

disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDOCKET. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDOCKET. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's **Federal Register** notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to [www.epa.gov/edocket](http://www.epa.gov/edocket).

**Title:** Notices of Arrival of Pesticides and Devices (OMB Control No. 2070-0020, EPA ICR No. 0152.07). This is a request to renew an existing approved collection scheduled to expire on December 31, 2002. Under the OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB.

**Abstract:** The U.S. Customs regulations at 19 CFR 12.112 require that an importer desiring to import pesticides into the United States shall, prior to the shipment's arrival, submit a Notice of Arrival of Pesticides and Devices (EPA Form 3540-1) to EPA who will determine the disposition of the shipment. After completing the form, EPA returns the form to the importer, or his agent, who must present the form to Customs upon arrival of the shipment at the port of entry. This is necessary to insure that EPA is notified of the arrival of pesticides and devices as required by the Federal Insecticide Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) section 17(c) and has the ability to examine such shipments to determine that they are in compliance with FIFRA. The information is used by EPA Regional pesticide enforcement and compliance staffs, OECA, and the Department of Treasury. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.3 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain,

or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Importers of Pesticide and Devices.  
**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 18,500.

**Frequency of Response:** 1.  
**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 5,550 hours.

**Estimated Total Annual Cost:** \$396,085.

**Changes in the Estimates:** There is an increase of 3,450 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This increase is due to an adjustment in the number of respondents, based on a survey of responses reported to the EPA Regions in calendar year 2002.

Dated: December 2, 2002.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-32133 Filed 12-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6635-9]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

**Responsible Agency:** Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements.

Filed December 9, 2002 through

December 13, 2002.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

**EIS No. 020509, Draft EIS, AFS, MO,** Pineknott Woodland Restoration Project, Restoring Open Shortleaf Pine Woodland on the 10,831 Acre, Implementation, Doniphan/Eleven Point Ranger District, Mark Twain National Forest, Carter County, MO, **Comment Period Ends:** February 3, 2003, **Contact:** Jerry Bird (573) 996-2153.

**EIS No. 020510, Draft Supplement, FHW, WV, VA,** Appalachian Corridor H Project, Construction of a 10-mile Highway between the Termini of Parsons and Davis, In Pursuant to the February 2000 Settlement Agreement, Tucker County, WV and VA, **Comment Period Ends:** February 21, 2003, **Contact:** Thomas J. Smith (304) 347-5928.

**EIS No. 020511, Draft EIS, COE, MD,** Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG) Project, To Conduct Research and Development, Test and Evaluate Ordnance, Military Equipment and to Train Personnel, Chesapeake Bay, Harford, Baltimore, Kent and Cecil Counties, MD, **Comment Period Ends:** February 3, 2003, **Contact:** Tracy Dunne (410) 278-2479.

**EIS No. 020512, Final Supplement, NRC,** Generic EIS—Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities, Updated Information on Dealing With Decommissioning of Nuclear Power Reactors (NUREG-0586), **Wait Period Ends:** January 21, 2003, **Contact:** Michael T. Masnik (301) 415-1191.

**EIS No. 020513, Draft EIS, SFW, WA,** Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), To Adopt and Implement a Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Puget Sound, Nisqually River Delta, Thurston and Pierce Counties, WA, **Comment Period Ends:** February 21, 2003, **Contact:** Michael Marxen (503) 590-6596. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.pacific.fws.gov/planning>.

**EIS No. 020514, Legislative Draft, AFS, WA,** I-90 Wilderness Study, To Review Land Comprising of 15,000 Acres for Suitability for Preservation as Wilderness, Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forests, Kittitas and Chelan Counties, WA, **Comment Period Ends:** February 18, 2003, **Contact:** Floyd Rogalski (509) 674-4411. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/wenatchee/planning/i-90-wilderness-study.pdf>.

**EIS No. 020515, Draft EIS, AFS, OR,** Metolius Basin Forest Management Project, To Implement Fuel Reduction and Forest Health Management Activities, Deschutes National Forest, Sisters Ranger District, Jefferson County, OR, **Comment Period Ends:** February 15, 2003, **Contact:** Kris Martinson (541) 549-7730. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/centraloregon/index-metolius.htm>.  
**EIS No. 020516, Draft Supplement, FTA, OR, WA, OR,** South Corridor Project a Portion of the South/North Corridor

Project, Improvement to the Existing Urban Transportation System, Updated and Additional Information, Clackamas and Multnomah Counties, OR, *Comment Period Ends*: February 07, 2003, *Contact*: Sharon Kelly (503) 797-1756.

