

from the NVOCC, in the manner and format required by § 4.7(b), 24 hours prior to the lading of the cargo aboard the vessel at the foreign port, Customs may delay issuance of a permit to unlade the entire vessel until all required information is received. Customs may also decline to issue a permit to unlade the specific cargo for which a declaration is not received 24 hours before lading in a foreign port. Furthermore, where the carrier does not present an advance cargo manifest to Customs electronically, in the manner provided in § 4.7(b)(2), preliminary entry pursuant to § 4.8(b) will be denied.

(2) In addition, while the advance presentation of the cargo manifest for any vessel subject to § 4.7(b)(2) may be made in paper form or by electronic transmission through a Customs-approved electronic data interchange system, the submission of an electronic manifest for the cargo in this regard, as opposed to a paper manifest, will further facilitate the prompt issuance of a permit to unlade the cargo.

PART 113—CUSTOMS BONDS

1. The general authority citation for part 113 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 19 U.S.C. 66, 1623, 1624.

2. Section 113.64 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a); and by redesignating paragraphs (c), (d), (e) and (f) as paragraphs (d), (e), (f) and (g), respectively, and adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 113.64 International carrier bond conditions.

(a) *Agreement to Pay Penalties, Duties, Taxes, and Other Charges.* If any vessel, vehicle, or aircraft, or any master, owner, or person in charge of a vessel, vehicle or aircraft, or any non-vessel operating common carrier as defined in § 4.7(b)(3)(ii) of this chapter incurs a penalty, duty, tax or other charge provided by law or regulation, the obligors (principal and surety, jointly and severally) agree to pay the sum upon demand by Customs. * * *

(c) *Non-vessel operating common carrier (NVOCC).* If a non-vessel operating common carrier (NVOCC) as defined in § 4.7(b)(3)(ii) of this chapter elects to provide vessel cargo manifest information to Customs electronically, the NVOCC, as a principal under this bond, in addition to compliance with the other provisions of this bond, also agrees to provide such manifest information to Customs in the manner and in the time period required by

§§ 4.7(b) and 4.7a(c) of this chapter. If the NVOCC, as principal, defaults with regard to these obligations, the principal and surety (jointly and severally) agree to pay liquidated damages of \$5,000 for each regulation violated.

* * * * *

PART 178—APPROVAL OF INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS

1. The authority citation for part 178 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 1624; 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

2. Section 178.2 is amended by adding a new listing in the table in appropriate numerical order to read as follows:

19 CFR section	Description	OMB control No.
* * * * *		
§ 4.7a(c)(4) ..	Transportation manifest (cargo declaration).	1515-0001
* * * * *		

Robert C. Bonner,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: October 25, 2002.

Timothy E. Skud,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.
[FR Doc. 02-27661 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Part 12

[T.D. 02-56]

RIN 1515-AD17

Extension of Import Restrictions Imposed on Archaeological Material From Guatemala; Correction

AGENCY: Customs Service, Treasury.
ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to the final rule document (T.D. 02-56) that was published in the **Federal Register** on September 30, 2002, concerning the extension of import restrictions on certain archaeological material from Guatemala. This document corrects two erroneous references to Mali in the final rule document.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: (Regulatory Aspects) Joseph Howard, Intellectual Property Rights Branch (202) 572-8701; (Operational Aspects) Al Morawski, Trade Operations (202) 927-0402.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

A final rule document published as T.D. 02-56 in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 61259) on September 30, 2002, extended for a period of five years import restrictions that were already in place for certain archaeological material from Guatemala. The final rule amended § 12.104g(a) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 12.104g(a)).

In the “Summary” and “Background” sections of the final rule, references to the country “Mali” erroneously appeared. This document corrects those references to read “Guatemala.”

Corrections

In rule FR Doc. 02-24895, published on September 30, 2002, make the following corrections:

1. On page 61259, in the second column, in the “Summary” section, remove the word “Mali” in the fourth sentence and add in its place the word “Guatemala.”

2. On page 61259, in the third column, in the “Background” section, third paragraph, second sentence, remove the word “Mali” and add in its place the word “Guatemala.”

Dated: October 25, 2002.

Harold M. Singer,
Chief, Regulations Branch.
[FR Doc. 02-27660 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP San Diego 02-022]

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Zone; Mission Bay, San Diego, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.
ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on the navigable waters of Mission Bay in San Diego, CA, in support of the San Diego Fall Classic, a marine event consisting of 120 rowing shells racing on a marked course. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for

the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, participating vessels and other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels are prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 6 a.m. (PST) to 12 p.m. (PST) on November 10, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [COTP San Diego 02-022] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office San Diego, 2716 North Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101-1064 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Petty Officer Austin Murai, USCG, c/o U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port, at (619) 683-6495.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM. Final approval and permitting of this event were not issued in time to engage in full notice and comment rulemaking. Publishing a NPRM and delaying the effective date would be contrary to the public interest since the event would occur before the rulemaking process was complete.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. In addition to the reasons stated above, it would be contrary to the public interest not to publish this rule because the event has been permitted and participants and the public require protection.

