

waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, *e.g.* new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: June 5, 2003.

Angela C. Arrington,

*Leader, Regulatory Management Group,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

Institute of Education Sciences

Type of Review: New.

Title: National Assessment of Educational Progress, 2003–2004 Long Term Trend.

Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: Individuals or household; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden: Responses: 85,000. *Burden Hours:* 21,250.

Abstract: This clearance request is for the background questions for the National Assessment of Educational Progress Long-Term Trend. These are a series of surveys that have been conducted since 1986. This assessment will be conducted in 2003/2004. Since bridging studies will be required to relate the existing format to the newly adopted format, some questionnaires will still consist of all the existing questions, thus all are being submitted for clearance. In these assessments, students 9, 13 and 17 years of age are assessed.

Requests for copies of the submission for OMB review; comment request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2250. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland

Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651 or to the e-mail address vivan.reese@ed.gov. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov or faxed to 202–708–9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Katrina Ingalls at her e-mail address Katrina.Ingalls@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 03–14725 Filed 6–10–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 11, 2003.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, *e.g.* new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or

Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: June 5, 2003.

Angela C. Arrington,

*Leader, Regulatory Management Group,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: Reinstatement.

Title: State and Local Implementation of IDEA '97.

Frequency: Annually; Biennially.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden: Responses: 5,219. *Burden Hours:* 14,879.

Abstract: The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) is conducting a five-year study to evaluate the state and local impact and implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1997. The evaluation will provide information on the types and impacts of policies and practices engaged in by states, school districts, and schools to implement the provisions of IDEA '97, particularly with regard to nine key issues identified by the law. OSEP is engaging in this evaluation to report to Congress, in accordance with the provisions of IDEA '97 (Sec. 674). Clearance is sought for multiple instruments. Respondents will be state special education directors, district special education directors, and school principals.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2272. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651 or to the e-mail address

vivian_reese@ed.gov. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address *OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov* or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to *Sheila Carey* at her e-mail address *Sheila.Carey@ed.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 03-14726 Filed 6-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Migrant Education Formula Grant Program

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of interpretation.

SUMMARY: The Department announces interpretations of section 1303(a) and (b) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, under which the Department establishes rules for allocating Migrant Education Program funds to States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico for Fiscal Year (FY) 2003.

DATES: Effective date: June 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James English, Office of Migrant Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 3E315, FOB-6, Washington, DC 20202-6135. Telephone: (202) 260-1394, or via Internet: *james.english@ed.gov*.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (*e.g.*, Braille, large print, audiotope, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

CONTACT.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Migrant Education Program (MEP), authorized in Title I, Part C, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB), is a State-operated

and State-administered formula grant program. It provides assistance to State educational agencies (SEAs) to support high-quality and comprehensive educational programs that provide migratory children appropriate educational and supportive services that address their special needs in a coordinated and efficient manner, and give migratory children the opportunity to meet the same challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards that all children are expected to meet.

Through this notice, we clarify our interpretations of the formula for awarding FY 2003 MEP funds to States, including the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Puerto Rico). Under these interpretations, because the overall amount of MEP funds available for allocation to States (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico) in FY 2003 will be equal to the amount allocated in FY 2002, the MEP formula amounts to be awarded to each State (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico) for FY 2003 will be equal to the amounts awarded to each in FY 2002.

The State Formula. Section 1303(a) and (b) of the ESEA provides the statutory formula under which the Department awards MEP funds to States, including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Section 1303(a)(1) provides a formula for the distribution of FY 2002 MEP funds to all States "other than the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico." This formula relies upon an estimate of the full-time-equivalent (FTE) number of migrant children in each State multiplied by "40 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the State, except that the amount determined under this paragraph shall not be less than 32 percent, nor more than 48 percent, of the average per-pupil expenditure in the United States."

Section 1303(a)(2) also stipulates that, except as provided under sections 1303(a)(2)(ii) and (b), the amount that each State "other than the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico" is entitled to receive in FY 2003 and subsequent years is the sum of—

1. The amount of MEP funds the State received for FY 2002, and
2. The amount (of those funds, if any, that may be appropriated for any subsequent fiscal year in excess of the FY 2002 appropriation level) determined by multiplying the sum of (i) the number of identified eligible migratory children, aged 3 through 21, who during the prior year resided in the State, and (ii) the number of such

children who received MEP services in a State summer or intersession program, by 40 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the State, except that the amount so determined may not be less than 32 percent, or more than 48 percent, of the average per-pupil expenditure in the United States.

For Puerto Rico, section 1303(b)(1) provides that each year the Commonwealth is entitled to receive an award in the amount "determined by multiplying the number of children who would be counted under subsection (a)(1)(A) if such subsection applied to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico by the product of—

(A) the percentage that the average per-pupil expenditure in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico is of the lowest average per-pupil expenditure of any of the 50 States; and

(B) 32 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the United States."

In addition, section 1303(b)(2) provides an increasing minimum percentage to be used in paragraph (b)(1)(A) for FYs 2002 through 2005 and succeeding years. This amount is 77.5 percent for FY 2002, 80 percent for FY 2003, 82.5 percent for FY 2004, and 85 percent for FYs 2005 and beyond.

Section 1303(b)(3) provides that, if application of paragraph (b)(2) for any fiscal year would cause any State to receive less than it received for the preceding fiscal year, the percentage described in paragraph (b)(1)(A) used for Puerto Rico's allocation for that fiscal year is to be the greater of the actual percentage in paragraph (b)(1)(A) for that fiscal year or the percentage used for the preceding fiscal year.

Finally, section 1303(c) of the ESEA requires the Department to ratably reduce MEP grant awards made to all States (including Puerto Rico) if "the amount appropriated for these grants is insufficient to pay in full the amounts for which all States are eligible."

FY 2002 MEP Awards. The amended ESEA established very clear procedures for determining the amount of FY 2002 MEP funds the Department provided to each State, including Puerto Rico. It required the Department to provide to all States (section 1303(b)(1)(A)) and to Puerto Rico (section 1303(b)(1)) an FY 2002 award derived by multiplying the total of the State's FTE count of migratory children by the appropriate per-pupil-expenditure amount identified in section 1303(a)(1)(B) and 1303(b)(1)(A) and (2), respectively. As the FY 2002 appropriation was insufficient to make awards to all States and Puerto Rico in these amounts, the Department then applied the ratable reduction provision in section