

in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Suite 400, Orlando, FL 32822.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Bruce V. Pelly, Director of Airports of the Palm Beach County Department of Airports at the following address: Palm Beach County Department of Airports, 846 Palm Beach International Airport, West Palm Beach, FL 33406-1470.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Palm Beach County Department of Airports under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Matthew J. Thys, Program Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Suite 400, Orlando, FL 32822, (407) 812-6331. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Palm Beach International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On October 10, 2002, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Palm Beach County was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 22, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

*PFC Application No.:* 03-07-C-00-PBI.

*Level of the proposed PFC:* \$3.00.

*Proposed charge effective date:* August 1, 2004.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* January 1, 2007.

*Total estimated net PFC revenue:* \$22,400,000.

*Brief description of proposed project(s):* Terminal Signage, Rehabilitate Cabin Air System (Terminal), Acquire Noise Land within 65-69 DNL, Expand Terminal Concourse "C", Security Facilities (Terminal Expansion), Demolish Delta Terminal Building, Apron "A" Expansion, Replace Concourses "B" Loading Bridges, Replace Concourse "C" Loading Bridges.

*Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be*

*required to collect PFCs:* Air Taxi/ Commercial Operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Palm Beach County Department of Airports.

Issued in Orlando, FL, on October 10, 2002.

**W. Dean Stringer,**

*Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, Southern Region.*

[FR Doc. 02-26585 Filed 10-17-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Reno/Tahoe International Airport, Reno, NV**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

**SUMMARY:** This correction revises information from previously published notice.

In notice document 97-6326 beginning on page 55911 in the issue Friday, August 30, 2002, under Supplementary Information, the proposed charge effective date should be October 1, 2003.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 17, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303, Telephone: (650) 876-2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on October 8, 2002.

**Mia Paredes Ratcliff,**

*Manager, Planning and Programming Branch, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 02-26466 Filed 10-17-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Railroad Administration**

**Petition for Waiver of Compliance**

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of its safety regulations. The individual petition is described below including, the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

**Arizona Eastern Railway**

**Rail America, Inc**

[Docket Number FRA-2002-13251]

The Arizona Eastern Railway (AE) seeks a permanent waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the Power Brakes and Drawbars regulations, 49 CFR part 232, regarding initial terminal road train air brake tests. Specifically, AE requests permission to perform the required initial terminal air brake test at a location two miles east of the Claypool, Arizona yard, where the test is currently being performed. This would require trains to travel a distance of two miles on the main line that includes public road crossings, with only a train-line continuity check prior to performing the initial terminal air brake test.

AE is making this request because its carloads have increased from 330 to over 600 carloads a month. AE claims that this increase of carloads is creating a safety and operational problem at the Claypool yard. The yard and the mainline are located between Highway 60 and a residential area. The residential area is accessed from the highway by six grade crossings. In the past, when the brake tests were performed on the shorter trains, only 2 to 3 crossings were blocked. Now that train lengths have increased, 4 to 5 crossings are blocked for an hour or longer for each brake test. AE has experienced incidents where members of the general public try to cross over or crawl under standing trains during the air brake tests. Additionally, AE is concerned about blocking emergency vehicles that may need to respond to incidents in the area.

Therefore, AE would like to perform a train line continuity test at the current location where the trains are made up and move the trains approximately two miles east to a non-congested area where the initial terminal brake test would be performed. The trains would