Background and Purpose

The San Diego Rowing Club is sponsoring the San Diego Fall Classic, which is held in Mission Bay, San Diego, CA. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crews, spectators, and participants of the San Diego Fall Classic and is also necessary to protect other vessels and users of the waterway.

Discussion of Rule

This event begins at the south end of Fiesta Island, proceeds north to Radar Island, south to Vacation Island, and proceeds north to El Carmel Point. The safety zone consists of the navigable

waters extending 50 yards to either side of the course line, defined more specifically as follows: Starting at a point 32°46'00" N, 117°13'00" W, then northwest to 32°46'10" N, 117°13'45" W, then north to 32°47'00" N, 117°13'30" W, then south to 32°46'15" N, 117°14'00" W, then northwest to 32°46'48" N, 117°14'40" W. All coordinates are North American Datum 1983.

The Coast Guard proposes to establish one (1) safety zone that will be enforced from 6 a.m. (PST) to 12 p.m. (PST) on November 10, 2002. This safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crews, spectators, and participants of the San Diego Fall Classic and to protect other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels will be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT)(44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979). We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary because of its limited duration of six (6) hours and the limited geographic scope of the safety zone.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This safety zone would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because this safety zone is limited in scope and duration (in effect for only six

(6) hours on November 10, 2002). In addition the Coast Guard will publish local notice to mariners (LNM) before the safety zone is enforced.

Assistance for Small Entities

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that Order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because we are proposing to establish a safety zone. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

PART 165—[AMENDED]

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add new § 165.T11-034 to read as follows:

§ 165.T11-034 Safety Zone; Mission Bay, San Diego, CA.

(a) *Location.* The safety zone consists of the navigable waters extending 50 yards to either side of the course line, defined more specifically as follows: Starting at a point 32°46'00" N, 117°13'00" W, then northwest to 32°46'10" N, 117°13'45" W, then north to 32°47'00" N, 117°13'30" W, then south to 32°46'15" N, 117°14'00" W, then northwest to 32°46'48" N, 117°14'40" W. All coordinates are North American Datum 1983.

(b) *Effective dates.* This safety zone will be in effect from 6 a.m. (PST) to 12 p.m. (PST) on November 10, 2002. If the need for the safety zone ends before the scheduled termination time, the Captain of the Port will cease enforcement of this safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this zone by all vessels is prohibited, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative. Mariners requesting permission to transit through the safety zone may request authorization to do so from the Patrol Commander (PATCOM). The Patrol Commander may be contacted via VHF-FM Channel 16.

Dated: October 4, 2002.

S. P. Metruck,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Diego.

[FR Doc. 02-27666 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD13-02-015]

RIN 2115-AA97

Security Zones; Protection of Tank Ships, Puget Sound, WA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: Increases in the Coast Guard's maritime security posture necessitate establishing temporary regulations for the safety or security of tank ships in the navigable waters of Puget Sound and adjacent waters, Washington. This

security zone will provide for the regulation of vessel traffic in the vicinity of tank ships in the navigable waters of the United States.

DATES: This temporary rule is effective from October 15, 2002 until April 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD 13-02-015 and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Puget Sound, 1519 Alaskan Way South, Seattle, Washington 98134, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LT A. L. Praskovich, c/o Captain of the Port Puget Sound, 1519 Alaskan Way South, Seattle, WA 98134, (206) 217-6232.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) and 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM and for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Publishing a NPRM would be contrary to public interest since immediate action is necessary to safeguard tank ships from sabotage, other subversive acts, or accidents. If normal notice and comment procedures were followed, this rule would not become effective soon enough to provide immediate protection to tank ships from the threats posed by hostile entities and would compromise the vital national interest in protecting maritime transportation and commerce. The security zone in this regulation has been carefully designed to minimally impact the public while providing a reasonable level of protection for tank ships. For these reasons, following normal rulemaking procedures in this case would be impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest.

Background and Purpose

Recent events highlight the fact that there are hostile entities operating with the intent to harm U.S. National Security. The President has continued the national emergencies he declared following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks (67 FR 58317 (Sept. 13, 2002) (continuing national emergency with respect to terrorist attacks), 67 FR 59447 (Sept. 20, 2002) (continuing national emergency with respect to persons who commit, threaten to commit or support terrorism)). The President also has found pursuant to