

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Reclamation****Humboldt Project Conveyance, Pershing and Lander Counties, NV**

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Humboldt Project Conveyance. Reclamation will be conducting public scoping meetings to elicit comments on the scope and issues to be addressed in the draft EIS. Reclamation is also seeking written comments, as noted below. The draft EIS is expected to be issued in early 2004. Public notification will occur for all scoping meetings to be held for this draft EIS.

DATES: Written comments on the scope of alternatives and impacts to be considered should be sent to Reclamation at the address below by June 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Caryn Hunt DeCarlo, Bureau of Reclamation, Lahontan Basin Area Office, 705 N. Plaza, Room 320, Carson City, NV 89701; or by telephone at 775-884-8352; or faxed to 775-882-7592 (TDD 775-487-5933).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caryn Hunt DeCarlo, Bureau of Reclamation, at the above address and telephone number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Humboldt Project (Project) is located along the Humboldt River in northwestern Nevada. Reclamation began the Project construction in 1935, and in 1941 the first water was delivered to the agricultural lands from storage in Rye Patch Reservoir. The Pershing County Water Conservation District (PCWCD) assumed operation of the Project in 1941. PCWCD has had several Project repayment contracts with Reclamation that have all been repaid. Project features include Battle Mountain Community Pasture, Rye Patch Dam and Reservoir, and the Humboldt Sink. Battle Mountain Community Pasture, located near Battle Mountain, is approximately 30,000 acres and is managed for grazing by the PCWCD under a lease agreement with Reclamation. Rye Patch Reservoir is located 26 miles upstream from Lovelock, is 21 miles in length, and has a capacity of 190,000 acre-feet. The State of Nevada manages the recreation

at the reservoir under a management agreement with Reclamation and the PCWCD. The Humboldt Sink is also part of the Project and is managed by the State of Nevada under a management agreement with Reclamation.

Reclamation is preparing an EIS to analyze the action of conveying title of the Humboldt Project and associated lands to several entities. The conveyance is authorized under title VIII of Public Law 107-282. The preliminary estimate of acres of conveyance is as follows: PCWCD 48,700 acres (portions of Rye Patch Reservoir and the Battle Mountain Community Pasture); Pershing County 960 acres (portion of the Humboldt Sink area); Lander County 1,100 acres (portion of the Battle Mountain Community Pasture); and the State of Nevada 19,700 acres (portions of Rye Patch Reservoir, Humboldt Sink, and in the Battle Mountain Community Pasture).

The environmental impacts of the Project conveyance and associated alternatives will be assessed in the EIS. The environmental review in the EIS will focus on the potential for Project conveyance to cause adverse environmental impacts to natural and cultural resources such as recreation, endangered species and other fish and wildlife, and historic resources.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may also be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: January 31, 2003.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 03-4456 Filed 2-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-488]

In the Matter of Certain Screen Printing Machines, Vision Alignment Devices Used Therein, and Component Parts Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 17, 2003, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Speedline Technologies, Inc. of Franklin, Massachusetts. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on February 7, 2003. The complaint as supplemented alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain screen printing machines, vision alignment devices used therein, and component parts thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,060,063. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James B. Coughlan, Esq., Office of

Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2221.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in § 210.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2002).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 19, 2003, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain screen printing machines, vision alignment devices used therein, or component parts thereof by reason of infringement of claim 1, 2, 3, 4, or 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,060,063, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Speedline Technologies, Inc., 16 Forge Park, Franklin, Massachusetts 02038.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: EKRA America, Inc., 34 Saint Martin Drive, Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752.

EKRA Germany GmbH, Zeppelinstrasse 16, D-74357, Bonningheim, Germany.

(c) James B. Coughlan, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Delbert R. Terrill, Jr. is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the

notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.

Issued: February 20, 2003.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-4458 Filed 2-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. Nos. 701-TA-376, 377 and 379 and 731-TA-788-793 (Final)(Remand)]

Certain Stainless Steel Plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan; Notice of Final Court Decision Affirming Remand Determinations

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission gives notice of a final court decision affirming its final affirmative material injury determinations, made pursuant to court remand, in the countervailing duty and antidumping duty investigations of certain stainless steel plate (SSP) from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Diehl, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3095. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In May of 1999, the Commission made original final determinations in *Certain Stainless Steel Plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, Invs.* Nos. 701-TA-376, 377 and 379 and 731-TA-788-793 (Final), USITC Pub. 3188. A majority of the Commissioners found two domestic like products: hot-rolled SSP and cold-rolled SSP. The Commission reached affirmative material injury determinations with respect to subject imports of hot-rolled SSP from each of the six named countries. As to cold-rolled SSP, the Commission reached negative material injury and threat determinations with respect to subject imports from Belgium and Canada, and found the volume of subject imports from Italy, Korea, South Africa and Taiwan to be negligible. The remaining Commissioners found one like product, and reached affirmative material injury determinations encompassing subject imports of both hot-rolled SSP and cold-rolled SSP.

The affirmative determinations as to hot-rolled SSP were appealed to the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT). The CIT affirmed the challenged aspect of the Commission's determination in *Acciai Speciali Terni v. United States*, 118 F. Supp. 2d 1298 (CIT 2000).

The Commission's cold-rolled SSP determinations were the subject of a separate appeal. The CIT upheld the Commission's determinations. *Allegheny Ludlum Corp. v. United States*, 116 F. Supp. 2d 1276 (CIT 2000). On subsequent appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, that Court found the Commission's analysis to be flawed. *Allegheny Ludlum Corp. v. United States*, 287 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2002). The Federal Circuit vacated the decision of the CIT, and remanded for proceedings not inconsistent with its decision.

On remand, the Commission determined that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of certain stainless steel plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan that the U.S. Department of Commerce determined were sold in the United States at less than fair value, and the subject imports from Belgium, Italy, and South Africa that the U.S. Department of Commerce determined were subsidized. *Certain Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, Inv.* Nos. 701-TA-376, 377 and 379 (Final) and 731-TA-