

9. Section 558.355 is amended:

a. In paragraph (f)(3)(i)(b)(1) by adding the phrase “as defined in paragraph (d)(12) of this section” at the end of the fourth sentence; and by removing the rest of the paragraph after the fourth sentence;

b. In paragraph (f)(6)(i)(b)(1) by adding the phrase “as defined in paragraph (d)(12) of this section” at the end of the fifth sentence; and by removing the rest of the paragraph after the fifth sentence;

c. In paragraphs (f)(3)(i)(b)(2), (f)(3)(i)(b)(2)(iii), (f)(6)(i)(b)(2), and (f)(6)(i)(b)(2)(iii) by removing “Type B” wherever it appears;

d. In paragraphs (f)(3)(i)(b)(2), (f)(3)(i)(b)(2)(ii), (f)(6)(i)(b)(2), and (f)(6)(i)(b)(2)(ii) by removing “positionally” and “positional” wherever they appear and by adding in their respective places “physically” and “physical”;

e. In paragraphs (f)(3)(i)(b)(2) and (f)(6)(i)(b)(2) in the first sentence after the word “directions” by adding the phrase “defined in paragraph (d)(12) of this section”;

f. In paragraphs (f)(3)(i)(b)(2)(iii) and (f)(6)(i)(b)(2)(iii) by removing the second complete sentence “Approval of the supplement will not be published in the **Federal Register** because such approval will not affect or alter conditions or use of the product in the new animal drug application or the regulation.”; and in the third complete sentence by removing the phrase “will, however, provide” and by adding in its place “will provide”;

g. In paragraphs (f)(3)(i)(b)(2)(iii) and (f)(6)(i)(b)(2)(iii) by removing the phrase “submit, and for the agency to approve, a medicated feed application under section 512(m) of the act for the liquid Type B feed” and by adding in its place the phrase “manufacture the liquid medicated feed under a medicated feed mill license described in the master file”;

h. In paragraph (f)(3)(i)(b)(2)(iii) in the last sentence by removing “(f)(3)(i)(b)(1)” and by adding in its place “(d)(12)”;

i. In paragraph (f)(3)(ix)(b) in the seventh sentence by removing the phrase “: Recirculate or agitate immediately prior to use for not less than 10 minutes, moving at least 1 percent of the tanks contents per minute from the bottom of the tank to the top” and by adding in its place “as defined in paragraph (d)(12) of this section”; and by removing the eighth and tenth sentences;

j. In paragraph (f)(6)(i)(b)(2) and in (f)(6)(i)(b)(2)(iii) in the last sentence by

removing “(f)(6)(i)(b)(1)” and by adding in its place “(d)(12)”;

k. By adding paragraph (d)(12) to read as follows:

**§ 558.355 Monensin.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(12) Mixing directions for liquid feeds requiring recirculation or agitation:

(i) For liquid feeds stored in recirculating tank systems: Recirculate immediately prior to use for not less than 10 minutes, moving not less than 1 percent of the tank contents per minute from the bottom of the tank to the top. Recirculate daily as described even when not used.

(ii) For liquid feeds stored in mechanical, air, or other agitation-type tank systems: Agitate immediately prior to use for not less than 10 minutes, creating a turbulence at the bottom of the tank that is visible at the top. Agitate daily as described even when not used.

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 558.625 [Amended]**

10. Section 558.625 *Tylosin* is amended in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) by removing “Type B” and by removing the phrase “no fewer than 10 minutes” and adding in its place the phrase “not less than 10 minutes”.

Dated: May 12, 2003.

**Jeffrey Shuren,**

*Assistant Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 03-12974 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-01-S**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 60**

**[OAR-2002-0053, FRL-7504-8]**

**Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas Turbines**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On April 14, 2003, the EPA published a direct final rule to amend the standards of performance for stationary gas turbines, along with a parallel proposal to be used as a basis for final action in the event that we received any adverse comments on the direct final rule amendments. Since a public hearing was requested and held on May 14, 2003, we are announcing a 30-day extension of the public comment period.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 13, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** *Comments.* By U.S. Postal Service, send comments (in duplicate, if possible) to: EPA Docket Center (6102T), Attention Docket Number OAR-2002-0053, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

