

Members

Written comments may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Council members, the Council suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials, ten days prior to the meeting date, to the following address: Ms. Wanda Rose, Critical Infrastructure Assurance Office, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 6095, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

For more information contact Wanda Rose on (202) 482-7481.

Dated: October 25, 2002.

Eric T. Werner,

Council Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-27758 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-580-813]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of New Shipper Review: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit of final results of new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 31, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker at (202) 482-2924 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2001).

Background

On August 31, 2001 the Department received a timely request for a new

shipper review, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act and section 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations, from TK Corporation, a producer of stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings. On October 5, 2001, the Department initiated the new shipper review. *See Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Korea: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review*, 66 FR 51017 (October 5, 2001).

On April 3, 2002 the Department extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of new shipper review. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Korea*, 67 FR 15793 (April 3, 2002). We published our preliminary results on July 17, 2002. *See Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review*, 67 FR 46953 (July 17, 2002).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act, requires the Department to make a final determination within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is issued. However, if the Department concludes that the case is extraordinarily complicated, it may extend the 90-day period to 150 days. In this case, questions have arisen regarding the best method of liquidating the respondent's entries. Due to the need to analyze this question, the Department is extending, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act, the time limit for the final results by 60 days, until no later than December 7, 2002, or the first workday thereafter.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: October 25, 2002.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 02-27711 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Decision of the Panel**

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of decision of NAFTA Panel.

SUMMARY: On October 15, 2002 the NAFTA Panel issued its decision in the matter of Pure and Alloy Magnesium from Canada, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-00-1904-07.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Background Information: On August 4, 2000, the Government of Quebec filed a First Request for Panel Review with the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the Final Results of the Full Sunset Review made by the International Trade Administration respecting Pure Magnesium from Canada. This determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 5, 2000 (65 FR 41436). The request was assigned File No. USA-CDA-00-1904-07.

Panel Decision: The Panel affirmed the remand determination in part and remanded in part. The panel specifically instructed the DOC on remand to determine whether Magcorp had shown "good cause" for DOC to consider Magcorp's allegations of newly provided counteravailable subsidies made to Magnola pursuant to section 752(b)(2)(B) of the statute, 19 U.S.C. 1675a(b)(2)(B). The panel affirmed the DOC on this issue. The panel also remands the matter to DOC with instructions to amend its determination