

following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before July 7, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0750.
Title: Section 73.673, Public Information Initiatives Regarding Educational and Informational Programming for Children.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 1,825.

Estimated Time per Response: 1 to 5 minutes.

Frequency of Response: Annual and eight year reporting requirements; Third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 56,940 hours (multiple responses per year).

Total Annual Costs: \$0.00.

Needs and Uses: On April 13, 2001, the Commission released a Memorandum Opinion and Order on

Reconsideration, In the Matter of Establishment of a Class A Television Station, MM Docket 00-10, FCC 01-123. This rule expanded the scope of 47 CFR section 73.673 to include Class A television station licensees. 47 CFR section 73.673 implements the Children's Television Act of 1990 (CTA). The rule requires that commercial TV broadcasters identify programs specifically designed to educate and inform children. This identification will occur at the beginning of the programs. In addition, licensees will provide to publishers of program guides information identifying children's programs and the intended age groups. The rule provides greater clarity about broadcasters' obligations to air programming "specifically designed" to serve the educational and informational needs of children and to improve public access to information about the availability of these programs.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-14095 Filed 6-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

May 23, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents,

including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before July 7, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0589.

Title: FCC Remittance Advice and Continuation Sheet.

Form No.: FCC Forms 159 and 159-C.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, federal government, state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 300,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: .50 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 150,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: These forms are required for payment of regulatory fees, and for use when paying for multiple filings with a single payment instrument, or when paying by credit card for federal benefits. The FCC Form 159 has been revised to eliminate the Taxpayer Information Number (TIN) and to add Discover and American Express to the credit cards allowable. The form requires specific information to track payment history, and to facilitate the efficient and expeditious processing of applications and other services by a lockbox bank. The information will be used by the Commission for the purpose of collecting and reporting on any delinquent amounts arising out of such person's relationship with the Government.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0824.

Title: Service Provider Identification Number and Contact Information Form.

Form No.: FCC Form 498.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 10,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Administrator of the Universal Service Program must obtain contact and remittance information from service providers participating in the universal service high cost, low income, rural health care, and schools and libraries programs. The administrator uses the FCC Form 498 to collect service provider name, phone numbers, other contact information, and remittance information from universal service fund participants to enable the Administrator to perform its universal service disbursement functions under 47 CFR part 54. FCC Form 498 allows fund participants to direct remittance to third parties or receive payments directly from the Administrator.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-14096 Filed 6-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Fact Finding Investigation No. 25— Practices of Transpacific Stabilization Agreement Members Covering the 2002–2003 Service Contract Season; Amended Order of Investigation

May 30, 2003.

The Federal Maritime Commission (“Commission”) instituted this nonadjudicatory fact finding proceeding on August 23, 2002, to investigate allegations that the ocean common carrier members of the Transpacific Stabilization Agreement (“TSA”) had engaged in practices in the inbound Far East-United States trade during the 2002–2003 service contract season that were potentially in violation of various provisions of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. 1701 *et seq.* (“1984 Act”). Commissioner Joseph E. Brennan was appointed as Investigative Officer and was authorized to conduct public and non-public hearings, administer oaths and utilize compulsory process, including orders pursuant to section 15 of the 1984 Act in order to obtain relevant information. Hearings were held in Long Beach and San Francisco,

CA, Seattle, WA, and Washington, DC, where testimony and documents were received under oath. Commissioner Brennan conducted the investigation and submitted a confidential Report and Recommendations to the Commission on April 10, 2003.

Allegations that the members of TSA were engaged, individually and jointly, in conduct prohibited by the 1984 Act were raised in a joint petition filed by the National Customs Brokers and Forwarders Association of America, Inc. (“NCBFAA”) and the International Association of NVOCCS (“IANVOCC”) on May 10, 2002. Specifically, the petitioners alleged that TSA members had entered into an agreement to complete service contract negotiations with proprietary shippers before beginning negotiations with non-vessel-operating common carriers (“NVOCCs”). Petitioners further alleged that TSA members discriminated against NVOCCs by subjecting NVOCC contracts to general rate increases (“GRIs”) and a peak season surcharge (“PSS”), but not including similar provisions in contracts with proprietary shippers.

Following receipt of the joint petition, the Commission directed the staff to obtain and assess additional information regarding TSA member practices during the 2002–2003 contracting season. Due to the seriousness of the allegations, comments received thereon, and the decision of TSA members to institute a second GRI with the knowledge that certain shippers would be exempt from the increase due to the terms of their contracts with TSA, the Commission ordered this fact finding investigation to gather additional facts and data on the following issues, among others:

1. Refusals to deal with NVOCCs until the substantial completion of negotiations with proprietary shippers;
2. The discriminatory application in NVOCC service contracts of GRIs and/or a PSS, while waiving or otherwise not requiring similar application in proprietary shipper service contracts;
3. The extent and degree to which the rate increases and service contract policies, practices, and guidelines of TSA have been, and remain, voluntary and non-binding upon its respective members;
4. The extent and degree to which TSA and its members have maintained and transmitted to the Commission full, complete, and accurate minutes of all meetings required to be filed with the Commission; and
5. The development and utilization of open-ended provisions that permit the unilateral implementation of GRIs and/or a PSS by TSA members in their service contracts with NVOCCs, without genuine further negotiation, while waiving or not

requiring similar provisions in their service contracts with proprietary shippers.

The Commission has now determined to continue to pursue certain of these issues, together with related issues developed during this fact finding investigation, through further investigation and possible actions under sections 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 15 of the 1984 Act, as appropriate. The Commission has further determined to extend the period under review to include the 2003–2004 service contract season and, in particular, the impact of any 2003 general rate increase and peak season surcharge on proprietary shippers and NVOCCs. To facilitate such investigation, the Commission is continuing this fact finding proceeding to assist in developing the most current evidence of the activities of TSA and its members in the eastbound transpacific trades, as related to the following issues, among others:

1. The extent and degree to which TSA members may have violated section 10(b)(13) of the 1984 Act by disclosing confidential shipper information related to individual service contracts, including the identity of the shipper signatories.
2. Whether and to what extent TSA members may have violated sections 10(a)(2) and/or 10(a)(3) of the 1984 Act by systematically removing tonnage from the transpacific trades, individually, or through carrier alliances, following detailed discussions and exchanges of information on capacity reduction within TSA.
3. Whether and to what extent TSA’s failure to file minutes of meetings of senior executives held in conjunction with Presidents, Owners, and Revenue Policy Committee meetings, as well as its failure to file full and complete minutes of other meetings, may have resulted in violations of the Commission’s minute filing regulations at 46 CFR 535.706(a) and (b).
4. Whether and to what extent TSA and/or its members have engaged in unjustly discriminatory practices in the matter of rates and charges with respect to NVOCCs as a class in violation of section 10(c)(7) of the 1984 Act.
5. Whether and to what extent TSA and/or its members have unduly or unreasonably prejudiced or disadvantaged NVOCCs as a class in violation of section 10(c)(8) of the 1984 Act.
6. Whether TSA and/or its members have engaged in practices which actively discourage members from taking independent rate actions, contrary to the Congressional policy, embodied in sections 5(c)(1) and (3) of the 1984 Act and its legislative history, to foster individual, confidential service contracts to offset the anticompetitive impact of rate fixing agreements.
7. Whether and to what extent, the TSA agreement and/or other related agreements to which TSA or its members are parties, have produced, or are likely to produce, by a reduction in competition, an unreasonable