

101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 31, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Thella Bowens, Interim Executive Director, San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at the following address: P.O. Box 82776, San Diego, CA 92138-2776. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Milligan, Supervisor Standards Section, Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Room 3024, Lawndale, CA 90261, Telephone: (310) 725-3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Diego International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). On February 18, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 10, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 03-03-C-00-SAN:

*Level of proposed PFC:* \$4.50.

*Proposed charge effective date:* June 1, 2003.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* December 31, 2005.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$83,975,730.

*Brief description of the proposed project:* Replace ARFF Vehicle, Taxiway Improvements, Runway Improvements, Commuter Terminal Apron Improvements, Noise Mitigation, Environmental Remediation, Environmental Studies, Airport Security

Improvements, Terminal Improvements, Airport Access Improvements, and Infrastructure Data Management System (Phase 3).

*Class or classes of air carriers, which the public agency has requested, not be required to collect PFCs:* Nonscheduled/on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on February 18, 2003.

**Ellsworth Chan,**

*Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 03-4799 Filed 2-27-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroad has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

#### Docket Number FRA-2002-14116

*Applicant:* Canadian National Railway, Mr. Kenneth J. Bagby, Signals Supervisor, 3460 Bristol Road, Flint, Michigan 48507.

The Canadian National Railway (CN) seeks relief from the requirements of the Rules, Standard and Instructions, Title 49 CFR, part 236, section 236.408, to the extent that route locking need not be provided for the "32nd Street Crossover" power-operated switches, at milepost 333.28 in the existing traffic control system, at Port Huron, Michigan, on the Flint Subdivision, Midwest Division.

*Applicant's justification for relief:* The installation is not uncommon in the railroad industry and provides all of the

requisite components and safety features of a standard interlocking or an electric lock location that would be found in TCS territory; CN has three similar installations.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

All Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.—5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 24, 2003.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 03-4766 Filed 2-27-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Research and Special Programs Administration

**[Docket No. RSPA-2003-14424, Notice No. 03-2]**

#### Hazardous Materials: Formal Interpretation of Regulations

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Formal interpretation of regulations.

**SUMMARY:** The Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) is

issuing a formal interpretation of the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR) identifying when: (1) An airline passenger "offers" hazardous materials in carry-on baggage (including items on his/her person) or checked baggage for transportation under Federal hazardous materials transportation law and the HMR, and (2) when an air carrier accepts carry-on baggage (including items on a passenger's person) or checked baggage for transportation under the Federal hazardous materials transportation law and the HMR.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nancy E. Machado, Assistant Chief Counsel for Hazardous Materials Safety, Research and Technology, Office of the Chief Counsel, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC 20590-0001 (Tel. No. 202-366-4400).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** RSPA issues the HMR (49 CFR parts 171 through 180) as part of its implementation of the Federal hazardous materials transportation law, 49 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.* From time to time, RSPA's Chief Counsel issues formal interpretations of the HMR which are published in the **Federal Register**. Publication of these interpretations promotes a better understanding of the HMR and improves compliance.

In addition to formal and informal interpretations issued by the Chief Counsel, RSPA's Office of Hazardous Materials Standards provides information and informal clarifications of the HMR on an ongoing basis through a telephonic information center (800-467-4922 or 202-366-4488) and informal written interpretations or clarifications in response to written inquiries. RSPA's informal letters of clarification (and additional information concerning the HMR) are also available through the Hazmat Safety Homepage at <http://hazmat.dot.gov>. The Chief Counsel's formal and informal interpretations are available through the Chief Counsel's homepage at <http://rspa-atty.dot.gov>. In addition, some of RSPA's interpretations and clarifications may be reproduced or summarized in various trade publications. Further information concerning the availability of informal guidance and interpretations of the HMR is set forth in 49 CFR 105.20.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all documents received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the document (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association,

business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78), or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**Background**

In general, a hazardous material subject to the HMR is prohibited in the cabin of a passenger-carrying aircraft (49 CFR 175.85) and may only be carried in the cargo compartment of a passenger-carrying aircraft when it fully conforms to the hazard communication, packaging and stowage requirements of the HMR. In order to accommodate the needs of the traveling public, exceptions are provided in 49 CFR 175.10 to allow passengers to carry certain quantities and types of articles, such as medicines and toiletries, in their checked and carry-on baggage (including on one's person). See 49 CFR 175.10 for a detailed listing of the materials which passengers are permitted to carry.

Hazardous materials in carry-on baggage and checked baggage are subject to the HMR when offered for transportation in commerce. Section 171.2(a) of 49 CFR provides that "no person may offer or accept a hazardous material for transportation in commerce unless \* \* \* the hazardous material is properly classed, described, packaged, marked, labeled, and in condition for shipment as required or authorized by applicable requirements of [the HMR], or an exemption, approval or registration issued under [the HMR] \* \* \*." The Secretary of Transportation has delegated to certain agencies within the Department the authority in 49 U.S.C. 5123 to assess a civil penalty against any person who "knowingly violates" a requirement in the HMR, including the provision in § 171.2(a) which is discussed above. Section 5123 (a) provides that a person "acts knowingly" when (A) the person has actual knowledge of the facts giving rise to the violation; or (B) a reasonable person acting in the circumstances and exercising reasonable care would have that knowledge.

