

recovery levels for downlisting or delisting them, and estimate time and cost for implementing the recovery measures needed.

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act), as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), requires the development of recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. Section 4(f) of the Act, as amended in 1988, requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided during recovery plan development. On June 16, 2000, the Service published a notice of document availability in the **Federal Register** announcing the availability for public review of the draft Recovery Plan for the Sonora tiger salamander. Public comments were accepted through August 15, 2000. Three letters of comment were received during the comment period. The draft recovery plan was revised and finalized based on this input.

The Sonora tiger salamander Recovery Plan describes the status, current management, recovery objectives and criteria, and specific actions needed to reclassify the Sonora tiger salamander from endangered to threatened, and to ultimately delist it. The Recovery Plan was developed by Dr. James P. Collins and Jonathan Snyder, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, in coordination with the Service and a team of stakeholders (the Participation Team), which included ranchers, land owners and managers, agency and organization representatives, and herpetologists. The salamander currently only breeds in livestock watering tanks in the San Rafael Valley of southeastern Arizona. Its natural breeding habitats are no longer present or are now unsuitable. The salamander is threatened by loss of natural habitats; predation by nonnative fish, bullfrogs, and crayfish; genetic swamping by nonnative barred tiger salamanders; disease; low genetic diversity; and collection for bait or translocation by anglers. Actions needed to recover the salamander include maintenance and enhancements of habitats, control of nonnative organisms, control of collection and transport of tiger salamanders, actions to reduce spread of disease, monitoring, research, public education and information, and adaptive management. The Recovery Plan includes a Participation Plan, prepared by the Participation Team, which details how the plan should be implemented to minimize social and economic impacts while still providing for the prompt recovery of the salamander. The Service worked with

Dr. Collins and the Participation Team to address comments received on the draft Plan during the comment period.

#### Authority

The authority for this action is Section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).

Dated: September 24, 2002.

**H. Dale Hall,**

*Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 03-45 Filed 1-2-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Notice of Availability of an Environmental Assessment/Habitat Conservation Plan and Receipt of Application for Incidental Take by the Leander Rehabilitation PUD

**SUMMARY:** Fleur Land, Ltd., c/o Stone Haven Partners (Applicant) has applied to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) for an incidental take permit pursuant to section 10(a) of the Endangered Species Act (Act). The Applicant has been assigned permit number TE-065323-0. The requested permit, which is for a period of 30 years, would authorize the incidental take of the endangered golden-cheeked warbler (*Dendroica chrysoparia*). The proposed take would occur as a result of the construction and operation of commercial and multi-use development on 209 acres of the Leander Rehabilitation PUD, Cedar Park, Williamson County, Texas.

The Service has prepared the Environmental Assessment/Habitat Conservation Plan (EA/HCP) for the incidental take application. A determination of jeopardy to the species or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) will not be made until at least 60 days from the date of publication of this notice. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the Act and National Environmental Policy Act regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

**DATES:** Written comments on the application should be received within 60 days of the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Persons wishing to review the application may obtain a copy by writing to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, PO Box 1306, Room 4012, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103. Persons wishing to review the EA/HCP may obtain a copy by written or telephone request to Sybil Vosler, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Office, 10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin, Texas 78758

(512 490-0057). Documents will be available for public inspection by written request or by appointment only during normal business hours (8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.) at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Austin, Texas. Data or comments concerning the application and EA/HCP should be submitted in writing to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Austin, Texas at the above address. Please refer to permit number TE-065323-0 when submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sybil Vosler at the above U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Austin, Texas.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 9 of the Act prohibits the "taking" of endangered species such as the golden-cheeked warbler. However, the Service, under limited circumstances, may issue permits to take endangered wildlife species incidental to, and not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activities. Regulations governing permits for endangered species are at 50 CFR 17.22.

*Applicant:* Fleur Land Ltd., c/o Stone Haven Partners, plans to construct and operate commercial and multi-use development on 209 acres of the Leander Rehabilitation PUD, Cedar Park, Williamson County, Texas. This action would eliminate approximately 165.8 acres of habitat resulting in take of the golden-cheeked warbler. The Applicant proposes to compensate for this incidental take of the golden-cheeked warbler by purchasing mitigation credits for 96.8 acres in a conservation bank which will be managed in perpetuity for the benefit of the golden-cheeked warbler.

**Susan MacMullin,**

*Acting Regional Director, Region 2, Albuquerque, New Mexico.*

[FR Doc. 03-24 Filed 1-2-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-55-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

December 17, 2002.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of

Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on (202) 693-4129 or E-mail: [King-Darrin@dol.gov](mailto:King-Darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for MSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
  - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

*Title:* Independent Contractor Register.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0040.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Type of Response:* Recordkeeping.

*Number of Respondents:* 15,292.

*Number of Annual Responses:* 99,398.

*Average Response Time:* 8 minutes.

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 13,250.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$174,789.

