

instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Protest Date: March 25, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-7217 Filed 3-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-37-003]

Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Amendment

March 19, 2003.

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), P.O. Box 5601, Bismarck, North Dakota 58506-5601, filed an amendment to its pending applications filed on November 30, 2001 as amended on September 27, 2002, in Docket Nos. CP02-37-000 and CP02-37-002, respectively, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), to reflect certain modifications to the Grasslands Project, all as more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Specifically, Williston Basin states that a change in market conditions has resulted in shippers contracting for all 80,000 dekatherms per day of capacity on the Grasslands Project starting in Year 1. As a result, Williston Basin proposes to construct Phases I, II and III of the project, concurrently and have the total project in service on November 1, 2003. Williston Basin states that since shippers will be able to use the full capacity of 80,000 dekatherms per day upon the in-service date of November 1, 2003, it is no longer necessary to defer depreciation expense and withdraws its request to create a regulatory asset.

Any questions regarding the amendment should be directed to Keith A. Tiggelaar, Director of Regulatory Affairs, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company, P.O. Box 5601, Bismarck, North Dakota 58506-5601, at

(701) 530-1560, or E-mail:

keith.tiggelaar@wbip.com.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made in the proceeding, with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

If the Commission decides to set the amendment for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

All persons who have heretofore filed need not file again.

Comment Date: March 31, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-7210 Filed 3-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. AC03-29-000, et al.]

Gulf South Pipeline Co. L.P., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

March 19, 2003.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Gulf South Pipeline Co., L.P.

[Docket No. AC03-29-000]

Take notice that on March 11, 2003, Gulf South Pipeline Company, L.P. (Gulf South) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

(Commission) a letter requesting approval of Pro forma Journal Entries that would be recorded in connection with a sale of gas from volumes included in Account 117.2, System Balancing Gas.

Comment Date: April 1, 2003.

2. TRANSLink Transmission Company, L.L.C.

[Docket Nos. EC01-156-004 and ER01-3154-004]

Take notice that on March 13, 2003, TRANSLink Development Company, L.L.C. (TRANSLink) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's April 25, 2002 order issued in the above-referenced proceedings (99 FERC ¶ 61,106) and its November 1, 2002 order (101 FERC ¶ 61,140).

Comment Date: April 3, 2003.

3. Allegheny Energy Supply Conemaugh, LLC UGI Development Company

[Docket No. EC03-66-000]

Take notice that on March 13, 2003, Allegheny Energy Supply Conemaugh, LLC (Conemaugh) and UGI Development Company (UGI) (together, the Applicants) filed a joint application for disposition of jurisdictional facilities under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act. The Applicants request Commission approval for Conemaugh to sell and UGI to acquire Conemaugh's 4.86% tenant-in-common share of the Conemaugh generating facility, located in Indiana County, Pennsylvania.

Comment Date: April 3, 2003.

4. TransCanada PipeLines Limited

[Docket No. EC03-67-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, TransCanada PipeLines Limited (TransCanada) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the Commission) an Application pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization of the disposition of jurisdictional facilities that may occur from a corporate reorganization implementing a holding company structure for TransCanada.

Comment Date: April 3, 2003.

5. PSEG Power Connecticut LLC

[Docket No. EG03-25-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, PSEG Power Connecticut LLC (Applicant), having its principal place of business at 80 Park Plaza, T-16, Newark, NJ 07102, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) a third

amendment to its December 4, 2002 application for determination of exempt wholesale generator (EWG) status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations. The amendment proposes an alternative to certain activities incidental to the generation of electricity for sale at wholesale.

The Applicant states that it is engaged, directly or indirectly through an affiliate as defined in section 2(a)(11)(B) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (PUHCA), exclusively in owning or owning and operating eligible electric facilities and participating in certain other activities incidental to such eligible electric facilities as authorized under PUHCA. The Applicant further states that it owns and operates eligible facilities located in Connecticut.

Comment Date: April 9, 2003.

6. Reliant Energy Choctaw County, LLC

[Docket No. EG03-45-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Reliant Energy Choctaw County, LLC (Reliant Choctaw) filed its application for a determination that it will be an exempt wholesale generator within the meaning of Section 32(a)(1) of Public Utility Holding Company Act (Application).

Comment Date: April 9, 2003.

7. Hunterstown Trust

[Docket No. EG03-46-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Hunterstown Trust filed its application for a determination that it will be an exempt wholesale generator within the meaning of Section 32(a)(1) of Public Utility Holding Company Act (Application).

Comment Date: April 9, 2003.

8. Meyersdale Windpower, LLC

[Docket No. EG03-48-000]

Take notice that on March 13, 2003, Meyersdale Windpower, LLC (Applicant) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an Application for Determination of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant states that it is developing a wind-powered eligible facility with a capacity of up to 48 megawatts, powered by up to 32 wind turbine generators, which will be located in Somerset County, Pennsylvania.

Comment Date: April 9, 2003.

