

It has been determined that this notice does not contain policies with Federalism implications as that term is defined in Executive Order 13132. Because notice and comment are not required under 5 U.S.C. 553, or any other law, for this notice relating to public property, loans, grants benefits or contracts (5 U.S.C. 553(a)), a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required and has not been prepared for this notice, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*

Dated: January 15, 2003.

**Louisa Koch,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-1643 Filed 1-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-KB-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Technology Administration

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Commercial Space Launch Range User Requirements

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (DOC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the continuing and proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before March 25, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to the attention of Paula Trimble, Technology Policy Analyst, Office of Space Commercialization, (202) 482-4574. In addition, written comments may be sent via e-mail to [SpaceInfo@ta.doc.gov](mailto:SpaceInfo@ta.doc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The information collected would allow the DOC Office of Space Commercialization (DOC/OSC) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to follow the terms of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the U.S. Air Force to ensure consideration of commercial space launch range users' needs in the Air Force's range

modernization planning. Air Force endorsement of this arrangement, and industry support for the process expressed through FAA's FACA-compliant Commercial Space Transportation Advisory Committee (COMSTAC), are highly significant, because this is the first time these parties have all agreed to a single formal communication channel for commercial range requirements. Based on experience with response to a preliminary October 2001 **Federal Register** (FR) information request, respondents to subsequent biannual FR solicitations are expected to be less than ten in number and to include the three companies that currently launch vehicles from the two major federal ranges, one or more new companies that may be planning to initiate launch services there, and one or more non-profit or state government entities electing to comment on range needs.

##### II. Method of Collection

Responses would normally be submitted as hard copy to the DOC/OSC and FAA docket addresses specified in the **Federal Register** announcement. Only for responses sent to FAA would the option be available to submit comments electronically, via the Internet to the FAA website address specified in the announcement.

##### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0692-0009.

*Form Numbers:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations; not-for-profit institutions; state, local, or tribal government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 7.  
*Estimated Time Per Response:* 10 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours:* 70.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden:* \$0.

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, *e.g.*, the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 17, 2003.

**Gwellnar Banks,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-1587 Filed 1-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-18-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Defense Science Board

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on the Role and Status of DoD Red Teaming Activities will meet in closed session on February 26, 2003; and March 24, 2003; at Strategic Analysis Inc., 3601 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA. This Task Force will review the role and status of Red Teaming in the Department of Defense (DoD) and recommend ways to make it a more effective tool.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will review and evaluate current and past Red Team activities within the Department of Defense and its agencies, as well as other government and non-government organizations (including those initiated since September 11). The Task Force will prepare recommendations that are relevant to red teaming that portrays both state and non-state adversaries. It will also look at how the Department should work with other government departments and agencies to foster effective red teaming. The Task Force will address issues of red team products, processes and organization.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, these meetings will be closed to the public.