#### Amended Notices

*EIS No. 020502, Draft EIS, MMS, AK, Cook Inlet Planning Area Oil and Gas Lease Sales 191 and 199, Outer Continental Shelf, Offshore Marine Environment, Cook Inlet, AK, Comment Period Ends*: February 11, 2003, *Contact*: George Valiulis (703) 787-1662. Revision of FR Notice Published on 12/13/2002: Correction to Comment Period from 01/27/2003 to 02/11/2003.

Dated: December 17, 2002.

**Joseph C. Montgomery,**

*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 02-32127 Filed 12-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6636-1]

#### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in **Federal Register** dated April 12, 2002 (67 FR 17992).

#### Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-FHW-J40175-UT* Rating EC2, Reference Post (RP) 13 Interchange and City Road Project, Construction of New Interchange at RP 13 to I-15 and City Road in Washington City, Funding, Washington County, UT.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns with water quality analysis and limiting the interchange analysis to only one build alternative. In addition, land use impacts were not quantified despite land use change expectation. EPA was pleased to see information on habitat fragmentation and impervious surface impacts documentation.

*ERP No. D-JUS-K80043-CA* Rating EC2, Juvenile Justice Campus (JJC) Construction and Operation of a 1,400 Bed and Related Functions Facility, Conditional Use Permit, Fresno County, CA.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding farmland protection and sole source aquifer issues.

*ERP No. D-NPS-E65060-NC* Rating LO, Carl Sandburg Home National Historic Site, General Management Plan, Implementation, Located in the Village of Flat Rock, Henderson County, NC.

*Summary*: EPA review did not identify any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal.

#### Final EISs

*ERP No. F-DOE-L08061-00* McNary-John Day Transmission Line Project, Construction, Operation and Maintenance of a 79-mile-long 500-Kilovolt-Transmission Line between McNary Substation and John Day Substation, Umatilla and Sherman Counties, OR and Benton and Klickitat Counties, WA.

*Summary*: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

*ERP No. F-EDA-B99003-CT* Adriaen's Landing Project, Development from Columbus Boulevard south of the Founders Bridge and Riverfront Plaza, City of Hartford, CT.

*Summary*: EPA had no objections to the proposed project and encouraged continued efforts to coordinate with impacted communities around the project site and to add pollution controls to construction equipment.

*ERP No. F-MMS-G02011-00* Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sales: 2003-2007, Starting in 2002 the Proposed Central Planning Area Sales 185, 190, 194, 198, and 201 and Western Planning Area Sales 187, 192, 196, and 200, Offshore Marine Environment, Coastal Counties and Parishes of TX, LA, AL and MS.

*Summary*: EPA had no further comments to offer. EPA has a lack of objections to the preferred alternative.

*ERP No. FS-AFS-G65049-00* Vegetation Management in the Ozark/Quachita Mountains, Proposal to Clarify Direction for Conducting Project-Level Inventories for Biological Evaluations (BEs), Qzark, Quachita and St. Francis National Forests, AR and McCurtain and LeFlore Counties, OR.

*Summary*: EPA has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative. EPA has no further comments to offer.

Dated: December 17, 2002.

**Joseph C. Montgomery,**

*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 02-32128 Filed 12-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7421-4]

#### Notice of Intent To Grant an Exemption for the Injection of Certain Hazardous Wastes to Environmental Disposal Systems, Inc. for Two Injection Wells Located at 28470 Citrin Drive, Romulus, MI

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice.

**SUMMARY**: The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Chicago office, proposes (through this notice) to grant an exemption from the ban on disposal of hazardous wastes through injection wells to Environmental Disposal Systems Inc. (EDS) of Birmingham, Michigan. If the exemption is granted, EDS may inject all Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulated hazardous wastes through waste disposal wells #1-12 and #2-12. The regulations promulgated under the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments to RCRA, prohibit the injection of restricted hazardous waste into an injection well. Persons seeking an exemption from the prohibition must submit a petition demonstrating that, to a reasonable degree of certainty, there will be no migration of hazardous constituents from the injection zone for as long as the waste remains hazardous.

On January 21, 2000, EDS submitted a petition to the EPA, Region 5, Chicago office, seeking an exemption from the ban based on a showing that any fluids injected will not migrate vertically out of the injection zone or laterally to a point of discharge or interface with an underground source of drinking water (USDW) within 10,000 years. The EPA has conducted a comprehensive review of the petition, its revisions, and other materials submitted and has determined that the petition submitted by EDS, as revised on October 3, 6, 27, and 31, 2000; January 12, April 24, and October 16, 2001; and January 31 August 22, September 25, and October 23, 2002, meets the requirements of 40 CFR part 148, subpart C.

**DATES**: The EPA, Region 5, Chicago office, requests public comments on today's proposed decision. Comments