

construction and operation will increase the peak day capacity of Northern's Market Area mainline by approximately 16,200 Mcf per day (Mcf/d). Northern states that the total estimated capital cost for the proposed facilities is \$5,833,952.

Northern requests that the Commission issue an order granting approval of the subject facilities by no later than May 1, 2003 in order to ensure an in-service date of November 1, 2003.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Mary Kay Miller, Vice President, Rates & Certificates, Northern Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska 68103-0330, telephone (402) 398-7060 or Michael T. Loeffler, Director Certificates and Community Relations, Northern Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska 68103-0330, telephone (402) 398-7103.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before October 31, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project.

This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-26385 Filed 10-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP02-16-000]

Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.) Inc.; Mirant Americas Energy Marketing, L.P.; Complainants, v. Northern Border Pipeline Company; Respondent; Notice of Complaint

October 10, 2002.

Take notice that on October 8, 2002, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), 18 CFR 385.206, Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.) Inc. (PAGUS) (by its agent Mirant Canada Energy Marketing, Ltd.) and Mirant Americas Energy Marketing, L.P. (MAEM) tendered for filing a Complaint against Northern Border Pipeline Company (Northern Border). PAGUS and MAEM request that the complaint be processed by the Commission on a fast track basis.

PAGUS and MAEM allege that Section 26.2(b) of the General Terms and Conditions of Northern Border's tariff conflicts with long-standing Commission policies because it permits the pipeline in some circumstances to contract its capacity on a long term basis at discounted rates without posting the capacity for bid. They further allege that Section 26.2(b) subverts and undermines the Right of First Refusal ("ROFR") process on the Northern Border system. PAGUS and MAEM request that the Commission invalidate Section 26.2(b).

PAGUS and MAEM also request that the Commission clarify the rights of shippers whose capacity goes through the ROFR bidding process, but is not awarded to any party during that process because no bids acceptable to the pipeline were submitted. PAGUS and MAEM request the Commission to confirm that in that situation, the ROFR matching rights of the existing capacity holders will continue in effect for the remainder of their contract terms.

Finally, PAGUS and MAEM request that the Commission grant preliminary relief in the form of an order prohibiting Northern Border from continuing the ROFR process with respect to PAGUS' capacity until after this Complaint is resolved.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before October 18, 2002. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 502-8659. The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-26389 Filed 10-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT02-35-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Technical Conference

October 10, 2002.

In the Commission's order issued on September 13, 2002,¹ the Commission directed that a technical conference be held to address issues raised by the filing.

Take notice that the technical conference will be held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002, at 10:30 am, in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

All interested parties and Staff are permitted to attend.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-26388 Filed 10-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02-11-001, et al.]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 9, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket Nos. ER02-11-001 and ER02-208-001]

Take notice that on October 7, 2002, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing a refund report, in connection with the Commission's July 17, 2002 Order issued in the above-referenced Dockets.

Copies of PG&E's filing have been served upon the California Independent System Operator Corporation, the California Electricity Oversight Board, and the California Public Utilities Commission.

Comment Date: October 28, 2002.

2. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER03-21-000]

Take notice that on October 7, 2002, Commonwealth Edison Company submitted to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a Notice of Cancellation effective August 21, 2002, for Service Agreement No. 556, Second Revised Tariff No. 5 with Duke Energy Cook, LLC.

Notice of the proposed cancellation has been served on Duke Energy Cook, LLC and Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment Date: October 28, 2002.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list.

This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-26362 Filed 10-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG03-1-000, et al.]

Riverside Energy Center, LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 8, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Riverside Energy Center, LLC

[Docket No. EG03-1-000]

Take notice that on October 4, 2002, Riverside Energy Center, LLC (Riverside or Applicant) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations. Applicant, a Wisconsin limited liability company, proposes to own and operate a 600 megawatt natural gas-fired combined cycle electric generating facility in the Town of Beloit, Rock County, Wisconsin.

Comment Date: October 29, 2002.

2. Duke Energy Hanging Rock, LLC

[Docket No. EG03-2-000]

Take notice that on October 4, 2002, Duke Energy Hanging Rock, LLC (Duke Hanging Rock) filed an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the Commission) for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Duke Hanging Rock states that it is a Delaware limited liability company that will be engaged directly and exclusively in the business of operating all or part

¹ Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, 100 FERC ¶ 61,268 (2002).