

policy issues related to the manufacture, distribution, and use of veterinary biological products. Due to an outbreak of exotic Newcastle disease in commercial and non-commercial poultry flocks in Southern California and Nevada, Center for Veterinary Biologics personnel have been detailed to those States to assist with efforts to control the spread of the outbreak, and this has interfered with our ability to finalize the meeting agenda. We are, therefore, canceling the meeting that had been scheduled for March 31 through April 2, 2003. We regret any inconvenience caused by this cancellation.

Done in Washington, DC this 4th day of February 2003.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-3180 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

## DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

[OR-930-1610-PB-LITI; HAG03-0050]

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement To Amend Land and Resource Management Plans in Southwest Oregon for Management of Port-Orford-Cedar

**AGENCIES:** Bureau of Land Management, USDI and Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent and initiation of public scoping.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Forest Service are initiating work on a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to consider management alternatives for Port-Orford-Cedar in the Oregon portion of its natural range in southwestern Oregon and northwestern California. The SEIS is a joint effort by the Oregon/Washington BLM and the Pacific Northwest Region of the Forest Service, with BLM as the lead agency. The Pacific Southwest Region of the Forest Service is a cooperator. Specific administrative units include the Coos Bay, Medford, and Roseburg District of the BLM and the Siskiyou, Six Rivers, Klamath, and Shasta-Trinity National Forests. Additional cooperators may be identified through the scoping process. The SEIS will respond to analysis deficiencies identified in March, 2002

by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit relating to a District Court decision in *Kern vs. U.S. Bureau of Land Management*, 284 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2002). This decision concluded that analysis of cumulative effects of the current management guidelines were inadequate for the Sandy-Remote Environmental Assessment because it did not extend to the entire range of Port-Orford-Cedar. The SEIS will develop alternative management strategies for the Oregon portion of the species range and analyze effects of those strategies throughout the entire natural range of the species.

The SEIS will amend the land management plan for the Siskiyou National Forest and the resource management plans for the Coos Bay, Medford, and Roseburg Districts of the Bureau of Land Management. The BLM will work collaboratively with interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to local, regional, and national needs and concerns. The SEIS will fulfill the needs and obligations set forth by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), and BLM and Forest Service management policies.

**DATES:** This notice initiates the public scoping process. Public scoping will be used to identify interested and affected individuals and groups, and to identify issues associated with the management of Port-Orford-Cedar. Briefing materials are available on line at [http://www.or.blm.gov/planning/Port-Orford-Cedar\\_SEIS/](http://www.or.blm.gov/planning/Port-Orford-Cedar_SEIS/). Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received 30 days from publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. No formal public scoping meetings are scheduled, but may be scheduled if there is sufficient interest. Public scoping meetings will be announced in local newspapers and at [http://www.or.blm.gov/planning/Port-Orford-Cedar\\_SEIS/](http://www.or.blm.gov/planning/Port-Orford-Cedar_SEIS/) at least 15 days prior to the event. Early participation is encouraged and will help determine the future management of Port-Orford-Cedar on public lands in California and Oregon. In addition to the ongoing public participation process, formal opportunities for public participation will be provided through comment on the alternatives and upon publication of the BLM draft RMP/EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to the Port-Orford-Cedar EIS Team, PO Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208. Comments may be submitted electronically to the following e-mail address: [orpoceis@or.blm.gov](mailto:orpoceis@or.blm.gov).

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the Oregon State Office, BLM reading room, 333 SW., 1st Avenue, Portland, OR 97204, and may be published as part of the EIS. If you wish to withhold your name or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, submitted on official letterheads, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organization or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ken Denton, Bureau of Land Management, Port-Orford-Cedar EIS Team, PO Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A root disease, *Phytophthora lateralis*, currently infects Port-Orford-Cedar. Research shows the rate of spread of the root disease is linked, at least in part, to transport of spore-infected soil by human and other vectors. Water-borne spores then readily spread the disease down slope and down stream. The participating agencies believe, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the agencies at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

Current BLM management direction requires all management activities within the range of Port-Orford-Cedar conform to guidelines described in the Port-Orford Cedar Management Policies.

