the close of business on the closing date indicated earlier in the notice.

Issued on: November 5, 2002.

Brian M. McLaughlin,

Associate Administrator, Policy and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 02–28559 Filed 11–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2001-8622]

Notice of Public Hearing; Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway Company

The Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway Company has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), seeking approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the traffic control system, on the single main track and sidings, between Spencer, Ohio, milepost 92.0 and Bellevue, Ohio, milepost 54.5, on the Hartland Subdivision, a distance of approximately 37.5 miles, and govern train movements by Track Warrant Control. This Block Signal Application proceeding is identified as Docket No. FRA–2001–8622.

The Wheeling & Lake Erie Railway Company has requested that FRA review its October 11, 2001 decision of denial. In a effort to clarify any previous misunderstandings, the FRA has conducted its own additional investigation and the FRA Railroad Safety Board made an on-site visit and high-rail trip over the application area on July 18, 2002. Notes of the visit, observations made during the trip, and additional information submitted by the railroad are contained in the public docket.

After reviewing the original and most recent proposals, the various field investigations, the previous related dockets, and letters of protest, FRA has determined that a public hearing is necessary before a final decision is made on this application.

The purpose of this public hearing is to gather additional information from all interested parties and to explore all available options and concerns before a final decision is made. Parties should be aware that available options may include reaffirmation of the denial, approval, conditional approval, or approval in part and denial in part.

FRA is specifically interested in public comment regarding the following questions: Are there differences in risk exposure at different locations on the railroad? If so, what are they? Are any of the following options in the public interest and consistent with railroad safety?

 Retention or partial retention of the existing traffic control system;

• Conversion or partial conversion of the traffic control system to an automatic block signal system; or

• Use of alternate technologies known to provide some degree of broken rail protection.

Accordingly, a public hearing is hereby set for 9 a.m. on Thursday, December 12, 2002, in the Job and Family Services Building, located at 185 Shady Lane Drive, in Norwalk Ohio. Interested parties are invited to present oral statements at the hearing.

The hearing will be an informal one and will be conducted in accordance with Rule 25 of the FRA Rules of Practice (49 CFR Part 211.25), by a representative designated by the FRA.

The hearing will be a nonadversary proceeding and, therefore, there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. The FRA representative will make an opening statement outlining the scope of the hearing. After all initial statements have been completed, those persons wishing to make brief rebuttal statements will be given the opportunity to do so in the same order in which they made their initial statements. Additional procedures, if necessary for the conduct of the hearing, will be announced at the hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on November 6, 2002.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety, Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 02–28677 Filed 11–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

November 1, 2002.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220. **DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before December 12, 2002, to be assured of consideration.

Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

OMB Number: 1545–1466.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: Third-Party Disclosure Requirements in IRS Regulations.

Description: This submission contains third-party disclosure regulations subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, Individuals or households, notfor-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents/ Recordkeepers: 245,073,905.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent/Recordkeeper: Various.

Frequency of Response: Annually. Estimated Total Reporting/

Recordkeeping Burden: 68,885,183 hours.

Clearance Officer: Glenn Kirkland, Internal Revenue Service, Room 6411– 03, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224, (202) 622–3428.

OMB Reviewer: Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395–7316.

Lois K. Holland,

Departmental PRA Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 02–28651 Filed 11–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

[T.D. 02-66]

Customs Accreditation of Intertek Testing Services as a Commercial Laboratory

AGENCY: Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of accreditation of Intertek Testing Services of Sulfur, Louisiana, as a commercial laboratory.

SUMMARY: Intertek Testing Services of Sulfur, Louisiana has applied to U.S. Customs under Part 151.12 of the Customs Regulations for an extension of accreditation as a commercial laboratory to analyze petroleum products under Chapter 27 and Chapter 29 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Customs has determined that this company meets all of the requirements for accreditation as a commercial laboratory. Specifically, Intertek Testing Services has been