

of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 65 FR 26179 (May 5, 2000).

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Reviews

In the February 12, 2003 submission, IAPC advised the Department that in September of 2002, IAPC acquired certain intangible assets of Pastificio Lensi S.p.A and that IAPC resolved to change its name to Pasta Lensi S.r.l. The February 12, 2003 submission demonstrates that in November 2002, a Registration Notice registering the name change was filed with the Brescia Chamber of Commerce, Industry, Handicrafts, and Agriculture. Prior to the acquisition and name change, the former IAPC made two changes to its board of directors and company management. However, the corporate structure and ownership of the company did not change as a result of the name change. Lensi operates the same production facility operated by IAPC. No production facilities have been added, eliminated, or transferred since the name change. Lensi's supplier relationships have stayed the same as IAPC's, and Lensi's customer base did not substantially change as a result of the name change. In accordance with section 751(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216, the Department has determined that there is a sufficient basis to initiate changed circumstances reviews to determine whether Lensi is the successor-in-interest to IAPC.

In making such a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See, e.g., *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992) (*Canadian Brass*). While no one or several of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. See *Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944, 6945 (February 14, 1994); see also *Canadian Brass*, 57 FR 20460, Comment 1 (“[G]enerally, in the case of an asset acquisition, the Department will consider the acquiring company to be a successor to the company covered by the antidumping duty order, and thus subject to its duty deposit rate, if the resulting operation is essentially similar to that existing before

the acquisition.”) Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the former company, the Department will assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.

We preliminarily determine that Lensi is the successor-in-interest to IAPC. Documentation attached to Lensi's February 12, 2003, submission supports its claims that the acquisition of certain intangible assets resulted in little or no change in either production facilities, supplier relationships, customer base, or management. This documentation consisted of: (1) minutes of the September 4, 2002 IAPC Board of Directors Meeting and September 19, 2002 Extraordinary Shareholder Meeting detailing the resolve to change the name from IAPC to Lensi and to acquire certain assets, and the shareholder approval of the name change and acquisition of assets; (2) Registration Statement filed with Brescia Chamber of Commerce; (3) legal structure of the former IAPC's parent company, the American Italian Pasta Company's European affiliates, before and after the name change; (4) a list of the IAPC/Lensi Board of Directors; (5) organization charts for IAPC and Lensi, before and after the name change; (6) list of suppliers and quantity of purchases for IAPC/Lensi; and (7) customers and quantity of sales for IAPC and Lensi, before and after the name change. The documentation described above

demonstrates that (i) substantially all employees of IAPC, including most of the management, remain the same, (ii) the intangible assets were sold as a going concern, and (iii) there were little or no changes in management structure, supplier relationships, production facilities, or customer base.

When “expedited action is warranted,” the Department may publish the notice of initiation and preliminary determination concurrently. See 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii); see also *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 13672 (March 20, 2003). The Department has determined that such action is warranted because IAPC has provided *prima facie* evidence that Lensi is its successor-in-interest, and we have the information necessary to make a preliminary finding already on the record.

Based upon the record evidence, we find that Lensi operates as the same business entity as IAPC. Thus, we

preliminarily determine that Lensi is the successor-in-interest to IAPC.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 531.309, 310. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing, if one is requested, should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of these changed circumstances reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

We are issuing and publishing these determinations and notice in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and sections 351.216 and 351.221 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: March 31, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–8411 Filed 4–4–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-507-502]

Certain In-Shell Raw Pistachios from Iran: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

SUMMARY: On August 27, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 55000) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell pistachios from Iran covering two exporters. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2001, to June 30, 2002. This review has now been rescinded because

both parties requesting the review withdrew their request.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 7, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Phyllis Hall or Donna Kinsella, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1398 or (202) 482-0194 respectively.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is raw, in-shell pistachio nuts from which the hulls have been removed, leaving the inner hard shells, and edible meats from Iran. This merchandise is currently provided for in item 0802502000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule*.

Background:

On July 31, 2002, Cyrus Marketing (an importer) requested an administrative review of Rafsanjan Pistachio Producers Cooperative (RPPC), an Iranian producer and exporter of in-shell pistachios, with respect to the antidumping duty order published in the **Federal Register**. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain In Shell Pistachios from Iran*, 51 FR 25922 (July 17, 1986). Additionally, the petitioner, California Pistachio Commission (CPC), requested an administrative review of the Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc. (Nima). The Department initiated the review for both companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 67 FR 55000 (August 27, 2002).

On March 5, 2003, the CPC withdrew its request for administrative review of Nima. On March 19, 2003, Cyrus Marketing withdrew its request for review of RPPC. The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1)(2002), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. Although Cyrus Marketing's and the CPC's requests for withdrawal were made after the 90-day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides it is reasonable to do so. We have received no submissions opposing Cyrus Marketing's request for withdrawal of the administrative review and Cyrus Marketing was the only party to request the administrative review of RPPC. Likewise, we have received no submissions opposing CPC's request for

withdrawal of the administrative review and CPC was the only party to request the administrative review of Nima. In addition, on October 31, 2002, Nima submitted certifications that it did not have any U.S. sales or shipments during the POR. Therefore, we find it reasonable to extend the deadline and accept the withdrawal requests, and we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell pistachios from Iran covering the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002, for both companies.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751 and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930 and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 1, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-8413 Filed 4-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-875]

Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Filings From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 7, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ronald Trentham or Sam Zengotitabengoa, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6320, and (202) 482-4195, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are finished and unfinished non-malleable cast iron pipe fittings with an inside diameter ranging from ¼ inch to 6 inches, whether threaded or unthreaded, regardless of industry or proprietary specifications. The subject fittings include elbows, ells, tees, crosses, and reducers as well as flanged fittings. These pipe fittings are also known as "cast iron pipe fittings" or "gray iron pipe fittings." These cast iron pipe fittings are normally produced to

ASTM A-126 and ASME B.16.4 specifications and are threaded to ASME B1.20.1 specifications. Most building codes require that these products are Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certified. The scope does not include cast iron soil pipe fittings or grooved fittings or grooved couplings.

Fittings that are made out of ductile iron that have the same physical characteristics as the gray or cast iron fittings subject to the scope above or which have the same physical characteristics and are produced to ASME B.16.3, ASME B.16.4, or ASTM A-395 specifications, threaded to ASME B1.20.1 specifications and UL certified, regardless of metallurgical differences between gray and ductile iron, are also included in the scope of this petition. These ductile fittings do not include grooved fittings or grooved couplings. Ductile cast iron fittings with mechanical joint ends (MJ), or push on ends (PO), or flanged ends and produced to the American Water Works Association (AWWA) specifications AWWA C110 or AWWA C153 are not included.

Imports of covered merchandise are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7307.11.00.30, 7307.11.00.60, 7307.19.30.60 and 7307.19.30.85. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Antidumping Duty Order

On March 24, 2003, pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(ii) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the International Trade Commission (the ITC) notified the Department of Commerce (the Department) of its final determination that the industry in the United States producing non-malleable cast iron pipe fittings is threatened with material injury by reason of import of the subject merchandise from the People's Republic of China (PRC).

In accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to assess, upon further advice by the administering authority, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the U.S. price of the merchandise for all relevant entries of non-malleable cast iron pipe fittings from the PRC. In accordance with section 736(b)(2) of the Act, duties shall be assessed on subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination if that determination is