These Policies are designed to mitigate damage caused by *Phytophthora lateralis*.

Current Forest Service management direction requires all management activities within the range of Port-Orford-Cedar conform to guidelines described in the Siskiyou Forest Plan in Oregon and the Six Rivers, Klamath, and Shasta-Trinity Forest Plans in California.

The responsible official for the Forest Service is the Pacific Northwest Regional Forester.

The responsible official for the Bureau of Land Management is the Oregon/Washington State Director.

**Charles Wassinger,**

*Acting State Director, Bureau of Land Management.*

**Richard W. Sowa,**

*Acting Regional Forester, U.S. Forest Service, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 03-3172 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P; 3410-11-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Notice of Resource Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Lassen Resource Advisory Committee, Susanville, California, USDA Forest Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of the meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463) and under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-393) the Lassen National Forest's Lassen County Resource Advisory Committee will meet Thursday, February 13, 2003, in Susanville, California for a business meeting. The meetings are open to the public.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The business meeting February 13, 2003 begins at 9 a.m., at the Lassen National Forest Headquarters Office, Caribou Conference Room, 2550 Riverside Drive, Susanville, CA 96130. Agenda topics will include: Review previous meeting minutes and approve, Approve Meeting Agenda, Review previous meeting minutes and approve, Discuss attendance of 6th Annual National Forest Counties & School Coalition Conference March 28-30 in Reno, Review Workshop Results, Use Rating System with Submitted Proposals and Review Proposals. Public Comment Time will also be set aside for public comments at the end of the meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Andrews, Eagle Lake District Ranger and Designated Federal Officer, at (530) 257-4188; or RAC Coordinator, Heidi Perry, at (530) 252-6604.

**Heidi L. Perry,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 03-3131 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-853]

#### Bulk Aspirin from the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Review.

**SUMMARY:** On August 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on bulk aspirin from the People's Republic of China. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the margin calculations presented in the final results of the review. We find that bulk aspirin from the People's Republic of China was not sold in the United States below normal value during the period of review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 10, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julie Santoboni or Cole Kyle, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4194 or (202) 482-1503, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review of bulk acetylsalicylic acid, commonly referred to as bulk aspirin, from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") (*Bulk Aspirin from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Changed Circumstances Review*, 67 FR 51167 (August 7, 2002) ("*Preliminary Results*").

Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred: We received a case brief from the petitioner, Rhodia, Inc. ("petitioner"), on September 6, 2002. We received rebuttal briefs from the respondents, Shandong Xinhua Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. ("Shandong") and Jilin Henghe Pharmaceutical Company Ltd. ("Jilin"), on September 13, 2002. On October 25, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the final results of the changed circumstances review of bulk aspirin from the PRC, finding that Jilin Henghe Pharmaceutical is the successor-in-interest to Jilin Pharmaceutical Company Ltd. and Jilin Pharmaceutical Import and Export Corporation (*see Bulk Aspirin from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 67 FR 65537 (October 25, 2002)).

The Department has now completed this antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act").

#### Scope of Order

The product covered by this review is bulk acetylsalicylic acid, commonly referred to as bulk aspirin, whether or not in pharmaceutical or compound form, not put up in dosage form (tablet, capsule, powders or similar form for direct human consumption). Bulk aspirin may be imported in two forms, as pure ortho-acetylsalicylic acid or as mixed ortho-acetylsalicylic acid. Pure ortho-acetylsalicylic acid can be either in crystal form or granulated into a fine powder (pharmaceutical form). This product has the chemical formula C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. It is defined by the official monograph of the United States Pharmacopoeia ("USP") 23. It is classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 2918.22.1000.

Mixed ortho-acetylsalicylic acid consists of ortho-acetylsalicylic acid combined with other inactive substances such as starch, lactose, cellulose, or coloring materials and/or other active substances. The presence of other active substances must be in concentrations less than that specified for particular nonprescription drug combinations of bulk aspirin and active substances as published in the *Handbook of Nonprescription Drugs*, eighth edition, American Pharmaceutical Association. This product is classified under HTSUS subheading 3003.90.0000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.