

2003–0016. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

iii. *Disk or CD ROM.* You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Unit I.C.2. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

2. *By mail.* Send your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB) (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency , 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP–2003–0016.

3. *By hand delivery or courier.* Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP–2003–0016. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit I.B.1.

D. How Should I Submit CBI To the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI.

Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
6. Offer alternative ways to improve the notice.
7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this document.
8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

II. Background

Mycogen Seeds/Dow AgroSciences LLC has applied to amend/extend 68467–EUP–4 for *Bacillus thuringiensis* moCry1F protein and the genetic material necessary for its production (plasmid insert PHP 12537) in corn to allow the planting of 291 acres of field corn to conduct insect resistance management, agronomic observation, breeding and observation nursery, efficacy, maize demonstration, and herbicide tolerance study trials. The Mycogen Seeds' program is authorized in the States of California, Colorado, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The original notice of approval for this EUP published in the **Federal Register** on June 26, 2002 (67 FR 43115) (FRL–7182–2).

III. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Following the review of the Mycogen Seeds/Dow AgroSciences LLC application and any comments and data received in response to this notice, EPA

will decide whether to issue or deny the EUP request. Any issuance of the EUP will be announced in the **Federal Register**.

IV. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

The specific legal authority for EPA to take this action is under FIFRA section 5.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Experimental use permits.

Dated: February 28, 2003.

Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 03–5619 Filed 3–5–03; 2:17 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7459–6]

Proposed Administrative Peripheral Party, Inability To Pay, Cash-out Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 Regarding the Meadowlands Plating & Finishing Site, East Rutherford, NJ

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed administrative cash-out agreement and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. "9601 *et seq.* In accordance with EPA guidance, notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement pursuant to section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA concerning the Meadowlands Plating & Finishing Site, located in East Rutherford, New Jersey. Notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed settlement and of the opportunity to comment. This settlement is intended to resolve a responsible party's civil liability for response costs incurred by EPA at the Meadowlands Plating & Finishing Site. CERCLA provides EPA the authority to settle certain claims for response costs incurred by the United States with the approval of the Attorney General of the United States.

The proposed settlement provides that John Canavari, will pay \$80,000 over 36 months, in reimbursement of response costs incurred by EPA in remediating the Meadowlands Plating & Finishing site in return for a covenant not sue under section 107 of CERCLA from the United States.

DATES: Comments must be provided by April 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866 and should refer to: In the Matter of Meadowlands Plating & Finishing Site, John Canavari, Settling Party, U.S. EPA Region II Docket No. CERCLA–02–2003–2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, Attention: Patricia C. Hick, Esq. (212) 637–3137.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A copy of the proposed administrative settlement agreement, as well as background information relating to the settlement, may be obtained in person or by mail from EPA's Region II Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866.

Dated: January 3, 2003.

William McCabe,

Acting Director, Emergency & Remedial Response Division.

[FR Doc. 03–5475 Filed 3–6–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

February 27, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning

whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before April 7, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judith Boley Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith Boley Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0240.

Title: Equipment Changes.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 36.

Estimated Time Per Response: .5–1 hour.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 24 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Commission is consolidating three information collections into one comprehensive collection covering equipment changes. All three collections are under different OMB control numbers but the Commission will retain 3060–0240 as the active number and cancel the other two numbers. All three rule sections require that the licensees of various stations notify the Commission in writing of equipment changes. The data is used to maintain complete technical records regarding a licensee's facilities and to assure that the changes made are in compliance with current FCC rules and regulations.

OMB Control No.: 3060–XXXX.

Title: Potential Reporting Requirements on Local Exchange Carriers (LECs) to Assist Expedited

Implementation of Wireless E911 Service.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 6 respondents; 24 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 8 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 192 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Commission plans to seek information from six of the nation's Local Exchange Carriers (LECs) regarding the status of their efforts in connection with wireless E911 deployment. The information will be used by the Commission to determine whether the LECs are meeting their responsibilities with respect to E911. Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–5398 Filed 3–6–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

February 21, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated