

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at (301) 415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of February 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-3233 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-528, 50-529, AND 50-530]

Arizona Public Service Co.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Approval of Transfer of Facility Operating Licenses and Conforming Amendments

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has permitted the withdrawal of the application dated April 15, 2002, as supplemented by letter dated July 11, 2002, filed by Arizona Public Service Company (APS) and Pinnacle West Energy Corporation (PWE), which had requested approval of the transfer of the Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-41, NPF-51, and NPF-74 for the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (Palo Verde), Units 1, 2, and 3, to the extent held by APS, to PWE, in connection with a proposed restructuring of APS. The application also requested approval, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.90, of proposed conforming amendments. Palo Verde, Units 1, 2, and 3, are located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of

Approval of Transfer of Facility Operating Licenses and Conforming Amendments, and Opportunity for a Hearing, which was published in the **Federal Register** on July 29, 2002 (67 FR 49044). However, by letter dated December 23, 2002, APS and PWE withdrew the application.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated April 15, 2002, as supplemented by letter dated July 11, 2002, and the licensee's letter dated December 23, 2002, which withdrew the application. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams/html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or (301) 415-4737 or by email to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of February 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Jack Donohew,

Senior Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate IV, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 03-3232 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 72-30]

Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company, Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation; Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for a Proposed Exemption

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption to Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company (MYAPC or licensee), pursuant to 10 CFR 72.7, from specific provisions of 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(2)(i), 72.212(b)(7), and 72.214. The licensee is planning to use the NAC-UMS Storage System to store spent nuclear fuel from the decommissioning reactor. The requested exemption would allow MYAPC to deviate from requirements of

the NAC-UMS Certificate of Compliance #1015 (CoC or Certificate), Appendix A, Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) Items 3.1.1.1, 3.1.1.2, 3.1.4.1, and 3.1.4.2. Specifically, the exemption would allow MYAPC to increase: (1) Vacuum drying time limits based on canister heat load; (2) vacuum drying time limits after 24 hours of in-pool or forced air cooling; (3) time duration limit from completion of canister helium backfill through completion of canister transfer to the concrete cask; and (4) time duration limit from completion of in-pool or forced air cooling through completion of the canister transfer to the concrete cask.

By letter dated January 15, 2002, the designer of the NAC-UMS system, NAC International, requested an amendment to CoC #1015, that seeks, among several other changes, to increase the vacuum drying time limits. That request was supplemented on November 27, 2002. The information provided in the amendment request, as supplemented, is relevant to the exemption request by MYAPC and provides the safety basis for the time limits increase.

Environmental Assessment (EA)

Identification of Proposed Action

By letter dated November 7, 2002, as supplemented on December 19, 2002, MYAPC requested an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(2)(i), 72.212(b)(7), and 10 CFR 72.214 to deviate from the requirements in CoC No. 1015, Appendix A, LCO Items 3.1.1.1, 3.1.1.2, 3.1.4.1, and 3.1.4.2. MYAPC has informed the NRC of its plans to store spent nuclear fuel under the general licensing provisions of 10 CFR part 72. The licensee has begun loading spent fuel into the NAC-UMS Storage System at an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) located at the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station in Wiscasset, Maine.

The current requirements in CoC No. 1015, Appendix A, LCO Items 3.1.1.1, 3.1.1.2, 3.1.4.1, and 3.1.4.2 establish time limits for vacuum drying operations as follows:

(1) LCO 3.1.1.1 limits the vacuum drying time for the fuel canister based on heat load per canister to the following:

(a) 34 hours for heat loads less than or equal to 8 kilowatts (kW).

(b) 30 hours for heat loads greater than 8 kW and less than or equal to 11 kW.

(c) 23 hours for heat loads greater than 11 kW and less than or equal to 14 kW.

(d) 19 hours for heat loads greater than 14 kW and less than or equal to 17.6 kW.

(2) LCO 3.1.1.2 limits canister vacuum drying time after the end of 24 hours of in-pool or of forced air cooling to the following:

(a) 14 hours for heat loads less than or equal to 14 kW.

(b) 10 hours for heat loads greater than 14 kW and less than or equal to 20 kW.

(3) LCO 3.1.4.1 limits the time duration from completion of backfilling the canister with helium through completion of canister transfer to the concrete cask to 48 hours for canister heat loads greater than 14 kW and less than or equal to 17.6 kW.

