

conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Sikorsky Model S-92A must comply with the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36, and the FAA must issue a finding of regulatory adequacy pursuant to § 611 of Public Law 92-574, the "Noise Control Act of 1972."

Special conditions, as appropriate, are defined in § 11.19, and issued in accordance with § 11.38, and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.17(a)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the TC for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, or should any other model already included on the same TC be modified to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

#### Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Sikorsky Model S-92A will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features: A dual-engine 30-minute power rating which will require a special condition for hovering cooling test procedures and powerplant limitations.

#### Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Sikorsky Model S-92A. Should Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation apply at a later date for a change to the TC to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

#### Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model of helicopter. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the helicopter.

Under standard practice, the effective date of final special conditions would be 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**; however, as the certification date for the Sikorsky Model S-92A is imminent, the FAA finds that good cause exists to make these special conditions effective upon issuance.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Parts 21 and 29

Aircraft, Air transportation, Aviation safety, Rotorcraft, Safety.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows: 42 U.S.C. 7572; 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40105, 40113, 44701-44702, 44704, 44709, 44711, 44713, 44715, 45303.

#### The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Sikorsky Model S-92A helicopters.

##### 1. Hovering Cooling Test Procedures

Acceptable hovering cooling provisions must be shown for the following conditions, which replace the requirements of § 29.1049:

(a) At the maximum weight, or at the greatest weight at which the rotorcraft can hover (if less), at sea level, with the power required to hover but not more than 30-minute power, in-ground effect with the maximum tailwind velocity and azimuths requested for approval, until at least 5 minutes after the occurrence of the highest temperature recorded or until the expiration of the 30-minute power application period, whichever occurs first; and,

(b) With 30-minute power, maximum weight, and at the altitude resulting in zero rate of climb for this configuration, until at least 5 minutes after the occurrence of the highest temperature recorded or until the expiration of the 30-minute power application period, whichever occurs first.

##### 2. Powerplant Limitations

In addition to the requirements of § 29.1521 the limitations for rated 30-minute power usage must be established as follows:

##### Rated 30-Minute Power Operations

The powerplant rated 30-minute power operation must be limited to use for periods not to exceed 30 minutes for hovering operations only and limited by:

(a) The maximum rotational speed which may not be greater than

(i) The maximum value determined by the rotor design; or

(ii) The maximum value shown during the type tests;

(b) The maximum allowable power turbine inlet gas temperature;

(c) The maximum allowable engine and transmission oil temperatures.

(d) The maximum allowable power or torque for each engine, considering the

power input limitations of the transmission with all engines operating; and

(e) The maximum allowable power or torque for each engine considering the power input limitations of the transmission with one-engine-inoperative.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 16, 2002.

David A. Downey,

Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, ASW-100.

[FR Doc. 02-27378 Filed 10-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 02-ASO-4]

#### Establishment of Class D Airspace; Greenville Donaldson Center, SC, Amendment of Class E2 Airspace; Greer, Greenville-Spartanburg Airport SC, and Amendment of Class E5 Airspace; Greenville, SC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; delay of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** This action delays indefinitely the establishment of Class D airspace at Greenville Donaldson Center, SC, the amendment of Class E2 airspace at Greer, Greenville-Spartanburg Airport, SC, and the amendment of Class E5 airspace at Greenville, SC. The construction of a new federal contract tower with a weather reporting system has been delayed, with an uncertain completion date; therefore, the effective date of the establishment of Class D airspace and amendment of Class E airspace must also be delayed indefinitely.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of the final rule published May 1, 2002, at 67 FR 21575 (0901 UTC, November 28, 2002) is delayed indefinitely.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walter R. Cochran, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, GA 30320; telephone (404) 305-5586.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### History

Airspace Docket No. 02-ASO-04, published in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 2002, (67 FR 21575), established Class D airspace at Greenville

Donaldson Center, SC, amended Class E2 airspace at Greer, Greenville-Spartanburg Airport, SC, and amended Class E5 airspace at Greenville, SC. The construction of a federal contract tower with a weather reporting system at Donaldson Center Airport made this action necessary. This action was originally scheduled to become effective on November 28, 2002; however, an unforeseen delay in beginning construction on the tower has required the effective date of this action to be delayed. Construction is now scheduled to begin in January 2003, with an anticipated date of September 2003. A notice announcing a new effective date will be published in the **Federal Register** at least 90 days prior to the new effective date.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71**

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

**Delay of Effective Date**

The effective date on Airspace Docket No. 02-ASO-04 is hereby delayed indefinitely.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. app. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510, E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 14 CFR 11.69.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on October 17, 2002.

**Walter R. Cochran,**  
*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Southern Region.*

[FR Doc. 02-27174 Filed 10-28-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 242-0367; FRL-7396-3]

**Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District, Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, and Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is finalizing a limited approval and limited disapproval of revisions to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action was proposed in the **Federal Register** on April 25, 2002 and concerns volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from gasoline dispensing facilities. Under authority of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act), this action simultaneously approves local rules that regulate this emission source and directs California to correct rule deficiencies.

EPA is also finalizing the full approval of a revision to the Santa

Barbara County Air Pollution Control District portion of the California SIP regarding organic liquid cargo vessels.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule is effective on November 29, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** You can inspect copies of the administrative record for this action at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions at the following locations:

- Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room B-102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- Imperial County Air Pollution Control District, 150 South 9th Street, El Centro, CA 92243.
- Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003.
- Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, Suite B-23, Goleta, CA 93117.

A copy of the rules may also be available via the Internet at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltx.htm>. Please be advised that this is not an EPA website and may not contain the same version of the rules that were submitted to EPA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 947-4118.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

**I. Proposed Action**

On April 25, 2002 (67 FR 20478), we proposed a limited approval and limited disapproval of the following rules that were submitted for incorporation into the California SIP by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local Agency	Rule #	Rule title	Revised	Submitted
ICAPCD .....	415	Transfer and Storage of Gasoline .....	09/14/99	05/26/00
VCAPCD .....	70	Storage and Transfer of Gasoline .....	11/14/00	05/08/01

We proposed a limited approval because we determined that these rules improve the SIP and are largely consistent with the relevant CAA requirements. We simultaneously proposed a limited disapproval because

some rule provisions conflict with section 110 and part D of the CAA. Our proposed action contains more information on the rules and our evaluation.

On April 25, 2002 (67 FR 20478), we also proposed a full approval of the following rule that was submitted for incorporation into the California SIP.