

**§ 207.6 Reutilization and transfer procedures.**

Prior to any sales effort, the Secretary of Defense shall, to the maximum extent practicable, consult with the Administrator of GSA, and with the heads of other Federal departments and agencies as appropriate, regarding reutilization and transfer requirements for aircraft and aircraft parts under this Act (see Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21–M (August 1997), paragraphs B 2 b (1) through B 2 b (3)).

(a) DOT shall notify Army, Navy, and/or Air Force, in writing, of their aircraft requirements as they arise, by aircraft type listed in Attachment 1 of Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21–M (August 1997).

(b) When aircraft become excess, the owning Military Service will screen for reutilization requirements within the Department of Defense, and those requirements shall take precedence over DOT requirements under this Act.

(c) *Federal agency transfer:* (1) The Military Service shall report aircraft that survive reutilization screening to GSA Region 9 on a Standard Form 120. The Military Service must advise GSA Region 9 if DOT has lodged a written requirement for the aircraft for use in oil spill response. GSA will screen for Federal agency transfer requirements in accordance with the FMR.

(2) If a Federal agency requirement exists, GSA shall advise the owning Military Service, in writing, of its intent to issue the aircraft to satisfy the Federal agency requirement. The Military Service will notify DOT of the competing Federal requirement for the aircraft. If DOT disputes the priority given to the Federal requirement, it shall send a written notice of dispute to the owning Military Service and to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Logistics and Materiel Readiness (DUSD (L&MR))) within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from the Military Service. DUSD (L&MR) shall then resolve the dispute, in writing. The aircraft cannot be issued until notification is given and any dispute is resolved.

(d) *The Military Services shall:* (1) Respond to the DOT, in writing, when excess aircraft that can meet DOT's stated requirements have survived reutilization and transfer screening.

(2) Report excess aircraft that survive reutilization and transfer screening and are available for sale to Headquarters, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, ATTN: DRMS–LMI, Federal Center, 74 Washington Avenue North, Battle Creek, Michigan 49017–3092. The Military Services must use a DD Form 1348–1A, DTID, for this purpose.

(3) Transfer excess aircraft that survive reutilization and transfer screening to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC), Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, and place the aircraft in an “excess” storage category while aircraft are undergoing oil spill response sale. Aircraft shall not be made available or offered to oil spill response operators from the Military Service's airfield. The Military Service shall be responsible for the AMARC aircraft induction charges. The aircraft purchaser will be liable for all AMARC withdrawal charges, to include any aircraft preparation required from AMARC. Sale of parts required for aircraft preparation is limited to those not required for the operational mission forces, and only if authorized by specific authority of the respective Military Service's weapon system program manager.

**§ 207.7 Reporting requirements.**

Not later than 31 March 2003, the Secretary of Defense must submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committees on National Security and Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report setting forth the following:

(a) The number and type of aircraft sold under this authority, and the terms and conditions under which the aircraft were sold.

(b) The persons or entities to which the aircraft were sold.

(c) An accounting of the current use of the aircraft sold.

(d) DOT must submit to Headquarters, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, ATTN: DRMS–LMI, Federal Center, 74 Washington Avenue North, Battle Creek, Michigan, 49017–3092, not later than 1 February 2006, a report setting forth an accounting of the current disposition of all aircraft sold under the authority of the Act.

(e) DRMS must compile the report, based on sales contract files and (for the third report element) input from the DOT. The report must be provided to Headquarters Defense Logistics Agency not later than 1 March 2006. Headquarters Defense Logistics Agency shall forward the report to Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Logistics & Materiel Readiness) not later than 15 March 2006.

**§ 207.8 Expiration.**

This part expires on 30 September 2006.

Dated: May 12, 2003.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 03–12552 Filed 5–21–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 160**

[USCG–2002–11865]

RIN 1625–AA41

**Notification of Arrival in U.S. Ports**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule; partial suspension of regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is suspending the Notification of Arrival requirement to electronically submit cargo manifest information, (Customs Form 1302) to Customs and Border Protection. This requirement was published on Feb 28, 2003 and was to be implemented by July 1, 2003. The Coast Guard is suspending this submission requirement pending new Customs and Border Protection regulations.

**DATES:** This suspension is effective May 22, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket USCG–2002–11865 and are available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call LTJG Kimberly B Andersen, U.S. Coast Guard (G–MPP), at 202–267–2562. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dorothy Beard, Chief, Dockets, Department of Transportation, at 202–366–5149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background and Purpose**

On February 28, 2003, the Coast Guard published its “Notification of Arrival in U.S. Ports” in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 9537). This final rule, which became effective on April 1,

2003, permanently replaced the Coast Guard's temporary requirements for Notification of Arrival in U.S. Ports published on October 4, 2001, in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 50565) and was in addition to the Customs October 31, 2002 rule requiring cargo information 24 hours prior to lading (67 FR 66318).