9. Northern Indiana Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-399-003]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Northern Indiana Public Service Company (Northern Indiana) filed revised tariff sheets as part of its FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 5. Northern Indiana states that the revised tariff sheets are submitted in compliance with the order issued by the Commission on December 30, 2002 (101 FERC ¶ 61,397).

Northern Indiana states that copies of this filing have been sent to all parties on the Commission's official service list.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

10. Tiverton Power Associates Limited Partnership

[Docket No. ER00-1171-001]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Tiverton Power Associates Limited Partnership submitted for filing its triennial market analysis update in compliance with the Commission Order issued in Docket No. ER00-1171-000 on March 16, 2000.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

11. Westar Generating, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-1305-007]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Westar Generating, Inc. (WG) submitted for filing a revised Original Substitute Sheet No. 22 of Rate Schedule FERC No. 1, Purchase Power Agreement between WG and Westar Energy, Inc. (WE). WG states that the purpose of this revision is to change certain language with respect to WE's purchase option for WG's ownership interest in the State Line combined-cycle generating project. WG is requesting an effective date of March 17, 2003.

WG states that copies of the filing was served upon WE and the Kansas Corporation Commission.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

12. Westar Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER03-172-001]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Westar Energy, Inc. (Westar) submitted for filing the FERC Electric Tariff, Volume No. 7 in response to the Commission's Order in Docket No. ER03-172-000. This filing submits the previously accepted WRC pricing schedule as an appropriate FERC tariff. The tariff is proposed to be effective on October 31, 2002. Westar also filed a blank conforming service agreement as part of the proposed tariff. Westar states that each of the three customers' contracts, under the proposed tariff, conforms to the service agreement being submitted.

Westar states that a copy of this filing was served upon the Kansas Corporation Commission, Kaw Valley Electric Cooperative, Nemaha-Marshall Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. and Doniphan Electric Cooperative.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

13. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER03-616-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) tendered for filing a revised Contract Demand Exhibit for Southern California Edison (SCE) applicable under the APS-ERC Rate Schedule No. 120.

APS states that copies of this filing have been served on SCE, the California Public Utilities Commission and the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

14. California Independent System Operator Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-617-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) filed First Revised Service Agreement No. 445, which is a Participating Generator Agreement (PGA) between the ISO and Energia de Baja California, S. de R.L. de C.V. (EdBC). The PGA has been revised to update Schedule 1 of the PGA. The ISO requests that the PGA be made effective as of February 3, 2003.

The ISO states it has served copies of this filing upon EdBC and all entities that are on the official service list for Docket No. ER02-2009-000.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

15. Reliant Energy Choctaw County, LLC

[Docket No. ER03-618-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003 Reliant Energy Choctaw County, LLC (Reliant Choctaw) petitioned the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) to grant certain blanket authorizations, to waive certain of the Commission's Regulations and to issue an order accepting Reliant Choctaw's FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1. Reliant Choctaw requested that the Commission approve its applicant on an expedited basis.

Comment Date: April 1, 2003.

16. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER03-619-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003 PECO Energy Company (PECO) submitted for filing: (1) First Revised Sheet Nos. 59 and 60 to the Interconnection Agreement between PECO and Exelon Generation for the Chester Generating Station designated

as First Revised Rate Schedules FERC No. 124; (2) First Revised Sheet Nos. 62 and 63 superseding Original Sheet Nos. 62 and 63 to the Interconnection Agreement between PECO and Exelon Generation Company (Exelon Generation) for the Cromby Generating Station designated as First Revised Rate Schedule FERC No. 126; (3) First Revised Sheet Nos. 58, 59, and 60 to the Interconnection Agreement between PECO and Exelon Generation for the Croydon Generating Station designated as First Revised Rate Schedule FERC No. 127; (4) First Revised Sheet Nos. 61 and 62 superseding Original Sheet Nos. 61 and 62 to the Interconnection Agreement between PECO and Exelon Generation for the Delaware Generating Station designated as First Revised Rate Schedule FERC No. 128; and (5) First Revised Sheet Nos. 64 and 65 superseding Original Sheet Nos. 64 and 65 to the Interconnection Agreement between PECO and Exelon Generation for the Schuylkill Generating Station designated as First Revised Rate Schedule FERC No. 137. These pages were revised to address the installation of new metering equipment at the generation stations.

PECO states that copies of this filing were served on Exelon Generation and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

17. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-620-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Florida Power Corporation (FPC) refiled the executed Shady Hills Facility Parallel Operation Agreement between FPC and Florida Power & Light Company. FPC is requesting an effective date of December 18, 2002 for this Rate Schedule.

FPC states that a copy of the filing was served upon the Florida Public Service Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

18. Inland Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER03-621-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Inland Power & Light Company filed a Notice of Cancellation of its Rate Schedule FERC No. 3.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

19. Capital Power, Inc.

[Docket No. ER03-622-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Capital Power, Inc. (Capital), petitioned the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) for acceptance of Capital Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; the granting of certain

blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates; and the waiver of certain Commission regulations.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

20. Jamaica Bay Peaking Facility, LLC

[Docket No. ER03-623-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 2003, Jamaica Bay Peaking Facility, LLC (Jamaica Bay) tendered for filing an application for authorization to sell wholesale power at market-based rates, and certain ancillary services at market-based rates into the New York market.

