: Wayne County PM emission requirements. Filed with the Secretary of State on March 10, 2003 and effective on April 9, 2003. Published in 26 *Indiana Register* 2318-19 on April 1, 2003.

[FR Doc. 03-15901 Filed 6-24-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[SIP NO. UT-001-0048, UT-001-0049, FRL-7501-5]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; SIP Renumbering**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Governor of Utah on June 27, 1994 and April 28, 2000. EPA is also approving Supplemental Administrative Documentation submitted on December 31, 2002. The June 27, 1994 submittal revises the numbering and format of Utah's State Implementation Plan (SIP). The April 28, 2000 submittal contains non-substantive changes to correct minor errors in the June 27, 1994 submittal. The December 31, 2002 submittal also contains non-substantive changes to the

June 27, 1994 submittal. The intended effect of this action is to make these provisions federally enforceable. In addition, EPA will be acting on other parts of these submittals at a later date. This action is being taken under section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This final rule is effective July 25, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202 and copies of the Incorporation by Reference material at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room B-108 (Mail Code 6102T), 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 150 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Laurel Dygowski, EPA, Region 8, (303) 312-6144.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 25, 2003 (68 FR 14379), EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) for the State of Utah. The NPR proposed approval of State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Governor of Utah on June 27, 1994 and April 28, 2000. The NPR also proposed approval of Supplemental Administrative Documentation submitted on December 31, 2002. The June 27, 1994 submittal revises the numbering and format of Utah's State Implementation Plan (SIP). The April 28, 2000 submittal contains non-substantive changes to correct minor errors in the June 27, 1994 submittal. The December 31, 2002 submittal also contains non-substantive changes to the June 27, 1994 submittal. In addition, we proposed to take no action on parts of these submittals or to act on parts of these submittals at a later date.

The following table cross references the renumbered and prior numbered SIP sections. The table identifies the renumbered SIP sections we are approving as replacing the prior numbered SIP sections.

STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN—TABLE OF CORRESPONDING SECTIONS

Title	Renumbered SIP section	Prior numbered SIP section
Legal Authority .....	Section I .....	Section 1.