This final rule requires electronic submission of cargo manifest (Customs form 1302) to Customs and Border Protection via the Automated Manifest System (AMS). Implementation of the requirement for electronic submission of cargo manifest is not required until July 1, 2003.

The cargo manifest submission requirement was established to capture electronically the information on cargo manifest from vessels that were not filing the information electronically with the Customs and Border Protection. While July 1, 2003, is the date for implementing the requirement to electronically transmit data through AMS that is set forth in the Final Rule published on February 28, 2003, the Coast Guard, in consultation with Customs and Border Protection, has decided to suspend the July 1, 2003 implementation date. The date is suspended pending further Custom and Border Protection regulatory action under recent legislation, including the Trade Act of 2002, which should eliminate the need for this requirement in Coast Guard regulations. In that event, the Coast Guard would remove the suspended provisions from its regulation.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 160

Administrative practice and procedure; Harbors; Hazardous materials transportation; Marine safety; Navigation (water); Reporting and recordkeeping requirements; Vessels; Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR Part 160 as follows:

#### PART 160—PORTS AND WATERWAYS SAFETY—GENERAL

##### Subpart C—Notifications of Arrival, Departures, Hazardous Conditions, and Certain Dangerous Cargoes

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 160 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1223, 1226, 1231; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

##### § 160.203 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 160.203, paragraphs (d) and (e) are suspended.

##### § 160.206 [Amended]

■ 3. In § 160.206, item (8) in table 160.206, is suspended.

##### § 160.210 [Amended]

■ 4. In § 160.210, in paragraph (b), the last sentence in the paragraph is suspended; in paragraph (c), the last sentence in the paragraph is suspended; and paragraph (d) is suspended.

##### § 160.212 [Amended]

■ 5. In § 160.212, paragraph (c) is suspended.

Dated: May 5, 2003.

**Paul J. Pluta,**

*Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.*

[FR Doc. 03-12887 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[MT-001-0010; MT-001-0028; FRL-7489-5]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Montana; Billings/Laurel Sulfur Dioxide State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is partially approving some, and limitedly approving and limitedly disapproving other, revisions to the Billings/Laurel sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Montana on July 29, 1998 and May 4, 2000. The May 4, 2000 SIP revision was submitted to satisfy earlier commitments made by the Governor. The intended effect of this action is to make federally enforceable those provisions that EPA is partially and limitedly approving, and to limitedly disapprove those provisions that are not fully approvable. EPA is taking this action under sections 110 and 179 of the Clean Air Act (Act).

**DATES:** This final rule is effective June 23, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202 and copies of the Incorporation by Reference material may be inspected at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency, Room B-108 (Mail Code 6102T), 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Air and Waste Management Bureau, 1520 E. 6th Avenue, Helena, Montana 59620.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Laurie Ostrand, EPA, Region 8, (303) 312-6437.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

##### Definitions

- I. Summary of EPA's Final Action on Portions of the State of Montana's July 29, 1998 Submittal and all of the May 4, 2000 Submittal
- II. Background
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

##### Definitions

For the purpose of this document, we are giving meaning to certain words or initials as follows:

(i) The words or initials *Act* or *CAA* mean or refer to the Clean Air Act, unless the context indicates otherwise.

(ii) The initials *CO* mean or refer to carbon monoxide.

(iii) The words *EPA*, *we*, *us* or *our* mean or refer to the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

(iv) The initials *SIP* mean or refer to State Implementation Plan.

(v) The initials *SO<sub>2</sub>* mean or refer to sulfur dioxide.

(vi) The words *State* or *Montana* mean the State of Montana, unless the context indicates otherwise.

(vii) The initials *SWS* mean or refer to sour water stripper.

(viii) The initials *YELP* mean or refer to the Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership.

#### I. Summary of EPA's Final Action on Portions of the State of Montana's July 29, 1998 Submittal and All of the May 4, 2000 Submittal

*We are approving the following provisions:*

- YELP's emission limits in sections 3(A)(1) through (3) and reporting requirements in section 7(C)(1)(b) of YELP's exhibit A submitted on May 4, 2000.
- Provisions related to the burning of SWS overheads in the F-1 Crude Furnace (and exhausted through the F-2 Crude/Vacuum Heater stack) at ExxonMobil in sections 3(E)(4) and 4(E) (excluding "or in the flare" and "or the flare" in both sections), 3(A)(2), and 3(B)(3) of ExxonMobil's exhibit A, submitted on July 29, 1998 and method #6A-1 of attachment #2 of