In person or by courier, deliver comments (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket, Attention Docket Number OAR-2002-0053, U.S. EPA, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room B-108, Washington, DC 20460. We request that a separate copy also be sent to the contact person listed below (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jaime Pagán, Combustion Group, Emission Standards Division (C439-01), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone number (919) 541-5340; facsimile number (919) 541-5450; electronic mail address [pagan.jaime@epa.gov](mailto:pagan.jaime@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document extends the public comment period established in the **Federal Register** issued on April 14, 2003, when EPA published a direct final rule (68 FR 17990) and a parallel proposal (68 FR 18003) amending the standards of performance for stationary gas turbines (40 CFR part 60, subpart GG). The amendments codified several alternative testing and monitoring procedures that have routinely been approved by EPA. The amendments also reflected changes in emission control technologies and turbine design since the original promulgation of the rule on September 10, 1979. b

We stated in the preamble to the direct final rule and parallel proposal that if we received significant material adverse comment on one or more distinct provisions of the direct final rule, we would publish a timely withdrawal of those distinct provisions in the **Federal Register**. The direct final rule stated that the deadline for submitting public comments was May 14, 2003, and that the effective date of the provisions would be May 29, 2003. The proposal also stated that if a public hearing was requested by April 24, 2003, the hearing would be held on May 14, 2003, at the New EPA Facility Complex in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, at 10 a.m., and that the comment period would be extended until 30 days after the date of the public hearing. The EPA is hereby extending the comment period, which was set to end on May 14, 2003, to June 13, 2003.

To submit comments, or access the official public docket, please follow the detailed instructions as provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the April 14, 2003 (68 FR 17990)

**Federal Register** document. If you have questions, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 60

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: May 22, 2003.

**Robert Brenner,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator for Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 03-13416 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 61 and 63

[FRL-7490-5]

#### Approval of the Clean Air Act, Section 112(I), Authority for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Management and Control of Asbestos Disposal Sites Not Operated After July 9, 1981: State of New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services' (NHDES) request to implement and enforce its regulation entitled "Management and Control of Asbestos Disposal Sites Not Operated After July 9, 1981" in lieu of the National Emission Standard for Asbestos (Asbestos NESHAP) as it applies to certain inactive waste disposal sites. Approval of this request would make NHDES' rules Federally enforceable and would apply only to those inactive waste disposal sites not operating after July 9, 1981, which are subject to the Asbestos NESHAP (*i.e.*, sites operated by certain asbestos mills, manufacturers, and fabricating facilities). NHDES' request seeks no change in delegation relative to inactive asbestos waste disposal sites operating after July 9, 1981 (*i.e.*, NHDES will continue to regulate such facilities according to the Asbestos NESHAP).

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving NHDES' request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no relevant

adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, EPA will take action on this proposed rule. If the EPA receives relevant adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will then address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period in this action.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 27, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed concurrently to the addresses below: Steven Rapp, Chief, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs Unit (CAP), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114 and Philip J. O'Brien, PhD, Director, Waste Management Division, New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, 6 Hazen Drive, P.O. Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095. Copies of the requests for approval are available for public inspection at EPA's Region I Office, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs Unit, during normal business hours.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ida McDonnell, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs Unit, U.S. EPA Region I, One Congress St., Suite 1100 (CAP), Boston, MA 02114, (617) 918-1653.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, *see* the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 15, 2003.

**Robert W. Varney,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA New England.*

[FR Doc. 03-13175 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 600

[Docket No. 030509119-3119-01; I.D. 032603D]

RIN 0648-AQ99

#### Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fishing Capacity Reduction Program; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; California, Washington, and Oregon Fisheries for Dungeness Crab and Pink Shrimp

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed fishing capacity reduction program; request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues this notice about a voluntary fishing capacity reduction program in the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery. After a successful referendum, harvesters that are accepted to participate would be paid to surrender their fishing permits and restrict their vessels. A loan, which would be repaid by fishermen remaining in the fishery, will finance the majority of the program's cost. The program will invite bids from permit owners of groundfish trawl permits (except those harvesting whiting and processing it at sea) that are willing to surrender their fishing privileges, score the bids in a reverse auction against the value of bidders' harvests, and then conduct a referendum regarding repayment of the loan. If the referendum is successful, accepted bidders must relinquish their California, Oregon, and Washington fishing licenses for Dungeness crab and pink shrimp; accepted bidders must also surrender their Federal groundfish permit, as well as all other Federal fishing licenses associated with the fishing vessel named in their bids. The fishing vessels involved will never again be eligible to fish. If the referendum is not successful, bidders are excused from all such obligations. The groundfish program aims to increase the remaining harvesters' productivity, help financially stabilize the fishery, and help conserve and manage its fish. This