

Organic Program (NOP) rules, which took effect October 21, 2002. The temporary rule confirmed that any alcohol beverage labeled or advertised with an organic claim must comply with both NOP rules administered by USDA and the applicable rules administered by ATF.

At the same time, ATF published a notice of proposed rulemaking (Notice No. 954, 67 FR 62860) to solicit comments on the temporary rule. The comment period for Notice No. 954 was scheduled to close on December 9, 2002.

Before the close of the comment period, ATF received a request from the Wine Institute to extend the comment period for 90 days. The Wine Institute stated that it requested the extension in order to provide ATF with thoroughly researched comments that represented a full discussion among its members. In consideration of that request, on December 27, 2002, ATF published Notice No. 964 (67 FR 79011) to reopen the comment period until March 27, 2003.

Effective January 24, 2003, the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-296, 116 Stat. 2135 (2002), divided the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Department of the Treasury, into two separate agencies, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF) in the Department of Justice, and the Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) in the Department of the Treasury. Under the Homeland Security Act of 2002, the Administrator TTB is authorized to administer and enforce Chapters 51 (relating to distilled spirits, wine and beer) and 52 (relating to tobacco products and cigarette papers and tubes) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (IRC), as amended, and IRC sections 4181 and 4182 (relating to the excise tax on firearms and ammunition). TTB also administers and enforces the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (FAA Act) and Webb-Kenyon Act in title 27, United States Code. Proceedings pending at the time the Homeland Security Act of 2002 was enacted, including notices of proposed rulemaking, are continued within the jurisdiction of the respective agencies under section 1512 of the Act. Therefore, TTB is continuing with the rulemaking on organic labels begun by ATF.

Before the March 27, 2003 due date for comments, TTB received a request from the Wine Institute for an additional 45-day extension of the comment period. The Wine Institute stated that it would like additional time to work with USDA representatives on labeling issues as they apply to wine. Since we have a temporary rule in place, we believe that

an additional 45-day extension of the comment period is justified.

#### **Additional Information on the Sulfite Statement in Wine**

In early comments, several wine producers expressed concern that they would be required to list sulfites twice on their labels if they made wine from organic grapes, since sulfite statements are required under the FAA Act regulations and full ingredient listings are required by the USDA NOP rules. Commenters stated that they would be required to list any sulfiting agent as an ingredient under the NOP rules, and still give the sulfite warning required by 4.32(e). TTB's Advertising, Labeling and Formulation Division (ALFD) takes a different approach. ALFD approves labels that include the sulfite statement or identify the specific sulfiting agent in the ingredient listing, provided that the sulfite statement appears more conspicuous than its surrounding text and in a format allowed under the regulations. An example of this presentation appears in the sample label for wine made from 70% or more organic ingredients posted on the TTB Web site (<http://www.ttb.gov/alcohol/alfd/wine.pdf>).

#### **Drafting Information**

Marjorie Ruhf of the Regulations and Procedures Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, drafted this notice.

#### **List of Subjects**

##### *27 CFR Part 4*

Advertising, Customs duties and inspection, Imports, Labeling, Packaging and containers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Trade practices, Wine.

##### *27 CFR Part 5*

Advertising, Consumer protection, Customs duties and inspection, Imports, Labeling, Liquors, Packaging and containers.

##### *27 CFR Part 7*

Advertising, Beer, Customs duties and inspection, Imports, Labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Trade practices.

##### *27 CFR Part 13*

Administrative practice and procedure, Alcohol and alcoholic beverages, Labeling.

#### **Authority and Issuance**

This document is issued under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205.

Signed: May 2, 2003.

**Arthur J. Libertucci,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-11609 Filed 5-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Navy**

#### **32 CFR Part 701**

[Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5]

#### **Privacy Act; Implementation**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy is proposing to revise the exemption rule for N05520-5, entitled "Personnel Security Program Management Records System". The revision includes deleting the (k)(1) exemption because it is redundant and claiming subsections (c)(3) and (e)(1) under the (k)(5) exemption. The principal purpose of the (k)(5) exemption is to protect the identity of a confidential source. The expansion is considered supportive, and in furtherance, of the overall purpose of the exemption.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Comments must be received on or before July 8, 2003, to be considered by this agency.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mrs. Doris Lama at (202) 685-6545 or DSN 325-6545.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Executive Order 12866**

It has been determined that this Privacy Act rule for the Department of Defense does not constitute 'significant regulatory action'. Analysis of the rule indicates that it does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; does not create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; does not materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; does not raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in Executive Order 12866 (1993).

