

shipping the CDs cost approximately \$12 for a package of 12. The total capital start-up cost for this collection is \$342 per year.

Applicants who submit sequence listings on CD may also incur recordkeeping costs. The USPTO advises applicants to retain a back-up copy of CD submissions and associated documentation for their records. The USPTO estimates that it will take applicants 5 minutes to produce a back-up CD copy and 2 minutes to print copies of documentation, for a total of 7 minutes (0.12 hours) to make a back-up copy of the CD submission. The USPTO estimates that approximately 4,720 CD submissions will be received per year, for a total of 566 hours (4,720 responses multiplied by 0.12 hours). The USPTO expects that these back-up copies will be prepared by paraprofessionals at an estimated rate of \$30 per hour, for a total recordkeeping cost of \$16,980 per year.

Customers may incur postage costs when submitting a sequence listing to the USPTO by mail. The USPTO estimates that the average first-class postage cost for a mailed sequence listing submission on paper or CD will be \$1.41 and that 23,600 sequence listings will be mailed to the USPTO per year. The total postage cost for this collection is \$33,276 per year.

The total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of capital start-up costs and postage costs is \$50,598 per year.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, *e.g.*, the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 23, 2003.

Susan K. Brown,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of Data Architecture and Services, Data Administration Division.

[FR Doc. 03-10704 Filed 4-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0079]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Corporate Aircraft Costs

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0079).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning corporate aircraft costs. A request for public comments was published in the **Federal Register** at 68 FR 11537, on March 11, 2003. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Submit comments on or before June 2, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General

Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Loeb, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA, (202) 501-0650.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Government contractors that use company aircraft must maintain logs of flights containing specified information to ensure that costs are properly charged against Government contracts and that directly associated costs of unallowable activities are not charged to such contracts.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Number of Respondents: 3,000.
Responses Per Respondent: 1.
Total Responses: 3,000.
Average Burden Per Response: 6 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 18,000.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVP), Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 208-7312. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0079, Corporate Aircraft Costs, in all correspondence.

Dated: April 25, 2003.

Laura G. Smith,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.

[FR Doc. 03-10706 Filed 4-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Availability of the Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan for the St. Louis North County Site for Public Review and Comment

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The St. Louis District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), in consultation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), proposes to cleanup contaminants at the St. Louis North County Site resulting from uranium manufacturing and processing activities conducted during the early years of the nation's atomic energy program. This site is one of several being addressed under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA).

Alternatives, which identify the range of potential final site remedies, have been developed and evaluated in the St. Louis North County Feasibility Study (FS). USACE has identified Alternative 5, Excavation with Institutional Controls Under Roads, Bridges, Railroads and Other Permanent Structures, as the preferred alternative in the Proposed Plan (PP) based on the information available at this time. The final remedy will be selected and identified in a Record of Decision (ROD) only after consideration of all comments received and any new information presented.

DATES: The FS and PP will be available for public review from May 1, 2003 through May 30, 2003. Written comments must be received before June 1, 2003. A public meeting, regarding all of the alternatives presented in the FS/PP, will be begin at 6 p.m. at the Hazelwood Civic Center—East at 8969 Dunn Road in Hazelwood, MO on May 29, 2003. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the meeting.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comment to Ms. Sharon Cotner, FUSRAP Program Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District, 8945 Latty Avenue, Berkeley, MO 63134 before June 1, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Jacqueline Mattingly at (314) 260-3924 or (314) 260-3905, or *Jacqueline.Mattingly@mvs02.usace.army.mil*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Proposed Action

The USACE, St. Louis District, is issuing the St. Louis North County FS and PP for public review and comment. The North County Site became contaminated as a result of activities supporting the nation's early atomic energy program. From 1942 to 1957, the Mallinckrodt Chemical Plant extracted uranium and radium from ore at the St. Louis Downtown Site in St. Louis, Missouri. From 1946 until 1967, radioactive process byproducts were stored at an area adjacent to the Lambert-St. Louis Airport, which is now referred to as the St. Louis Airport Site (SLAPS). In 1966, the SLAPS wastes were purchased, moved to, and stored at a property on Latty Avenue. This property later became known as the Hazelwood Interim Storage Site (HISS) and the Futura property. During this move, handling and transportation of the contamination resulted in the material being spread along haul routes and to the adjacent vicinity properties, which are referred to as the SLAPS Vicinity Properties (VPs). The North County Site, which generally consists of SLAPS, HISS/Futura, and the VPs, is being managed by the Corps of

Engineers under the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). The alternatives to address contamination at this site are evaluated in the FS and are summarized in the PP.

