

needs for shared research resources and technologies that facilitate NIH-supported biomedical research. The NCRR's existing 1998–2003 strategic plan may be accessed over the World Wide Web: [http://www.ncrr.nih.gov/about\\_ncrr/plan98.asp](http://www.ncrr.nih.gov/about_ncrr/plan98.asp).

**DATES:** Submit responses to the Office of Science Policy and Public Liaison, NCRR (see below), on or before May 15, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Science Policy and Public Liaison, NCRR/NIH/DHHS, One Rockledge Centre, 6705 Rockledge Drive MSC 7965, Suite 5046, Bethesda, MD 20892–7965, telephone 301–435–0866, FAX 301–480–3654, e-mail [PLANEVAL@MAIL.NIH.GOV](mailto:PLANEVAL@MAIL.NIH.GOV), Internet <http://www.ncrr.nih.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Center for Research Resources (NCRR) serves as a “catalyst for discovery” by creating and providing critical research technologies and shared resources. This infrastructure underpins biomedical research and enables advances that improve the health of our Nation's citizens.

The NCRR serves a unique purpose at the NIH: to develop critical research technologies and to provide cost-effective, shared, multidisciplinary resources to biomedical investigators across the spectrum of research activities supported by the NIH. The NCRR's mission is to:

(1) Create resources and develop technologies and research models that are cost-effective, accessible, and responsive to the research needs of the biomedical research community. To meet these needs the NCRR must anticipate evolving trends in basic and clinical research to ensure that resources will be available to facilitate that research.

(2) Provide shared clinical, primate, and biomedical technology resources and instrumentation for use by investigators supported by NIH. These resources, primarily centers, serve more than 10,000 researchers, who are supported through more than \$1 billion of competitive awards from NIH's categorical Institutes.

(3) Develop quick, flexible approaches to new and emerging biomedical research needs and opportunities. These innovations often involve high-risk research.

(4) Strengthen the Nation's biomedical research infrastructure by supporting institutional development programs that develop and enhance the capacity of institutions, including underrepresented groups, to participate in biomedical research; increasing the

exposure of K–12 students, their teachers, and the public to the life sciences; and constructing or renovating biomedical research facilities.

Biomedical research investigators supported by the NIH require a broad array of technologies, tools, and materials for their research. The NCRR plays a key role in addressing trans-NIH research issues, such as access to state-of-the-art instrumentation and technologies; containment of the escalating costs of highly sophisticated research; development of appropriate, specialized research models; efforts to remedy the shortage of clinical and minority investigators; and efforts to improve the research infrastructure.

To ensure the continued relevance of its Strategic Plan, the NCRR seeks input to the following questions in terms of the issues described above:

(A) What are the most important research trend(s) that will drive biomedical research?

(B) What research resources and technologies will be critical in addressing these trend(s) and meeting biomedical investigators' needs?

(C) What strategies will eliminate barriers to progress and enhance access to research resources and technologies?

(D) Who would you recommend to serve as a panel member for NCRR's strategic planning process? Please list the name, degree, position title, department, institution name and address, phone and fax numbers, e-mail address, and specific area of expertise for each person recommended.

For your convenience we have provided a user-friendly response form at the NCRR's Strategic Planning Web site: <http://www.ncrr.nih.gov/sprecommend.asp>. If you do not have access, please send your responses to the above address.

Dated: January 22, 2003.

**Elias A. Zerhouni,**

*Director, NIH.*

[FR Doc. 03–1987 Filed 1–28–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 concerning opportunity for public comment on proposed collections of information, the

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the information collection plans, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (301) 443–7978.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Proposed Project:* The Family Treatment Drug Court Evaluation—New—The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT) will conduct an evaluation of Family Treatment Drug Courts. The Family Treatment Drug Court Evaluation will examine the effectiveness of family treatment drug courts in four settings: Suffolk County, New York; Washoe County, Nevada; San Diego County, California; and Santa Clara County, California. The study will employ a multi-method, quasi-experimental research design to investigate several key child welfare outcomes for family treatment drug courts as compared to traditional case processing, including whether the time to permanency for children is different in a family treatment drug court program than in traditional case processing.

In addition, the study will investigate rates of reunification and termination of parental rights; types, frequency, and length of out-of-home placements; and child welfare recidivism. The study will investigate the key mediators of program success, including the effect of family treatment drug courts on treatment access, treatment completion, parent motivation, and family well-being, among other key mediators.

