

Guidelines is an appropriate step in effectively implementing SBLRBRA.

All written comments must be received by the Agency no later than seven calendar days from federal notice publication. The Agency will carefully consider written comments received during the public comment period, prior to issuing final Brownfields Job Training Grant Application Guidelines in November, 2002. However, due to the need to promptly provide the final FY 03 Job Training Guidelines to potential applicants, EPA does not plan to respond in writing to written comments.

Dated: October 24, 2002.

**Linda Garczynski,**

*Director, Office of Brownfields Cleanup and Redevelopment, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.*

[FR Doc. 02-28211 Filed 11-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2002-0262; FRL-7275-5]

### Endosulfan; Availability of Reregistration Eligibility Decision Documents for Comment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces availability and starts a 60-day public comment period on the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) document for the pesticide active ingredient endosulfan. The RED represents EPA's formal regulatory assessment of the health and environmental database of the subject chemical and presents the Agency's determination regarding which pesticidal uses are eligible for reregistration.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket ID number OPP-2002-0262, must be received on or before January 6, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.**

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stacey Milan, Chemical Review Manager, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 305-2505; e-mail address: milan.stacey@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. General Information

#### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) or the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA); environmental, human health, and agricultural advocates; pesticides users; and members of the public interested in the use of pesticides. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

#### B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2002-0262. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>. To access RED documents and RED fact sheets electronically, go directly to the REDs table on the EPA Office of Pesticide Programs Home Page, at <http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/status.htm>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments,

access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA's electronic public docket.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

### C. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket ID number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments. If you wish to submit CBI or information that is otherwise protected by statute, please follow the instructions in Unit I.D. Do not use EPA Dockets or e-mail to submit CBI or information protected by statute.

1. *Electronically.* If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed in this unit, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in docket ID number OPP-2002-0262. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. *E-mail.* Comments may be sent by e-mail to [opp-docket@epa.gov](mailto:opp-docket@epa.gov), Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2002-0262. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access"

system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

iii. *Disk or CD ROM.* You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Unit I.C.2. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

2. *By mail.* Send your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB) (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2002-0262.

3. *By hand delivery or courier.* Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2002-0262. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit I.B.1.

### D. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior

notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

### E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
6. Offer alternative ways to improve the notice or collection activity.
7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this document.
8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

## II. Background

### A. What Action Is the Agency Taking?

The Agency has issued a RED for the pesticide active ingredient endosulfan. Under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended in 1988, EPA is conducting an accelerated reregistration program to reevaluate existing pesticides to make sure they meet current scientific and regulatory standards. The database to support the reregistration of endosulfan is substantially complete, and the Agency has identified risk mitigation measures that if adopted by the registrants will address the human health and ecological risks associated with the current uses of endosulfan. Additional mitigation measures for ecological risk may be warranted following the completion of a stakeholder process, which will be conducted to address environmental risks to especially vulnerable aquatic organisms. In addition, EPA is reevaluating existing pesticides and reassessing tolerances under the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996. The tolerances for those food uses that will remain, following mitigation identified in the RED, have been found to meet the FQPA Safety Standard.

All registrants of pesticide products containing endosulfan will be sent the

appropriate RED, and in order to be reregistered, the risk concerns identified in the RED must be adequately addressed, including appropriate labeling changes. Further, the registrants must comply with product specific label requirements pending Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval of the endosulfan Data-Call-In.

The reregistration program is being conducted under Congressionally mandated timeframes, and EPA recognizes the need both to make timely reregistration decisions and to involve the public. Therefore, EPA is issuing the endosulfan RED as a final document with a 60-day comment period. Although the 60-day public comment period does not affect the registrant's response due date, it is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for identifying any necessary amendments to the RED. All comments will be carefully considered by the Agency. If any comment significantly affects the endosulfan RED, EPA will amend the RED by publishing the amendment in the **Federal Register**.

*B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?*

The legal authority for this RED falls under FIFRA, as amended in 1988 and 1996. Section 4(g)(2)(A) of FIFRA directs that, after submission of all data concerning a pesticide active ingredient, "the Administrator shall determine whether pesticides containing such active ingredient are eligible for reregistration," before calling in product specific data on individual end-use products, and either reregistering products or taking "other appropriate regulatory action."

**List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: October 30, 2002.

**Betty Shackelford,**

*Acting Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 02-28216 Filed 11-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-S**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-7404-9]

**Koppers Charleston Superfund Site; Notice To Rescind Federal Register Notice Dated October 1, 2002**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice to rescind previous Federal Register notice.

**SUMMARY:** On October 1, 2002 at 67 FR 61624, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a Notice of Proposed Settlement for response costs incurred by EPA at the Koppers Charleston Superfund Site located in Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. That notice was published prematurely. The purpose of this notice is to rescind EPA's October 1, 2002 **Federal Register** Notice regarding the settlement of response costs at the Site. The Notice of Proposed Settlement for the Site may be republished in the future following final approval of the settlement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paula Batchelor at 404-562-8887.

Dated: October 23, 2002.

**Anita L. Davis,**

*Acting Chief, CERCLA Program Services Branch, Waste Management Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-28214 Filed 11-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[WC Docket No. 02-214; FCC 02-297]

**Application by Verizon Virginia Inc., Verizon Long Distance Virginia, Inc., Verizon Enterprise Solutions Virginia Inc., Verizon Global Networks Inc., and Verizon Select Services of Virginia Inc., Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, For Provision of In-Region, InterLATA Services in the State of Virginia**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Federal Communications Commission grants the section 271 application of Verizon Virginia Inc., *et al.* (Verizon) for authority to enter the interLATA telecommunications market in the state of Virginia. The Commission grants Verizon's application based on its conclusion that Verizon has satisfied all of the statutory requirements for entry, and opened its local exchange markets to full competition.

**DATES:** Effective November 8, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Uzoma Onyeije, Attorney-Advisor, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-7827 or via the Internet at [uonyeije@fcc.gov](mailto:uonyeije@fcc.gov). The complete text of this Memorandum Opinion and Order is available for inspection and copying

during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. Further information may also be obtained by calling the Common Carrier Bureau's TTY number: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O) in WC Docket No. 02-214, FCC 02-297, adopted October 30, 2002, and released October 30, 2002. This full text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com). It is also available on the Commission's website at [http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireline\\_Competition/in-region-applications](http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireline_Competition/in-region-applications).

**Synopsis of the Order**

1. *History of the Application.* On August 1, 2002, Verizon filed an application pursuant to section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, with the Commission to provide in-region, interLATA service originating in the state of Virginia. Interested parties filed comments on August 21, 2002, and reply comments on September 12, 2002.

2. *The State Commission's Evaluation.* On March 15, 2002, Verizon made a compliance filing for section 271 approval with the Virginia Commission. On July 12, 2002, the Virginia Hearing Examiner issued a report recommending that the Virginia Commission "advise the FCC that this Commission supports granting Verizon authority to provide in-region interLATA services in Virginia." On August 1, 2002, the Virginia Commission forwarded the Virginia Hearing Examiner's Report to this Commission, reporting on the Virginia Hearing Examiner's section 271 proceeding and urging the Commission to consider his recommendations and findings.

3. *The Department of Justice's Evaluation.* The Department of Justice filed its evaluation on September 5, 2002, concluding that Verizon has generally succeeded in opening its markets to competition in most respects. Accordingly, the Department of Justice recommends approval of Verizon's application for section 271 authority in Virginia.

4. *Compliance with Section 271(c)(1)(A).* The Commission concludes that Verizon demonstrates that it satisfies the requirements of section 271(c)(1)(A) based on the interconnection agreements it has