2. Project Alternatives

a. Alternative 1—No Action. Required by CERCLA for baseline comparison. Requires periodic environmental monitoring. No soils excavated.

b. Alternative 2—Partial Excavation and Capping at SLAPS and HISS/Futura. Excavate impacted soils only from the VPs for out-of-state disposal. Cap SLAPS and HISS/Futura with stone and clean soil. Use institutional controls to restrict future land use at SLAPS, HISS/Futura, and to control soils beneath roads, bridges, railroads, and other permanent structures.

c. Alternative 3—Partial Excavation and Treatment at SLAPS. Excavate impacted soils from HISS/Futura and VPs. Consolidate excavated soils at SLAPS for treatment (soil sorting and washing). Use soils meeting supplemental standards as backfill at SLAPS and cover site with clean soils. Soils not meeting supplemental standards disposed out-of-state. Use institutional controls to restrict future land use at SLAPS and to control soils beneath roads, bridges, railroads, and other permanent structures.

d. Alternative 4—Institutional Controls. Limit future land use at SLAPS, HISS/Futura, VPs, and for soils beneath roads, bridges, railroads, and other permanent structures using deed notices, land use restrictions, and zoning restrictions. Institutional controls and site maintenance would be implemented to prevent unacceptable exposures to site contamination.

e. Alternative 5—Excavation with Institutional Controls Under Roads, Bridges, Railroads, and Other Permanent Structures. Excavate impacted soils from SLAPS, HISS/Futura and VPs for out-of-state disposal. Use institutional controls to control soils beneath roads, bridges, railroads and other permanent structures.

f. Alternative 6—Excavation at all Properties. Excavate impacted soils from all locations, regardless of accessibility, for out-of-state disposal. Based on available information, the Corps of Engineers' preferred alternative is Alternative 5, Excavation with Institutional Controls Under Roads, Bridges, Railroads, and Other Permanent Structures. Although Alternative 5 is preferred at the present time, public comments are welcome on all alternatives.

3. Availability of the FS and PP

Copies of the FS and PP are with the site Administrative Record File and may be reviewed at the following locations: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District, FUSRAP Project Office, 8945 Latty Avenue, Berkeley, MO 63134 between 8 a.m.—4:30 p.m., Monday thru Friday; or the St. Louis Public Library, Government Information Room, 1302 Olive Street, St. Louis, MO 63103 during normal business hours. Electronic copies of these documents are also available at: <http://www.mvs.usace.army.mil/engr/fusrap/home2.htm>.

Sharon R. Cotner,

FUSRAP Program Manager.

[FR Doc. 03-10686 Filed 4-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No. 84.336A]

**Office of Postsecondary Education;
Teacher Quality Enhancement Grants
Program—State Grants; Notice Inviting
Applications for New Awards for Fiscal
Year (FY) 2003**

Purpose of Program: To provide grants to promote improvements in the quality of new teachers with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement in the nation's K-12 classrooms. State Grants are designed to improve the quality of a State's teaching force by supporting the implementation of comprehensive statewide reform activities in areas such as teacher licensing and certification, accountability for high-quality teacher preparation, and recruitment.

Eligible Applicants: States, as defined in section 103(16) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA).

Note: A State that received a previous grant under this program is not eligible for a FY 2003 grant. The following States are eligible: Alaska, Arizona, Delaware, Hawaii, Iowa, Montana, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New York, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, the Republic of Marshall Islands, the Republic of Palau, and the United States Virgin Islands.

Applications Available: May 1, 2003.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: June 16, 2003.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: August 18, 2003.

Available Funds: \$7,645,000.

Estimated Range of Awards: \$2,000,000–\$3,000,000 per year.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$2,500,000 per year.