

Building 70, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, Baltimore, Maryland, 21226-1791. The Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch of Coast Guard Activities Baltimore maintains the public docket, CGD05-03-023, for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Activities, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Building 70, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, Baltimore, Maryland, 21226-1791 between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Commander Gordon Loebel at U.S. Coast Guard Activities Baltimore (410) 576-2526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background Information

On March 20, 2003, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking entitled "Safety and Security Zone; Cove Point Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland. (68 FR 13647). The Coast Guard received several requests for public meetings before the comment period closed on April 21, 2003. The Captain of the Port has decided that a public meeting is in the public's interest and is therefore issuing this notice to advise the public of the time and place of the meeting, and of the reopening of the comment period.

Public Meeting

The public meeting will be held June 5, 2003, from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m., at the Holiday Inn, 155 Holiday Drive, Solomon's Island, Maryland. Attendance is open to the public. During this meeting, the Coast Guard will receive comments from the public on the proposed rule for the safety and security zone.

With advance notice, members of the public may provide oral statements. Oral statements will be limited to five minutes. Persons wishing to make oral statements should notify Commander Gordon Loebel listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** no later than two days before the meeting.

Written comments may be submitted to the docket under **ADDRESSES** 30 minutes before, during, or up to one week after the meeting. You may also submit written comments directly to Coast Guard personnel at the public meeting.

Information on Services for Individuals With Disabilities

For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities, or to request assistance at the meeting, contact Commander Gordon Loebel listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** as soon as possible.

Dated: May 5, 2003.

Roger B. Peoples,

Captain, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Baltimore, MD.

[FR Doc. 03-12050 Filed 5-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NO. UT-001-0052b; FRL-7483-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Utah; Continuous Emission Monitoring Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to take direct final action approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Governor of Utah on September 7, 1999 and February 11, 2003. The September 7, 1999 submittal revises Utah's Air Conservation Regulations (UACR) by repealing and re-enacting the Continuous Emission Monitoring Program (CEM) rule in order to clarify the requirements of the rule. The February 11, 2003 submittal makes additional revisions to the CEM rule to make it in agreement with Federal regulations and the Clean Air Act (CAA). The intended effect of this action is to make the CEM rule federally enforceable. This action is being taken under section 110 of the CAA.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA

will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before June 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 150 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel Dygowski, EPA, Region 8, (303) 312-6144.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 3, 2003.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 03-12030 Filed 5-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[CO-001-0070b; FRL-7489-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plan; Colorado; Designation of Area for Air Quality Planning Purposes, Aspen

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Governor of the State of Colorado on November 9, 2001, for the purpose of redesignating

the Aspen, Colorado area from nonattainment to attainment for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM₁₀) under the 1987 standards. The Governor's submittal, among other things, documents that the Aspen area has attained the PM₁₀ national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS), requests redesignation to attainment, and includes a maintenance plan for the area demonstrating maintenance of the PM₁₀ NAAQS for 10 years. EPA is proposing to approve this redesignation request and maintenance plan because Colorado has met the applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended. Subsequent to this approval, the Aspen area would be designated attainment for the PM₁₀ NAAQS. This action is being taken under sections 107, 110, and 175A of the Clean Air Act. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before June 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Colorado Department of Public Health

and Environment, Air Quality Control Commission, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado 80246-1530.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Libby Faulk, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312-6083.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 18, 2003.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 03-12025 Filed 5-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[Docket ID No. OAR-2002-0044; FRL-7497-8]

RIN 2060-AF31

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories: General Provisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; amendments.

SUMMARY: On March 16, 1994, the EPA promulgated General Provisions for national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) and other regulatory requirements that are established under section 112 of the Clean Air Act (CAA). On April 5, 2002, we amended the General Provisions to revise and clarify several of the requirements. In this action, we are proposing additional amendments that would provide regulatory relief, where appropriate, to facilities that use pollution prevention (P2) to achieve and maintain hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions reductions equivalent to or better than the maximum achievable control technology (MACT) level of control required under applicable NESHAP.

We are proposing these amendments to encourage and promote pollution prevention, which is our strategy of first choice for reducing HAP emissions. EPA is also proposing additional incentives specifically designed for, and only available to, facilities that are members of the National Environmental Performance Track program (Performance Track). The Performance Track program recognizes and encourages top environmental

performers; those who go beyond compliance with regulatory requirements to attain levels of environmental performance and management that provide greater benefit to people, communities, and the environment.

DATES: *Comments.* Submit comments on or before July 14, 2003.

Public Hearing. If anyone contacts the EPA requesting to speak at a public hearing by June 5, 2003, a public hearing will be held on June 12, 2003.

ADDRESSES: *Comments.* The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC.

Public Hearing. If a public hearing is requested, it will be held at the new EPA facility complex in Research Triangle Park, NC at 10 a.m. Persons interested in attending the hearing or wishing to present oral testimony should notify Dorothy Apple, Policy, Planning, and Standards Group (MD-C439-04), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541-4487 at least 2 days in advance of the hearing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Fruh, Policy, Planning, and Standards Group (MD-C439-04), Emission Standards Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541-2837, electronic mail (e-mail) address, fruh.steve@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Regulated Entities.* Categories and entities potentially affected by this action include all source categories listed pursuant to section 112(c) and (k) of the CAA:

Industry Group: Source Categories With Major and Area Sources

Fuel Combustion

Combustion Turbines
Engine Test Facilities
Industrial Boilers
Process Heaters
Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines
Rocket Testing Facilities

Non-Ferrous Metals Processing

Primary Aluminum Production
Primary Copper Smelting
Primary Lead Smelting
Primary Magnesium Refining
Secondary Aluminum Production
Secondary Lead Smelting