

direct final rule stated that if adverse comments were received by September 23, 2002, EPA would publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register**. EPA received a timely adverse comment and is, therefore, withdrawing the direct final approval. Elsewhere in this issue EPA addresses the comments in a final action based on the parallel proposal also published on August 23, 2002 (67 FR 54601). As stated in the parallel proposal, EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

**DATES:** The direct final rule published on August 23, 2002 (67 FR 54580), is withdrawn as of October 23, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dave Jesson, EPA Region IX, (415) 972-3957 or [jesson.david@epa.gov](mailto:jesson.david@epa.gov).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, National parks, Wilderness areas.

Dated: October 9, 2002.

**Alexis Strauss,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 02-26989 Filed 10-22-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

#### 49 CFR 1002

[STB Ex Parte No. 542 (Sub-No. 9)]

#### Regulations Governing Fees for Services Performed in Connection With Licensing and Related Services—Policy Statement

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, Transportation.

**ACTION:** Policy statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Surface Transportation Board (Board) clarifies the scope of its rule assessing a fee for filing an appeal to a Surface Transportation Board adjudicative decision or a petition to revoke a notice of exemption as including all forms of appeal from all types of adjudicative decisions on the merits. This fee applies to petitions to revoke and petitions to reject, even where the petitioning party has not had an earlier opportunity to present its views to the Board.

**DATES:** This policy statement is effective October 23, 2002 immediately.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anne K. Quinlan, (202) 565-1727. [Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) for the hearing impaired: 1-800-877-8339.]

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Independent Offices Appropriations Act, 31 U.S.C. 9701 (IOAA), federal agencies are obliged to establish fees for specific services provided to identifiable beneficiaries. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-25 contains guidelines for agencies to apply in assessing and collecting those fees.

Pursuant to the IOAA and Circular No. A-25, the Board established a fee item, at 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(61), covering “Appeals to a Surface Transportation Board decision and petitions to revoke an exemption pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(d).” The \$150 fee, which recovers only a small portion of the costs incurred in handling these types of matters, was proposed to apply to “most appeals to the Board’s decisions.” To illustrate some examples, the Board stated:

The fee would cover the following types of appeals: (1) An appeal of right to an initial decision as set forth [at] 49 CFR 1115.2; (2) a petition for administrative review as set forth [at] 49 CFR 1115.3; (3) a petition to reopen an administratively final decision as set forth in 49 CFR 1115.4; and (4) a petition to revoke an exemption pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(d).

*Regulations Governing Fees for Service*, STB Ex Parte No. 542 (STB served Apr. 4, 1996), at 8-9.

In a different phase of the 1996 rulemaking proceeding in Ex Parte No. 542, some parties asked us not to apply fee item 61 to petitions to revoke filed in exemption proceedings in which the carrier seeking a license has already paid a fee, arguing that any expenses borne by the agency to consider the petition to revoke should already have been built into the fee paid by the carrier seeking the license. We rejected the argument and explicitly found that “the costs for administrative appeals are [not] included in the costs for the initial proceeding. \* \* \* Our costs for a proceeding do not include costs for staff time expended beyond issuance of the initial decision. \* \* \*” *Regulations Governing Fees for Service*, 1 S.T.B. 179, 202 (1996) (*1996 Fee Update*). The Board confirmed this ruling in denying a further request for reopening. *Regulations Governing Fees for Service*, 1 S.T.B. 883, 886 (1996), *aff’d sub nom. United Transp. Union-Illinois Legislative Bd. v. STB*, No. 97-1038 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 10, 1997), 1997 U.S. App. LEXIS 37560.

This matter apparently continues to produce some uncertainty, and we therefore wish to make it clear that fee item 61 was always intended to apply to petitions to revoke or to reject exemptions, even when the party has

not had an earlier opportunity to present its views to us. As we indicated in our prior decisions, these appeals and petitions generate substantial work on our part—far more than is reflected by the nominal fee charged—and the costs have never been covered by the fees paid with the initial filing. Therefore, under the IOAA, we are obliged to establish a fee for these specific services provided to identifiable beneficiaries. Of course, as we stated in adopting fee item 61, any party for whom the nominal filing fee poses a hardship may seek a waiver of the fee in an individual case.

We do not propose a new rule or policy here, as we are simply confirming that we have always considered fee item 61 to cover appeals and petitions to revoke or reject an exemption, even when the petition is the filer’s first opportunity to inform us of the filer’s views. For that reason, we do not seek public comment on this announcement.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

Decided: October 16, 2002.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan and Vice Chairman Burkes.

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-26965 Filed 10-22-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 011218304-1304-01; I.D. 101802A]

#### Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Yellowfin Sole by Vessels Using Trawl Gear in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Closure.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS is closing directed fishing for yellowfin sole by vessels using trawl gear in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands management area (BSAI). This action is necessary to prevent exceeding the 2002 Pacific halibut bycatch allowance specified for the yellowfin sole fishery category.

**DATES:** Effective 1200 hrs, Alaska local time (A.l.t.), October 20, 2002, until 2400 hrs, A.l.t., December 31, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Furuness, 907-586-7228.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NMFS manages the groundfish fishery in the BSAI exclusive economic zone according to the Fishery Management Plan for the Groundfish Fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area (FMP) prepared by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council under authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Regulations governing fishing by U.S. vessels in accordance with the FMP appear at subpart H of 50 CFR part 600 and 50 CFR part 679. The 2002 halibut bycatch allowance specified for the BSAI trawl yellowfin sole fishery category, which is defined at § 679.21(e)(3)(iv)(B)(1), is 886 metric tons (67 FR 956, January 8, 2002).

In accordance with § 679.21(e)(7)(v), the Administrator, Alaska Region, NMFS (Regional Administrator), has determined that the 2002 halibut bycatch allowance specified for the yellowfin sole fishery in the BSAI has been caught. Consequently, the Regional Administrator is closing directed fishing for yellowfin sole by vessels using trawl gear in the BSAI.

Maximum retainable bycatch amounts may be found in the regulations at § 679.20(e) and (f).

#### **Classification**

This action responds to the best available information recently obtained from the fishery. The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA, finds that the need to immediately implement this action to avoid exceeding 2002 halibut bycatch allowance specified for the yellowfin sole fishery in the BSAI constitutes good cause to waive the requirement to provide prior notice and opportunity for

public comment pursuant to the authority set forth at 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). These procedures are unnecessary and contrary to the public interest because of the need to implement these measures in a timely fashion to avoid exceeding 2002 halibut bycatch allowance specified for the yellowfin sole fishery in the BSAI. This constitutes good cause to find that the effective date of this action cannot be delayed for 30 days. Accordingly, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), a delay in the effective date is hereby waived.

This action is required by 50 CFR 679.21 and is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: October 18, 2002.

**Bruce C. Morehead,**

*Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*  
[FR Doc. 02-26977 Filed 10-18-02; 2:35 pm]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**