*Description:* 30 CFR 45.4(a) requires that each independent contractor provide the production-operator in writing the trade name, business address, and telephone number; a description and location at the mine where the work is to be performed; MSHA identification number, if any; and the contractor's business address of record. 30 CFR 45.4(b) requires each production-operator to maintain in writing the information required by

paragraph (a) at the mine and to make this information available to any authorized representative of the Secretary upon request.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Training Plans, New Miner Training, Newly-Hired Experienced Miner Training.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0131.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Frequency:* On occasion and annually.

*Type of Response:* Recordkeeping; reporting; and third party disclosure.

*Number of Respondents:* 10,305.

*Number of Annual Responses:* 167,340.

*Average Response Time:* Varies considerably by task and mine size; however, the total average time for all mines is approximately 1.6 hours per response.

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 263,274.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$520,683.

*Description:* Paragraph 9a) of § 46.3 requires mine operators to develop and implement a written training plan approved by MSHA that contains effective programs for training new miners and experienced miners, training miners for new tasks, annual refresher training, and hazard training.

Paragraph (b) requires the following information, at a minimum, to be included in a training plan:

- (1) The company name, mine name, and MSHA mine identification number;
- (2) The name and position of the person designated by the operator who is responsible for the health and safety training at the mine. This person may be the operator;
- (3) A general description of the teaching methods and the course materials that are to be used in providing the training, including the subject areas to be covered and the approximate time to be spent on each subject area;
- (4) A list of the persons who will provide the training, and the subject areas in which each person is competent to instruct; and
- (5) The evaluation procedures used to determine the effectiveness of training.

Paragraph (c) requires a plan that does not include the minimum information specified in paragraph (b) to be approved by MSHA. For each size category, the Agency estimates that 20 percent of mine operators will choose to write a plan and send it to MSHA for approval.

Paragraph (d) requires mine operators to provide miners' representatives with

a copy of the training plan. At mines where no miners' representatives has been designated, a copy of the plan must be posted at the mine or a copy must be provided to each miner.

Paragraph (e) provides that within 2 weeks following receipt or posting of the training plan, miners or their representatives may submit written comments on the plan to mine operators, or to the Regional Manager, as appropriate. The burden hours and costs of this provision are not borne by mine operators, but by miners and their representatives.

Paragraph (g) requires that the miners' representative with a copy of the approved plan within one week after approval. At mines where no miners' representatives has been designated, a copy of the plan must be posted at the mine or a copy must be provided to each miner.

Paragraph (h) allows mine operators, miners, and miners' representatives to appeal a decision of the Regional Manager in writing to the Director for Education Policy and Development. The Director would issue a decision on the appeal within 30 days after receipt of the appeal.

Paragraph (i) requires mine operators to make available at the mine site a copy of the current training plan for inspection by MSHA and for examination of miners and their representatives. If the training plan is not maintained at the mine site, mine operators must have the capability to provide the plan upon request by MSHA, miners, or their representatives.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.5 requires mine operators to provide each new miner with no less than 24 hours of training. Miners who have not received the full 24 hours of new miner training must work where an experienced miner can observe that the new miner is working in a safe manner.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.6 requires mine operators to provide each newly hired experienced miner with certain training before the miner begins work.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.7 requires, before a miner performs a task for which he or she has no experience, that the mine operator training the miner in the safety and health aspects and safe work procedures specific to that task. If changes have occurred in a miner's regularly assigned task, the mine operator must provide that miner with training that addresses the changes.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.8 requires, at least every 12 months, that the miner operator provide each miner with no less than 8 hours of refresher training.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.9 requires the mine operators upon completion of each

training program, to record and certify on MSHA Form 5000-23 (OMB Control No. 1219-0070/Expiration Date: 11/30/2004), or on a form that contains the required information, that the miner has completed the training.

Paragraph (a) of § 46.11 requires the mine operator to provide site-specific hazard training to non-miners, including the following persons: scientific workers; delivery workers and customers; occasional, short-term maintenance or service workers, or manufacturers' representatives; and outside vendors, visitors, office or staff personnel who do not work at the mine site on a continuing basis.

Ira L. Mills,

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-10 Filed 1-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division

#### Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects

to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedes decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the **Federal Register**, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon And Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department.

Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S-3014, Washington, DC 20210.

#### Modification to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of the decisions listed to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the **Federal Register** are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

#### Volume I

##### Connecticut

CT020001 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
CT020003 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
CT020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)

##### Massachusetts

MA020001 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020003 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020005 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020006 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020007 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020008 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020009 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020010 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020013 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020015 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020017 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020018 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020019 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020020 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
MA020021 (Mar. 1, 2002)

##### New Hampshire

NH020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NH020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)

##### New Jersey

NJ020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NJ020003 (Mar. 1, 2002)

##### New York

NY020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020003 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020005 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020007 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020008 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020010 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020011 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020012 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020013 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020014 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020015 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020016 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020018 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020020 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020021 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020022 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020026 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020031 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020032 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020033 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020037 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020039 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020040 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020041 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020042 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020044 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020045 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020048 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020049 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020051 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020060 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020066 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020072 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020075 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
NY020077 (Mar. 1, 2002)

#### Volume II:

##### Pennsylvania

PA020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020005 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020006 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020007 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020008 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020009 (Mar. 1, 2002)  
PA020010 (Mar. 1, 2002)