(4) LCO 3.1.4.2 limits the time duration from completion of in-pool or forced air cooling through completion of canister transfer to the concrete cask to 20 hours for canister heat loads greater than 14 kW and less than or equal to 17.6 kW.

By exempting MYAPC from 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(2)(i), 72.212(b)(7), and 10 CFR 72.214 for this request, MYAPC will be authorized to change the above mentioned time limits as follows:

(1) For LCO 3.1.1.1, the time limits per canister will be increased as follows:

(a) 103 hours for heat loads less than or equal to 8 kW.

(b) 52 hours for heat loads greater than 8 kW and less than or equal to 11 kW.

(c) 40 hours for heat loads greater than 11 kW and less than or equal to 14 kW.

(d) 33 hours for heat loads greater than 14 kW and less than or equal to 17.6 kW.

(2) For LCO 3.1.1.2, the time limits per canister will be increased as follows:

(a) 78 hours for heat loads less than or equal to 8 kW.

(b) 27 hours for heat loads greater than 8 kW and less than or equal to 11 kW.

(c) 16 hours for heat loads greater than 11 kW and less than or equal to 14 kW.

(d) 9 hours for heat loads greater than 14 kW and less than or equal to 17.6 kW.

(3) For LCO 3.1.4.1, the time limit for canister heat loads less than or equal to 17.6 kW, will be increased to 600 hours.

(4) For LCO 3.1.4.2, the time limit for canister heat loads less than or equal to 17.6 kW, will be increased to 600 hours.

The proposed action before the Commission is whether to grant this exemption under the provisions of 10 CFR 72.7. The NRC staff has reviewed the exemption request and determined that the increased LCO time limits for vacuum drying operations are consistent with the safety analyses previously reviewed for the NAC-UMS system, and would have no impact on the design

basis and would not be inimical to public health and safety.

Need for the Proposed Action

At the time of the exemption request, MYAPC had loaded approximately seven casks. During these cask loadings, MYAPC discovered that the existing NAC-UMS Technical Specification (TS) limits for vacuum drying and subsequent cool down required the licensee to repeatedly enter into the required actions of the TS. Since successful vacuum drying could not be accomplished within the TS limits, MYAPC was required to take the LCO remedial actions. Specifically, the licensee was required to perform in-pool or forced-air cooling of the canister for a 24 hour period if the canister could not be vacuum dried within the prescribed times. The TS further limits subsequent drying times after this cool-down period.

Consequently, the licensee found it difficult to achieve sufficient vacuum drying on the second drying attempt, thus requiring another cool-down period. The repeated entries into vacuum drying and cool-down periods added to the processing time and to the occupational exposures. The licensee estimated that processing times for each canister was increased by a minimum of 60 hours.

The licensee calculated that the reduction in radiological exposure to the operators, fuel handlers, and security personnel involved in handling, preparing and transferring the canisters would be approximately 5 rem during the remainder of the spent fuel loading campaign. This reduction is a significant percentage of the overall station dose for the entire decommissioning project. The expected savings of 5 rem represents nearly 8% of the 2002 total station dose and will likely represent an even greater percentage of the 2003 station dose.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The licensee requested the exemption to increase current vacuum drying time limits specified in CoC No. 1015. The NRC staff performed a safety evaluation of the proposed exemption. Staff reviewed the analysis provided in the NAC-UMS amendment application addressing spent fuel cladding integrity and thermal performance of canisters for increased vacuum drying times. The safety evaluation performed by the staff concludes that the NRC has reasonable assurance that increasing the vacuum drying time limits has no impact on off-site doses, results in a dose savings to workers, and meets the requirements of

10 CFR 72.104, 10 CFR 72.106 and 10 CFR 20.1301, and is therefore acceptable.

Therefore, the environmental impact of increasing vacuum drying time limits is no greater than the environmental impact already assessed in the initial rulemaking for the NAC-UMS storage system (65 FR 62581, dated October 19, 2000).