The project consists of an outcome evaluation that includes administrative data collection and client interviews with a sample of treatment and comparison participants. The target population for the family treatment drug court consists of substance abusing parents who have a current child abuse or neglect case. The outcome evaluation will document whether family treatment

drug courts are more effective than traditional court settings in decreasing the time needed to reach permanent placements for children; increasing the frequency of successful parent-child reunifications and decreasing the frequency of terminations of parental rights; decreasing the time children spend in foster care; and reducing child welfare recidivism.

An intent-to-treat sampling model will be used for the treatment groups at each site during a 2.5-year recruitment window. The expected number of treatment group subjects varies by site based on program capacity, as illustrated in the table below. Comparison groups will be recruited in three of the four sites, with equal numbers of comparison group

participants at each site; no comparison group will be used in San Diego because that county has implemented a system-wide reform. Interview data will be sought from all persons included in the administrative datasets.

Interview participants will take part in a baseline interview within one month of their dispositional hearing and three follow-up interviews. Follow-up One will take place six months later, Follow-Up Two will take place 12 months after baseline, and Follow-Up Three will take place 24 months after baseline. The interview tool will assess participants' perceptions of the services they are receiving and their interactions with the court, treatment, and child welfare systems; their understanding of what they need to do in order to be

reunified with their children; and their feelings of empowerment and control over the process. Each interview will last approximately one hour. Administrative data, including child welfare and treatment data, will be collected annually to ascertain the type, frequency, and timeliness of services received and to capture the crucial child welfare outcomes of interest, including the timing and type of permanency plans for children, the length of time children spend in foster care, and subsequent involvement in the child welfare system.

The project is scheduled over a four-year time period. Therefore, the estimates in the table below are annualized based on planned activities for the entire four years.

	No. of respondents	Responses/respondent	Hours/response	Total burden hrs.
Client Interviews .....	1,295	4	1.0	5,180
Tracking telephone calls .....	1,295	3	0.17	220
Total .....	1,295	.....	.....	5,400
4-yr. Annual Average .....	1,295	.....	.....	1,350

Send comments to Nancy Pearce, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 16-105, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Dated: January 23, 2003.

**Richard Kopanda,**

*Executive Officer, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-1998 Filed 1-28-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4162-20-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Indian Affairs**

**Vacancies for Committee Members on the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) Negotiated Rulemaking Committee**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** There are several vacancies on the committee that the Department has convened to negotiate regulations to implement the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century. We propose filling these vacancies by naming current committee alternates and other qualified individuals. Tribes, tribal organizations, and individual tribal members who believe that their interests will not be adequately represented by the persons identified in this notice may

submit comments on the proposed selection, apply for membership on the committee, or submit other nominations.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice and nominations for committee members must be received no later than February 13, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Send nominations and comments to Mr. LeRoy Gishi, Chief, Division of Transportation, Bureau of Indian Affairs, U.S. Department of the Interior, MS-4058-MIB, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240; or fax to (202) 208-4696. Nominations and comments received by BIA will be available for inspection at the address listed above from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. LeRoy Gishi, (202) 208-4359.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On February 11, 1999, in a **Federal Register** notice (64 FR 6825), the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) announced selection of members of the TEA-21 Negotiated Rulemaking Committee to develop proposed regulations for the Indian Reservation Roads program under the Negotiated Rulemaking Act and Section 1115 of TEA-21. The Secretary selected two primary tribal Committee representatives and two tribal alternates from nominees from tribes in each of the twelve Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Regions. In addition, the Secretary selected five additional primary tribal Committee members from various

regions to balance interests on the Committee and selected eleven Federal representatives. The Committee began negotiations on the proposed regulations in March 1999 and the Secretary published proposed regulations in August 2002.

The Committee must reconvene in early 2003 to consider public comments and make recommendations for final regulations. During the tenure of the Committee, some primary tribal Committee members have become unable to continue to serve on the Committee. In order to continue to fulfill the requirements of TEA-21 for tribal Committee membership, the Secretary must appoint representatives to fill tribal member vacancies on the Committee. Tribes, tribal organizations, and individual tribal members who believe that their interests will not be adequately represented by the persons identified in this notice may submit comments on the proposed selection, apply for membership on the committee, or submit other nominations by the date in the "DATES" section.

The Secretary is required to use a negotiated rulemaking process to issue regulations governing the Indian Reservation Roads program and establish a formula for allocating all contractible funds among Indian tribes for fiscal year 2000 and subsequent years (23 U.S.C. Section 202, as amended by TEA-21 (Pub. L. 105-178, 112 Stat. 154.)) The Secretary is also required to: