

Health care, Pensions, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: October 4, 2002.

**Anthony J. Principi,**  
*Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 38 CFR part 3 is proposed to be amended as follows:

### PART 3—ADJUDICATION

#### Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

1. The authority citation for part 3, subpart A continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 3.384 is added under the undesignated center heading “Rating Considerations Relative to Specific Diseases” to read as follows:

#### § 3.384 Psychosis.

*For purposes of this part, the following conditions are considered psychoses:*

- (a) Psychotic disorder due to a general medical condition;
- (b) Substance-induced psychotic disorder;
- (c) Schizophrenia;
- (d) Schizophreniform disorder;
- (e) Schizoaffective disorder;
- (f) Mood disorder with psychotic features;
- (g) Delusional disorder;
- (h) Psychotic disorder not otherwise specified;
- (i) Brief psychotic disorder; and
- (j) Shared psychotic disorder.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a))

[FR Doc. 02–25995 Filed 10–10–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR PART 52

[IN144–1b; FRL–7390–4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve revisions to Particulate Matter (PM) control requirements for certain natural gas combustion sources in Indiana. EPA also proposes to approve various cleanup revisions to Indiana’s PM rules and contingency measures for the Lake County, Indiana PM nonattainment area.

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted these revisions to Title 326 of the Indiana Administrative Code, Section 6–1 (326 IAC 6–1) as a requested revision to the Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP) on December 19, 2001. The requested SIP revision eliminates PM emissions limits on certain natural gas combustion sources in specified counties, and replaces the limits with a requirement that such sources may only burn natural gas. The requested SIP revision also contains many cleanup provisions such as eliminating limits for sources which have shut down and updating names of sources. Third, the requested SIP revision adds PM contingency measures for the Lake County, Indiana PM nonattainment area.

**DATES:** EPA must receive written comments on this proposed rule by November 12, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** You should mail written comments to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. *You may inspect copies of the State submittal and EPA’s analysis of it at:* Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Rau, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6524.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document wherever “we”, “us”, or “our” are used we mean EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. What action is EPA taking today?
- II. Where can I find more information about this proposal and the corresponding direct final rule?

#### I. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

We are proposing to approve revisions to PM control requirements for certain natural gas combustion sources in Indiana. We are also proposing to approve various cleanup revisions to Indiana’s PM rules and contingency measures for the Lake County, Indiana PM nonattainment area. The requested SIP revision eliminates PM emissions limits on certain natural gas combustion sources in specified counties, and

replaces the limits with a requirement that such sources may only burn natural gas. The requested SIP revision also contains many cleanup provisions such as eliminating limits for sources which have shut down and updating names of sources. Third, the requested SIP revision adds PM contingency measures for the Lake County, Indiana PM nonattainment area.

#### II. Where can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 19, 2002.

**William E. Muno,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*  
[FR Doc. 02–25855 Filed 10–10–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[WV047–6021b; FRL–7391–4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; West Virginia; To Prevent and Control Air Pollution from the Operation of Hot Mix Asphalt Plants

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of West Virginia for the purpose of establishing emission limitations for hot mix asphalt plants. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving West Virginia’s SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by November 12, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to Walter K. Wilkie, Acting Branch Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Air Quality, 7012 MacCorkle Avenue, SE., Charleston, WV 25304-2943.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janice Lewis, (215) 814-2185, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at [Lewis.Janice@epa.gov](mailto:Lewis.Janice@epa.gov). Please note any comments on this rule must be submitted in writing, as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 21, 2000, the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection submitted a revision to its SIP to address requirements for the Operation of Hot Mix Asphalt Plants. The revision consists of the adoption of Rule 45CSR3—To Prevent and Control Air Pollution from the Operation of Hot Mix Asphalt Plants. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: September 30, 2002.

**Donald S. Welsh,**

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 02-25853 Filed 10-10-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 078-0030; FRL-7393-2]

#### Revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing a simultaneous limited approval and limited disapproval of revisions to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) portion of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP) concerning definitions, volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from dry cleaning and spray painting and as well as visible emissions from mobile equipment. We are also proposing full approval of revisions to the ADEQ portion of the Arizona State SIP concerning VOC emissions from petroleum storage tanks and visible emissions from mobile equipment.

We are proposing action on local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by November 12, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies

of the submitted rule revisions at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room B-102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 1110 West Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at [http://www.sosaz.com/public\\_services/Title\\_18/18\\_table.htm](http://www.sosaz.com/public_services/Title_18/18_table.htm). Please be advised that this is not an EPA website and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; (415) 947-4118.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
  - A. What rules did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
  - C. What are the changes in the submitted rules?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
  - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. What are the rule deficiencies?
  - D. Proposed action and public comment
- III. Background information
  - A. Why were these rules submitted?
- IV. Administrative Requirements

#### I. The State's Submittal

##### A. What Rules Did the State Submit?

Table 1 lists the rules proposed for limited approval and limited disapproval with the date that they were adopted and submitted by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
ADEQ .....	R18-2-701	Definitions .....	11/15/93	07/15/98
ADEQ .....	R18-2-725	Standards of Performance for Existing Dry Cleaning Plants ..	11/15/93	07/15/98
ADEQ .....	R18-2-727	Standards of Performance for Spray Painting Operations .....	11/15/93	07/15/98
ADEQ .....	R18-2-801	Classification of Mobile Sources .....	11/15/93	07/15/98
ADEQ .....	R18-2-802	Off-Road Machinery .....	11/15/93	07/15/98

On December 18, 1998, we determined that the rule submittals in Table 1 met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part

51, appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

Table 2 lists the rules proposed for full approval with the date that they

were adopted and submitted by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ).