

■ b. Adding paragraph (a)(4) immediately following the authority citation at the end of paragraph (a)(3); and by adding paragraph (d) immediately following the authority citation at the end of the section.

■ The revision and additions read as follows:

**§ 21.7154 Pursuit and absences.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) \* \* \*

(4) Has received an accelerated payment for the enrollment period.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 3014A, 3034, 3684)

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Additional requirements for individuals receiving an accelerated payment.*

(1) When an individual receives an accelerated payment as provided in § 21.7151(c) and (d), he or she must certify the following information within 60 days of the end of the term, quarter or semester (or entire program when the program is not offered on a term, quarter, or semester basis) for which the accelerated payment was made:

(i) The course or program was successfully completed, or if the course was not completed—

(A) The date the veteran or servicemember last attended; and

(B) An explanation why the course was not completed;

(ii) If the veteran or servicemember increased or decreased his or her training time—

(A) The date the veteran or servicemember increased or decreased training time; and

(B) The number of credit/clock hours pursued before and after each such change in training time; and

(iii) The accelerated payment was received and used.

(2) VA will establish an overpayment equal to the amount of the accelerated payment if the required certifications in paragraph (c)(1) of this section are not timely received.

(3) VA will determine the amount of the overpayment of benefits for courses not completed in the following manner—

(i) For a veteran or servicemember who does not complete the full course, courses, or program for which the accelerated payment was made, and who does not substantiate mitigating circumstances for not completing, VA will establish an overpayment equal to the amount of the accelerated payment.

(ii) For a veteran or servicemember who does not complete the full course, courses, or program for which the accelerated payment was made, but who substantiates mitigating circumstances

for not completing, VA will prorate the amount of the accelerated payment to which he or she is entitled based on the number of days from the beginning date of the enrollment period through the date of last attendance. VA will determine the prorated amount by dividing the accelerated payment amount by the number of days in the enrollment period, and multiplying the result by the number of days from the beginning date of the enrollment period through the date of last attendance. The result of this calculation will equal the amount the individual is due. The difference between the accelerated payment and the amount the individual is due will be established as an overpayment.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 3014A(g))

\* \* \* \* \*

(The Office of Management and Budget has approved the information collection requirements in this section under control numbers 2900-0465 and 2900-0636.)

[FR Doc. 03-14860 Filed 6-11-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8320-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[IN156-1a; FRL-7512-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Facilities and Pollutants: Indiana; Plan for Controlling Emissions from Existing Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incinerators

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving the plan submitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) on December 20, 2002, under sections 111(d) and 129 of the Clean Air Act (Act). This plan is designed to implement and enforce the federal Emission Guidelines (EG) applicable to existing Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration units (CISWI) for which construction commenced on or before November 30, 1999.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on August 11, 2003 without further notice unless EPA receives significant adverse written comment by July 14, 2003. If EPA receives such comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments must be submitted to J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief,

Regulation Development Section, Air and Radiation Division (AR-18J) Region 5, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. You may examine copies of materials relevant to this action during normal business hours, by appointment at the following locations: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 18th Floor Docket Room, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Paskevicz, Engineer, at (312) 886-6084, or e-mail at [paskevicz.john@epa.gov](mailto:paskevicz.john@epa.gov), if you intend to visit the Region 5 office.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, the terms “you” refer to the reader of this rule and/or to sources subject to the State rule, and the terms “we”, “us”, or “our” refers to EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. What does the State plan contain?
- III. Does the State plan meet EPA requirements?
- IV. What action is EPA taking today?
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background

On December 1, 2000, in accordance with sections 111 and 129 of the Act, the EPA promulgated CISWI EGs and compliance schedules for the control of emissions from CISWI units. *See* 65 FR 75362. EPA codified these regulations at 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD. Under section 129(b)(2) of the Act and the regulations at subpart DDDD, states with subject sources must submit to EPA plans that implements the EGs. These plans must be at least as protective as the EGs, which are not federally enforceable until EPA approves a State plan (or adopts a federal plan for implementation and enforcement).

On February 23, 2001, Region 5, EPA sent a letter to Indiana, as well as other States in the Region, informing the State of the need to develop a CISWI plan for its subject sources. We also identified the nine elements necessary for an approvable CISWI plan, as contained in 40 CFR 60.2515.

On December 20, 2002, IDEM submitted to EPA its CISWI plan. This submission followed public hearings on February 6, 2002 and public notice of the State plan on October 7, 2002. The State adopted the rule in final form on May 1, 2002; it became effective on September 6, 2002. The plan includes State rule 326 IAC 11-8, which establishes emission standards for existing CISWI consistent with 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD.

## II. What Does the State Plan Contain?

The State submittal is based on the CISWI model rule (40 CFR 60.2575 to 60.2875) and incorporates by reference significant portions of that rule. As indicated in Table 1, the State plan contains the nine required elements.

The State plan contained or addressed all of the elements listed in Section 60.2515 of the December 1, 2000, model rule. The plan contained:

1. An inventory of affected CISWI units.
2. An inventory of the emissions from each of the CISWI units.
3. A State rule (326 IAC 11-8-2) specifying the requirement for a final control plan and specifying when the units must be in final compliance.
4. Incorporation by reference (IBR) of EPA emission limitations, operator training and qualification requirements, a waste management plan, and operating limits for affected CISWI units.
5. IBR for performance testing, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements.
6. Certification that a hearing on the State plan was held, and a brief written summary of comments.
7. A statement that the State will submit data and information using the EPA Aerometric Emissions Information Retrieval System.
8. A discussion that the State chose as an enforcement mechanism, a State rule (326 IAC 11-8) which contains IBR of the EPA's CISWI EG.
9. A detailed list which demonstrates the State has legal authority to carry out sections 111(d) and 129 of the Clean Air Act, in the State plan.

The Indiana rule details the increments of progress for the affected CISWI. It also calls for final compliance by September 1, 2005, and, in this regard, is somewhat more restrictive than the EPA requirement.

## III. Does the State Plan Meet the EPA Requirements?

EPA evaluated the CISWI State plan submitted by Indiana for consistency with the Act, EPA regulations and policy. EPA has determined that the plan meets all applicable requirements and, therefore, is approving it. This approval is based on our findings that in addition to the technical elements provided by IDEM, that:

- (a) Provided adequate public notice of public hearings for the proposed rulemaking that allows Indiana to carry out and enforce provisions that are at least as protective as the EGs for CISWIs; and,
- (b) Demonstrated legal authority to incorporate by reference emission

standards and compliance schedules applicable to the designated facilities; enforce applicable laws, regulations, standards and compliance schedules; seek injunctive relief; obtain information necessary to determine compliance; require record keeping; conduct inspections and tests; require the use of monitors; require emission reports of owners and operators; and, make emission data publicly available.

Additional details concerning EPA's evaluation of the Indiana plan are included in the technical support file available for inspection from the EPA contact listed above.

## IV. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

EPA is approving the plan which Indiana submitted on December 20, 2002, for the control of emissions from existing CISWI sources in the State. EPA is publishing this approval notice without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rule section of today's **Federal Register**, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the State plan in the event adverse comments are filed. If we do not receive any adverse comments by July 14, 2003 this action will be effective on August 11, 2003.

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

### *Executive Order 12866; Regulatory Planning and Review*

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget.

### *Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use*

For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001).

### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

### *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

### *Executive Order 13175 Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments*

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

### *Executive Order 13132 Federalism*

This action also does not have federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act.

### *Executive Order 13045 Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks*

This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

### *National Technology Transfer Advancement Act*

In reviewing plan submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a plan submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the

National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### *Congressional Review Act*

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 804 exempts from section 801 the following types of rules: (1) Rules of particular applicability; (2) rules relating to agency management or personnel; (3) rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice that do not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties. 5 U.S.C. 804(3). EPA is not required to submit a rule report regarding this action under section 801 because this is a rule of particular applicability.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 11, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (*See* § 307(b)(2).)

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Metals, Sulfur oxides, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Acid gases, Waste treatment and disposal, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 29, 2003.

**Bharat Mathur,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

■ Part 62 of chapter 1, title 40, of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### **PART 62—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### **Subpart P—Indiana**

■ 2. A new undesignated center heading and § 62.3660 are added to Subpart P to read as follows:

#### **CONTROL OF AIR EMISSIONS FROM EXISTING COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL SOLID WASTE INCINERATOR UNITS**

##### **§ 62.3660 Identification of plan.**

On December 20, 2002, Indiana submitted a plan to control emissions from Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incinerators (CISWI). The Indiana plan incorporates by reference substantial portions of 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD, Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times for CISWI units built on or before November 30, 1999.

[FR Doc. 03-14871 Filed 6-11-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

##### **Office of the Secretary**

##### **49 CFR Part 1**

[Docket Number: OST-1999-6189]

RIN 9991-AA38

##### **Organization and Delegation of Powers and Duties; Secretarial Succession**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment will revise the order of Secretarial succession for the Department, including changes due to recent legislation.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 12, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bonnie Angermann-Stucker, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Environmental, Civil Rights, and General Law, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Room 10102, Washington, DC 20590; Telephone: (202) 366-9166.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 49 CFR 1.26, the order of succession to act as Secretary of Transportation is set forth as follows: The Deputy Secretary, General Counsel, Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs, Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs, Assistant Secretary for Transportation Policy, Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs, Assistant Secretary for Administration, Associate Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary of Transportation for Security, Federal Aviation Administrator, Federal Aviation Administration Regional Administrator, Southwest Region,

Federal Aviation Administration Regional Administrator, Great Lakes Region.

Section 102(e) of title 49, United States Code, authorizes the Secretary to prescribe the order of succession for the Department's Assistant Secretaries and the General Counsel. Section 215 of the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 amended section 102 of title 49, United States Code, by creating the position of Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy, who is designated to act for the Secretary when the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary are absent or unable to serve, or when the offices of Secretary and Deputy Secretary are vacant. Section 215(c) also amends section 102(g) of title 49, United States Code, as redesignated by section 215(a)(1), by deleting the position of Associate Deputy Secretary, on the date that an individual is appointed to the position of Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy. Section 403 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 transfers the functions of the Transportation Security Administration, including the duties and responsibilities of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Security, from the Department of Transportation to the Department of Homeland Security. We are updating our Secretarial Order of Succession to reflect these statutory changes as well as recent Secretarial decisions concerning the order of succession for Assistant Secretaries of Transportation.

Since this amendment relates to Departmental management, procedures, and practice, notice and comment on this rule are unnecessary under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A). In addition, the Secretary finds that there is good cause to make this rule effective upon publication pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(2), as a change to internal policy.

#### **Regulatory Analyses and Notices**

##### *A. Executive Order 12866 and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures*

The final rule is not considered a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Policies and Procedures of the Department of Transportation (44 FR 11034). There are no costs associated with this rule.

##### *B. Executive Order 13132*

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 13132, dated August 4, 1999. This final rule does not have a substantial direct effect on, or sufficient federalism implications for, the States, nor would it limit the policymaking discretion of