

Contact: Diane McMenamin, Director, Division of Extramural Activities, Policy and Review, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 1789, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Committee Name: SAMHSA Special Emphasis Panel 1 (SEP1).

Meeting Date: December, 2002.

Place: SAMHS, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland.

Closed: Phone Review—Closed Entirely.

Panel: Conference Grants.

Contact: Diane McMenamin, Director, Division of Extramural Activities, Policy and Review, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 1789, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Dated: October 15, 2002.

Coral Sweeney,

Review Specialist, , Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-26670 Filed 10-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[ID-957-1420-BJ]

Idaho: Filing of Plats of Survey

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of filing of plats of surveys.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has officially filed the plats of survey of the lands described below in the BLM Idaho State Office, Boise, Idaho, effective 9 a.m., on the dates specified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bureau of Land Management, 1387 South Vinnell Way, Boise, Idaho, 83709-1657.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: These surveys were executed at the request of the Bureau of Land Management to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Land Management. The lands we surveyed are:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the south and west boundaries, and portions of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of sections 31 and 32, in T. 13 S., R. 26 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted July 11, 2002.

The plat representing the entire survey record of the dependent resurvey of a portion of the west boundary and the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of section 18, in T. 13 N., R. 20 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted July 31, 2002.

The plats representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the west boundary and subdivisional lines, and a metes-and-bounds survey of a portion of the Craters of the Moon National Monument in sections 10, 15, 16, 19, 20, and 21, in T. 8 S., R. 28 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, were accepted August 21, 2002.

The plats representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of section 2, the survey of a portion of the 2000 meanders of the right bank of the Henrys Fork of the Snake River in section 2, and a metes-and-bounds survey in section 2, in T. 5 N., R. 38 E., and the corrective dependent resurvey of a portion of the First Standard Parallel North, the dependent resurvey of a portion of the First Standard Parallel North, the dependent resurvey of a portion of the east boundary, the corrective dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and a portion of the 1879 meanders of Henrys Fork of the Snake River, and the subdivision of certain sections, certain metes-and-bounds surveys within sections 27 and 35, and the survey of the 2000 meanders of Henrys Fork of the Snake River in section 36, in T. 6 N., R. 38 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, were accepted August 28, 2002.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the west and north boundaries, and portions of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of sections 5, 6, and 7, in T. 14 ., R. 26 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted August 30, 2002.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the west boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of section 31, in T. 3 N., R. 25 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted September 4, 2002.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of sections 23, 26, and 34, in T. 6 S., R. 24 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted September 6, 2002.

This survey was executed at the request of the Bureau of Indian Affairs for administrative management purposes. The land surveyed is:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the east boundary and subdivisional lines, the subdivision of section 24, and the survey of the 2001 meanders of the Blackfoot River, the north boundary of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation, and portions of the 2001 median line of the Blackfoot River in section 24, in T. 3 S.,

R. 34 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted August 15, 2002.

Dated: October 11, 2002.

Harry K. Smith,

Acting, Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Idaho.

[FR Doc. 02-26659 Filed 10-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NV-952-03-1420-BJ]

Filing of Plats of Survey; Nevada

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to inform the public and interested State and local government officials of the filing of Plats of Survey in Nevada.

EFFECTIVE DATES: Filing is effective at 10:00 a.m. on the dates indicated below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert M. Scruggs, Chief, Branch of Geographic Sciences, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Nevada State Office, 1340 Financial Blvd., PO Box 12000, Reno, Nevada 89520, 775-861-6541.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. The Plats of Survey of the following described lands were officially filed at the Nevada State Office, Reno, Nevada, on September 12, 2002:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the south boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, the subdivision of section 26 and further subdivision of section 27, and metes-and-bounds surveys of portions of the right-of-way lines of Interstate Highway No. 15 and the Union Pacific Railroad, Township 25 South, Range 59 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, under Group No. 797, was accepted September 10, 2002.

The plat, in four (4) sheets, representing the dependent resurvey of the east boundary, a portion of the south boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, the subdivision of sections 33 and 34, and metes-and-bounds surveys of the right-of-way lines of Interstate Highway No. 15 and a portion of the westerly right-of-way line of the Union Pacific Railroad, Township 26 South, Range 59 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, under Group No. 797, was accepted September 10, 2002.

These surveys were executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Land Management and Clark County.

2. The Plat of Survey of the following described lands was officially filed at the Nevada State Office, Reno, Nevada, on September 26, 2002:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the north boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of sections 6, 8 and 18, Township 26 North, Range 31 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, under Group No. 783, was accepted September 24, 2002.

This survey was executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Land Management.

3. The Plats of Survey of the following described lands were officially filed at the Nevada State Office, Reno, Nevada, on September 30, 2002:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, the subdivision of section 33, and a metes-and-bounds survey of portions of the centerline of U.S. Highway 95, in section 33, Township 7 South, Range 44 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, under Group No. 800, was accepted September 27, 2002.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, the subdivision of section 3, and a metes-and-bounds survey of the centerline of U.S. Highway 95, in section 3, Township 8 South, Range 44 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, under Group No. 800, was accepted September 27, 2002.

These surveys were executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Bureau of Land Management and the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

4. The above-listed surveys are now the basic record for describing the lands for all authorized purposes. These surveys have been placed in the open files in the BLM Nevada State Office and are available to the public as a matter of information. Copies of the surveys and related field notes may be furnished to the public upon payment of the appropriate fees.

Dated: October 11, 2002.

Robert V. Abbey,

State Director, Nevada.

[FR Doc. 02-26654 Filed 10-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

[Civil Action No. 02-888-A]

United States v. The Mathworks, Inc. and Wind River Systems, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed final Judgment, and Stipulation and Order pertaining to each Defendant individually, and a Competitive Impact Statement related thereto have been filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in *United States of America v. The MathWorks, Inc. and Wind River Systems, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 02-888-A. The proposed final Judgments represent a full settlement of this matter, as they resolve all issues between the United States and each Defendant. On June 21, 2002, the United States filed a Complaint against The MathWorks, Inc. and Wind River Systems, Inc. alleging that the Defendants entered into a series of agreements that had the purpose and effect of eliminating the MATRIXx product suite from the market in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. Through these agreements, The MathWorks and WindRiver agreed to shift dynamic control system design software customers from Wind River to The MathWorks. The proposed Final Judgments require both The MathWorks and Wind River to facilitate the sale of the MATRIXx products and intellectual property to a buyer acceptable to the United States and the appointment of a trustee to effect the sale. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgments and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice in Washington, DC, in Room 200, 325 Seventh Street, NW., at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, Virginia, and on the Antitrust Division's Web site at <http://www.usdoj.gov/atr/cases/indx346.htm>.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Renata B. Hesse, Chief, Networks and Technology Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 600 E Street,

NW., Suite 9500, Washington, DC 20530.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations.

Stipulation and Order

It is hereby stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, through their respective counsel, as follows:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of Plaintiff's Complaint alleging Defendants Wind River Systems, Inc. ("Wind River") and The MathWorks, Inc. ("The MathWorks") entered into an agreement that violates Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1), and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.

2. The United States and The MathWorks stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of either party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedure and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to either party or other proceedings, provided that the United States has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on The MathWorks and by filing that notice with the Court.

3. The MathWorks shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, or until expiration of time for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

4. This Stipulation shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended proposed Final Judgment agreed upon in writing by both parties and submitted to the Court.

5. In the event that the United States withdraws its consent, as provided in paragraph 2 above, or in the event that the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, the time has expired for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and the Court has not otherwise ordered continued compliance with the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation, and the making of this