Jamaica Bay states that copies of this filing have been served on the New York State Public Service Commission, the Long Island Power Authority, and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: April 4, 2003.

21. Calpine Construction Finance Company, L.P.

[Docket No. ER03-624-000]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Calpine Construction Finance Company, L.P., tendered for filing, under section 205 of the Federal Power Act, a rate schedule for Reactive Power from the Ontelaunee Energy Center.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

22. Allegheny Power

[Docket No. ER03-625-000]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, Allegheny Power (Allegheny) submitted for filing an executed Interconnection and Operating Agreement (Agreement) with Industrial Power Generating Corporation (Ingenco). Allegheny requests an effective date of March 15, 2003 for the Agreement and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Allegheny states that copies of the filing were served on Ingenco and on interested state commissions.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

23. Empire District Electric Company

[Docket No. ER03-626-000]

Take notice that on March 17, 2003, The Empire District Electric Company (Empire) filed certain changes to an existing agreement for wholesale requirements service to four municipal utilities customers currently on file with the FERC as Empire Wholesale Electric Service Schedule W-1 (Schedule W-1).

Empire states that copies of this filing were served on all customers under Schedule W-1 and on all affected state commissions.

Comment Date: April 7, 2003.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-7175 Filed 3-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-5-003]

Algonquin Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Everett Extension Project, Request for Comments on Environmental Issues, and Notice of a Public Scoping Meeting and Site Visit

March 19, 2003.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the proposed Everett Extension Project. On February 5, 2003, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (AGT) filed an application to amend its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for authorization to construct and operate

facilities in Suffolk County, Massachusetts. This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity. A decision whether an environmental impact statement is needed has not been made yet, and will be based on the comments and information received during the scoping process. Agencies listed in Appendix 3 are hereby asked to indicate whether they want to be cooperating agencies for preparing the EA.

The application, and other supplemental filings in this docket are available for viewing on the FERC Internet Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>). Click on the "FERRIS" link, select "General Search" from the FERRIS menu, and follow the instructions, being sure to input the correct docket number (CP01-5-003).

If you are a landowner receiving this notice, you may be contacted by a pipeline company representative about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed facilities. The pipeline company would seek to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement. However, if the project is approved by the Commission, that approval conveys with it the right of eminent domain and under certain circumstances the pipeline company could initiate condemnation proceedings in accordance with Florida law.

A fact sheet prepared by the FERC entitled "An Interstate Natural Gas Facility On My Land? What Do I Need To Know?" was attached to the project notice that AGT provided to landowners. This fact sheet addresses a number of typically asked questions, including the use of eminent domain and how to participate in the Commission's proceedings. It is available for viewing on the FERC Internet Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>).

Summary of the Proposed Project

AGT would construct 6.64 miles of 24-inch-diameter pipeline, 0.31 miles of 8-inch-diameter pipeline, three meter stations, and appurtenant facilities. The proposal would extend the 24-inch-diameter Deer Island Lateral pipeline (approved on June 4, 2002, in Docket No. CP01-5-002, but unbuilt yet). The proposal would provide 110,000 dekatherms per day of firm transportation service. AGT requests final authorization for the proposed facilities by December 15, 2003, and would construct its facilities in 2004 during the summer and fall, so that it could place the facilities in service by June 1, 2005. The general locations of

the project facilities are shown in Appendix 1.¹ If you are interested in obtaining detailed maps of a specific portion of the project, send in your request using the form in Appendix 4.

Land Requirements for Construction

Construction of the Everett Extension Project would temporarily disturb a total of about 72.70 acres of land. This includes about 22.9 acres offshore and 36.2 acres onshore for the pipeline construction right-of-way (ROW), and 13.6 acres for extra workspace and contractor staging areas. The total land requirements for the permanent ROW would be about 14.14 acres, including 1.35 acres of land for operation of the new permanent aboveground facilities. The remaining 58.56 acres of land affected by construction would be restored and allowed to revert to its former use.

Approximately 3.86 miles of the pipeline would be built onshore, and 3.09 miles would be offshore. The offshore construction would include about 2.25 miles of horizontal directional drilling, and about 0.84 miles of shallow water dredging. The offshore disturbance would include about 8.67 acres for trench excavation and 14.22 acres for temporarily storing the side-cast trench spoil. AGT states that most (69%) of the proposed onshore route would not require AGT to obtain a ROW easement, since 60% of the route would be located within existing roads or utility ROW, and 9% would be on property owned by proposed customers. AGT would typically use a 50- to 75-foot-wide construction ROW. Temporary extra workspaces are often needed for waterbody, highway, and railroad crossings; additional topsoil storage; and pipe storage and equipment yards.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us² to discover and address concerns the

¹ The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available on the Commission's Web site at the "FERRIS" link or from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 502-8371. For instructions on connecting to FERRIS refer to the last page of this notice. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

² "We", "us", and "our" refer to the environmental staff of the Office of Energy Projects (OEP).