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of the analyzed accidents, no changes are being made to the types of effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. Therefore, the staff has determined that there is no reduction in the ability of the NAC-UMS system to perform its safety function, nor significant environmental impacts, as a result of increasing vacuum drying time limits.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since there is no significant environment impact associated with the proposed action, alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact are not evaluated. The alternative to the proposed action would be to deny approval of the exemption. Denial of the exemption request will have the same environmental impact, but would likely result in a dose increase to workers involved in cask loading activities.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

This exemption request was discussed with Ms. Paula Craighead, State Nuclear Safety Advisor for the State of Maine, on January 28, 2003. Ms. Craighead sent an e-mail to NRC on January 31, 2003, identifying the State's concerns with the exemption request. The safety concerns raised by Ms. Craighead were addressed by NRC staff in the evaluation of the exemption request and did not provide a basis to deny the exemption request.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the proposed action have been reviewed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR part 51. Based upon the foregoing EA, the Commission finds that the proposed action of granting the exemption from 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(2)(i), 72.212 (b)(7), and 10 CFR 72.214 and allowing MYAPC to increase the vacuum drying time limits for loading spent fuel in the NAC-UMS storage system will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined that an

environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption is not warranted.

The request for exemption was docketed under 10 CFR Part 72, Docket 72-30. For further details with respect to this action, see the exemption request dated November 7, 2002. The NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of January, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Stephen C. O'Connor,

Sr. Project Manager, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 03-3234 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8968-ML-REN, ASLBP No. 03-809-01-ML-REN]

Hydro Resources, Inc.; Designation of Presiding Officer

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission, *see* 37 FR 28,710 (Dec. 29, 1972), and the Commission's regulations, *see* 10 CFR 2.1201, 2.1207, notice is hereby given that (1) a single member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel is designated as Presiding Officer to rule on petitions for leave to intervene and/or requests for hearing; and (2) upon making the requisite findings in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205(h), the Presiding Officer will conduct an adjudicatory hearing in the following proceeding: Hydro Resources, Inc., Crownpoint Uranium Project, Crownpoint, New Mexico, (Materials License Renewal).

The hearing will be conducted pursuant to 10 CFR part 2, subpart L, of the Commission's Regulations, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings." This proceeding concerns a request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene submitted by Bonnie Benally Yazzie on January 14, 2003, in response to a notice of timely receipt and

consideration of an application of Hydro Resources, Inc., for renewal of its 10 CFR part 40 source materials license for uranium production at the Crownpoint Uranium Project, Crownpoint, New Mexico. The notice of opportunity to provide comments and to request a hearing was published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 2002 (67 FR 77,084).

The Presiding Officer in this proceeding is Administrative Judge Thomas S. Moore. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.722, 2.1209, Administrative Judge Thomas D. Murphy has been appointed to assist the Presiding Officer in taking evidence and in preparing a suitable record for review.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed with Judges Moore and Murphy in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1203. Their addresses are:

Thomas S. Moore, Administrative Judge, Presiding Officer, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Thomas D. Murphy, Administrative Judge, Special Assistant, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of February 2003.

G. Paul Bollwerk, III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 03-3231 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 25924; 812-12886]

Van Kampen Investment Advisory Corp., et al.; Notice of Application

February 3, 2003.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of an application under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from sections 18(c) and 18(i) of the Act, under sections 6(c) and 23(c)(3) of the Act for an exemption from rule 23c-3 under the Act, and pursuant to section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order to permit certain registered closed-end management

companies to issue multiple classes of shares and to impose asset-based distribution fees and early withdrawal charges.

APPLICANTS: Van Kampen Prime Rate Income Trust ("Prime Rate") and Van Kampen Senior Floating Rate Fund ("Senior Floating Rate") (each a "Fund" and collectively, the "Funds"), Van Kampen Investment Advisory Corp. ("Adviser"), Van Kampen Funds Inc. ("Distributor") and Van Kampen Investments Inc. ("Van Kampen Investments").

FILING DATES: The application was filed on September 25, 2002 and amended on January 31, 2003.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:

An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on February 28, 2003, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609; Applicants, c/o A. Thomas Smith III, Van Kampen Investments Inc., 1 Parkview Plaza, Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181-5555.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean E. Minarick, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942-0527 or Nadya B. Roytblat, Assistant Director, at (202) 942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0102 (telephone (202) 942-8090).

Applicants' Representations

1. The Funds are closed-end management investment companies registered under the Act and organized as Massachusetts business trusts. The Adviser is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and serves as investment adviser to the Funds. The Distributor, a