

under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review and new shipper review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 9, 2003.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Issues

1. Freight Revenue and Constructed Export Price (CEP)
2. Indirect Selling Expenses in Korea
3. Cash Deposit Instructions for Husteel
4. Liquidation of Shinho Steel/Husteel's Entries

[FR Doc. 03-984 Filed 1-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-825]

Sebacic Acid from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of changed circumstances review.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b), Morflex, Inc., a U.S. importer of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, filed

a request for a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China, as described below. In response to this request, the Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 16, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mike Strollo or Gregory E. Kalbaugh, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-3693, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 14, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 35909 (July 14, 1994). On November 26, 2002, Morflex, Inc. (Morflex), a U.S. importer of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China (PRC) through a changed circumstances review. According to Morflex, Arizona Chemical Corporation (Arizona Chemical) will cease production of subject merchandise in the United States at the end of November 2002. Arizona Chemical is the successor-in-interest to the original petitioner in this proceeding, Union Camp Corporation. In addition, on September 25, 2002, prior to Morflex's request, Arizona Chemical notified the Department that it intended to cease production of sebacic acid no later than December 31, 2002.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are all grades of sebacic acid, a dicarboxylic acid with the formula $(CH_2)_8(COOH)_2$, which include but are not limited to CP Grade (500ppm maximum ash, 25 maximum APHA color), Purified Grade (1000ppm maximum ash, 50 maximum APHA color), and Nylon Grade (500ppm maximum ash, 70 maximum ICV color). The principal difference between the grades is the quantity of ash and color. Sebacic acid contains a minimum of 85 percent dibasic acids of which the predominant species is the C_{10} dibasic

acid. Sebacic acid is sold generally as a free-flowing powder/flake.

Sebacic acid has numerous industrial uses, including the production of nylon 6/10 (a polymer used for paintbrush and toothbrush bristles and paper machine felts), plasticizers, esters, automotive coolants, polyamides, polyester castings and films, inks and adhesives, lubricants, and polyurethane castings and coatings.

Sebacic acid is currently classifiable under subheading 2917.13.00.30 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 782(h)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. 19 CFR 351.222(g) provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 and may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant revocation.

In this case, the Department finds that the information submitted provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review. Given Morflex's assertions, we will consider whether there is interest in continuing the order on the part of the U.S. industry.

Interested parties may submit comments for consideration in the Department's preliminary results not later than 20 days after publication of this notice. Responses to those comments may be submitted not later than 10 days following submission of the comments. All written comments must be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303, and must be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based, and a description of any action proposed based on those results. The Department will also issue its final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**.

While the changed circumstances review is underway, the current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: January 10, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-981 Filed 1-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-824]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit of the final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limits of the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils ("SSSS") from Italy. This review covers the period July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 16, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Bailey, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On July 2, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSSS from Italy. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 66 FR 34910 (July 2, 2001). On July 31, 2001, domestic industry parties from the original investigation ("petitioners"), ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. ("TKAST")¹, a producer and exporter of subject merchandise, and ThyssenKrupp AST USA, Inc. ("TKAST USA"), requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. On August 20, 2001, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSSS from Italy with regard to TKAST. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 66 FR 43570 (August 20, 2001). On March 5, 2002, the Department extended the time limit for the preliminary results of this administrative review by 90 days. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy*, 67 FR 9960 (March 5, 2002). On May 13, 2002, we again extended the preliminary results of administrative review, this time by 25 days. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy*,

¹ On January 18, 2002 Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A.'s shareholders voted to change the company's name to ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. On February 27, 2002, Acciai Speciali Terni USA, Inc. became ThyssenKrupp AST USA, Inc. Throughout most of the responses, the companies refer to themselves as TKAST and TKAST USA, respectively.

67 FR 32015 (May 13, 2002). On July 26, 2002, the Department extended the time limit for the preliminary results in this administrative review another five days. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy*, 67 FR 50421 (August 2, 2002).

On August 7, 2002 we issued our preliminary results of administrative review. See *Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy*, 67 FR 51224 (August 7, 2002). On December 10, 2002, the Department extended the time limit for the final results to January 14, 2003. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy: Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 75846 (December 10, 2002). The final results of administrative review are currently due January 14, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by 60 days. Since the Department has already extended these final results 40 days, the Department is allowed to further extend the final results by an additional 20 days. Completion of the final results of this review within the 120-day period is not practicable for the following reasons:

- The review involves a large number of transactions and complex adjustments.
- The review includes complex sales and cost issues which require the Department to analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to sales practices, manufacturing costs and corporate relationships.
- The review requires the Department to issue for comment from interested parties a Department decision concerning the relationship between certain selling agents and their employer Ken-Mac Metals, Inc.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by 20 days until February 3, 2003.