Security concerns have led to enhanced screening of air passengers and their baggage for weapons, explosives, and incendiaries. As a result of this increased focus on passenger carry-on baggage (including items on one's person) and checked baggage, screening personnel are discovering hazardous materials during the screening process. Consequently, there is a need to identify, for purposes of compliance with the HMR, when a passenger "offers" a hazardous material for transportation by aircraft in carry-on

or checked baggage and when an air carrier "accepts" a hazardous material for transportation by aircraft in carry-on or checked baggage.

**Interpretation**

This formal interpretation identifies, for purposes of the HMR, the point at which: (1) An airline passenger "offers" hazardous material in carry-on baggage (including items on his/her person) or checked baggage for transportation in commerce, and (2) an air carrier "accepts" hazardous material in carry-on baggage (including items on a passenger's person) or checked baggage for transportation in commerce. This interpretation addresses only passenger carry-on and checked baggage and does not address or apply to cargo shipments. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), which has the primary responsibility for enforcing the HMR with regard to air transportation, concurs with this interpretation. Prior advice given by FAA that may be inconsistent with this interpretation is superseded by this formal interpretation. RSPA has coordinated this interpretation with the Transportation Security Administration, which has responsibility for aviation security.

*Carry-on items:* A passenger in control of carry-on baggage (including items on his/her person) containing a hazardous material offers and represents that the baggage is fit for transportation by aircraft when the passenger tenders the baggage to screening personnel at an airport security screening checkpoint or otherwise attempts to proceed through the checkpoint with the hazardous material on his/her person. A passenger offers carry-on baggage for transportation by placing the baggage on the X-ray machine conveyer belt, handing the baggage to screening personnel, placing the baggage in a bin or tray for examination by screening personnel, or when the passenger physically passes through the security checkpoint with the baggage (including items on his/her person). Screening personnel at the security screening checkpoint do not need to have physical control of the carry-on baggage for an offer to have occurred; simply presenting the baggage for screening at a security screening checkpoint or passing through the checkpoint with the baggage (including items on a passenger's person) is sufficient to constitute an offer.

Carry-on baggage is accepted by an air carrier when the airline accepts the boarding pass of the passenger while boarding the flight. The passenger is responsible for ensuring compliance

with the HMR from the point of offer and at all times until transportation is complete.

**Checked baggage:** Checked baggage containing a hazardous material is offered to the carrier at the point the passenger presents the baggage for acceptance by the carrier. This can occur at curbside check-in, at the ticket counter at the airport, or when the passenger presents the bag to screening personnel for explosive detection screening as a prerequisite to presentation to the carrier. When the baggage is tendered at curbside check-in or the ticket counter to the air carrier, the baggage is considered to have been accepted when the air carrier issues a baggage claim ticket for the checked baggage.

Accordingly, if a passenger's carry-on baggage or checked baggage contains a hazardous material that does not comply with Federal hazardous materials transportation law or the HMR, and the passenger has tendered the baggage to screening personnel at an airport security screening checkpoint, passed through the checkpoint with the baggage (including items on his/her person), or offered it to the carrier, the passenger may be subject to civil or criminal penalties under Federal hazardous materials transportation law, the HMR, or any other applicable laws or regulations. Likewise, an air carrier that knowingly accepts a passenger's carry-on baggage or checked baggage containing a hazardous material that does not comply with Federal hazardous materials transportation law or the HMR may be subject to civil or criminal penalties under Federal hazardous materials transportation law, the HMR, or any other applicable laws or regulations.

#### Information Concerning Passengers Who Need Supplemental Oxygen

The above interpretation does not affect the use of oxygen by passengers at airports. Ticketed passengers using their own oxygen on the ground, who do not intend to transport the oxygen because they are receiving oxygen for the flight from the air carrier, are not considered to be offering their oxygen for transportation on an aircraft when they enter or pass through the security screening checkpoint. Passengers may not carry oxygen aboard an aircraft; it must be provided by the aircraft operator (*see* 49 CFR 175.10 and 14 CFR 121.574).

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 25, 2003.

**Barbara Betsock,**

*Acting Chief Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 03-4800 Filed 2-27-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-60-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-43 (Sub-No. 174X)]

#### Illinois Central Railroad Company— Abandonment Exemption—in Randolph County, IL

Illinois Central Railroad Company (IC)<sup>1</sup> has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon a 0.45-mile line of railroad between milepost MM 602.55 and milepost 603.0 near Baldwin, in Randolph County, IL. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Code 62217.

IC has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) there is no overhead traffic to be rerouted; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on March 30, 2003, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,<sup>2</sup> formal

<sup>1</sup> IC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Canadian National Railway Company.

<sup>2</sup> The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent

expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),<sup>3</sup> and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by March 10, 2003. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by March 20, 2003, with the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to IC's representative: Michael J. Barron, Jr., Illinois Central Railroad Company, c/o Canadian National/Illinois Central, 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive, Chicago, IL 60611-5317.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

IC has filed a separate environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment and historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by March 7, 2003. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 500, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423-0001) or by calling SEA, at (202) 565-1552. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.] Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), IC shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned the line. If consummation has not been effected by IC's filing of a notice of consummation by February 28, 2004, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at [www.stb.dot.gov](http://www.stb.dot.gov).

Decided: February 19, 2003.

investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. *See Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>3</sup> Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1,100. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).