##### **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

It has been determined that this Privacy Act rule for the Department of Defense does not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it is concerned only with the administration of Privacy Act systems of records within the Department of Defense.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

It has been determined that this Privacy Act rule for the Department of Defense imposes no information requirements beyond the Department of Defense and that the information collected within the Department of Defense is necessary and consistent with 5 U.S.C. 552a, known as the Privacy Act of 1974.

**Section 202, Public Law 104-4, "Unfunded Mandates Reform Act"**

It has been determined that this Privacy Act rulemaking for the Department of Defense does not involve a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more and that such rulemaking will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments.

**Executive Order 13132, "Federalism"**

It has been determined that this Privacy Act rule for the Department of Defense does not have federalism implications. The rule does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

**List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 701**

Privacy.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 701 is amended to read as follows:

**PART 701—AVAILABILITY OF DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY RECORDS AND PUBLICATION OF DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DOCUMENTS AFFECTING THE PUBLIC**

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 701, subpart F continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Pub. L. 93-579, 88 Stat. 1896 (5 U.S.C. 552a).

2. Section 701.118, paragraph (n) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 701.118 Exemptions for specific Navy record systems.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(n) *System identifier and name:*

(1) *N05520-5, Personnel Security Program Management Records System.*

(2) *Exemption:* (i) Investigative material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for federal civilian employment, military service, federal contracts, or access to classified information may be exempt pursuant to

5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5), but only to the extent that such material would reveal the identity of a confidential source.

(ii) Therefore, portions of this system may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5) from the following subsections of 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3), (d), and (e)(1).

(3) *Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5).

(4) *Reasons:* (i) From subsection (c)(3) and (d) when access to accounting disclosures and access to or amendment of records would cause the identity of a confidential sources to be revealed. Disclosure of the source's identity not only will result in the Department breaching the promise of confidentiality made to the source but it will impair the Department's future ability to compile investigatory material for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment, Federal contracts, or access to classified information. Unless sources can be assured that a promise of confidentiality will be honored, they will be less likely to provide information considered essential to the Department in making the required determinations.

(ii) From (e)(1) because in the collection of information for investigatory purposes, it is not always possible to determine the relevance and necessity of particular information in the early stages of the investigation. In some cases, it is only after the information is evaluated in light of other information that its relevance and necessity becomes clear. Such information permits more informed decision-making by the Department when making required suitability, eligibility, and qualification determinations.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: May 2, 2003.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 03-11576 Filed 5-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****50 CFR Part 216**

[Docket No. 030421095-3095-01; I.D. 111902C]

RIN 0648-AQ61

**Taking and Importing Marine Mammals; Taking Marine Mammals Incidental to Missile Launch Operations from San Nicolas Island, CA**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS has received an application from the U.S. Navy requesting a Letter of Authorization (LOA) for the harassment of small numbers of pinnipeds incidental to missile launch operations from San Nicolas Island, CA (SNI). By this document, NMFS is proposing regulations to govern that take. In order to issue the LOA and issue final regulations governing the take, NMFS must determine that the taking will have a negligible impact on the affected species and stocks of marine mammals, will be at the lowest level practicable, and will not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the species or stock(s) for subsistence uses. NMFS invites comment on the application and the regulations.

**DATES:** Comments must be postmarked no later than June 23, 2003. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the Internet.

Comments regarding the burden-hour estimate or any other aspect of the collection of information requirement contained in this rule should be sent to the Chief, and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: NOAA Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to the Chief, Marine Mammal Conservation Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910-3226. A copy of the application and a list of references used in this document are available and may be obtained by writing to this address or by telephoning the contact listed here (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).