

accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required before the next refueling of an installed tank or before the first fueling after installing a tank, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent refueling a tank that is not adequately electrically bonded, which could generate an electric arc between the refueling nozzle and the tank, causing a fuel tank explosion, accomplish the following:

(a) Measure the electrical resistance between the tank electrostatic ground connector (item C) and the tank filler neck (item G) as shown in Figure 1 of this AD. If the value of the electrical resistance is more than 1.5 milliohms, refueling the tank is prohibited. See Figure 1 as follows:




FIGURE 1 FERRY FUEL TANK

Note 2: Eurocopter Telex No. 000112 dated June 6, 2000, pertains to the subject of this AD.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Regulations Group, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Regulations Group.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Regulations Group.

(c) Special flight permits will not be issued.

(d) This amendment becomes effective on November 6, 2002.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in Direction Generale De L'Aviation Civile (France) AD 2000-302(A), dated July 12, 2000.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on September 19, 2002.

Eric D. Bries,

*Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-24988 Filed 10-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-SW-41-AD; Amendment 39-12895; AD 2002-20-01

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Model HH-1K, TH-1F, TH-1L, UH-1A, UH-1B, UH-1E, UH-1F, UH-1H, UH-1L, and UH-1P; and Southwest Florida Aviation Model SW204, SW204HP, SW205, and SW205A-1 Helicopters, Manufactured by Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. for the Armed Forces of the United States

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the

specified military surplus helicopters that requires updating the product identification, extending the application of the AD to other models, continuing the existing retirement time for certain main rotor tension-torsion (TT) straps, and adding the TT strap part numbers to the applicability. This amendment is prompted by the need to expand the applicability to additional military surplus helicopters and to add two part numbers to the applicability. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of a TT strap, loss of a main rotor blade, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective November 6, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Kohner, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Certification Office, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0170, telephone (817) 222-5447, fax (817) 222-5783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD for Model HH-1K, TH-1F, TH-1L, UH-1A, UH-1B, UH-1E, UH-1F, UH-1H, UH-1L, and UH-1P; and Southwest Florida Aviation Model SW204, SW204HP, SW205, and SW205A-1 helicopters was published in the **Federal Register** on April 10, 2002 (67 FR 17305). That action proposed updating the product identification, extending the application of the AD to other models, continuing the existing retirement time for certain TT straps, and adding the TT strap part numbers to the applicability for the specified military surplus helicopters.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter states that Model AH-1 series helicopter should be added to the applicability section of the AD, because Model AH-1 series helicopters are currently being operated in experimental and restricted categories, and have identical TT straps as the HH-1K and UH-1L helicopter that are included in the applicability of the AD. The commenter also states that the FAA should evaluate TT strap, part number 204-310-101-101, because "this current production TT strap is life-limited * * * to 1,200 hours of operation or a calendar time of two years" when installed on standard category type certificated Bell products.

The FAA concurs, however the intent of AD's, Docket Numbers 2001-SW-41-AD and 2001-SW-42-AD, was to update the model applicability and type certificate holders of AD 80-17-09 for

the Model UH-1 military surplus helicopters. If we were to add the additional helicopter models or part numbers as proposed by the commenter, we would need to issue a Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. To avoid further delay in the effectivity of the published proposals, we are issuing this final rule as proposed. The comments suggesting an expansion of these AD provisions to additional model helicopters and TT strap part numbers will be further evaluated and may be incorporated into a subsequent AD.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

The FAA estimates that 75 helicopters of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 8 work hours per helicopter to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$10,484 per helicopter. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$822,300.

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

2002-20-01 Arrow Falcon Exporters, Inc. (previously Utah State University); Firefly Aviation Helicopter Services (previously Erickson Air-Crane Co.); Garlick Helicopters, Inc.; Global Helicopter Technology, Inc.; Hagglund Helicopters, LLC (previously Western International Aviation, Inc.); Hawkins and Powers Aviation, Inc.; International Helicopters, Inc.; Robinson Air Crane, Inc.; Smith Helicopters; Southern Helicopter, Inc.; Southwest Florida Aviation; Tamarack Helicopters, Inc. (previously Ranger Helicopter Services, Inc.); U.S. Helicopter, Inc.; and Williams Helicopter Corporation (previously Scott Paper Co.): Amendment 39-12895. Docket No. 2001-SW-41-AD.

Applicability: Model HH-1K, TH-1F, TH-1L, UH-1A, UH-1B, UH-1E, UH-1F, UH-1H, UH-1L, and UH-1P; and Southwest Florida Aviation Model SW204, SW204HP, SW205, and SW205A-1 helicopters, manufactured by Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. (BHHT) for the Armed Forces of the United States, with main rotor tension-torsion (TT) strap, part number (P/N) 204-012-122-1, 204-012-122-5, 2601399, or 2606650, installed, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required before further flight, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of a TT strap, loss of a main rotor blade, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Remove and replace any TT strap with 1,200 hours time-in-service (TIS) or 24 months since the initial installation, whichever occurs first.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Rotorcraft Certification Office, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and

then send it to the Manager, Rotorcraft Certification Office.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Rotorcraft Certification Office.

(c) Special flight permits will not be issued.

(d) This amendment becomes effective on November 6, 2002.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on September 18, 2002.

Eric Bries,

*Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-24993 Filed 10-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 101

[Docket No. 01Q-0313]

Food Labeling: Health Claims; Soluble Dietary Fiber From Certain Foods and Coronary Heart Disease

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Interim final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the regulation authorizing a health claim on the relationship between beta-glucan soluble fiber from whole oat sources and reduced risk of coronary heart disease (CHD). The amendment adds as an additional eligible source of whole oat beta-glucan soluble fiber, the soluble fraction of alpha-amylase hydrolyzed oat bran or whole oat flour with a beta-glucan soluble fiber content of up to 10 percent on a dry weight basis (dwb) and not less than that of the starting material (dwb). We (FDA) are taking this action in response to a petition jointly filed by the Quaker Oats Co. and Rhodia, Inc. (the petitioners). We concluded previously that there was significant scientific agreement that a relationship exists between the beta-glucan soluble fiber of certain whole oat sources and the reduction of risk of CHD by lowering blood cholesterol levels. We now have concluded, based on the publicly available scientific evidence that, in addition to rolled oats, oat bran, and whole oat flour, the soluble fraction of alpha-amylase hydrolyzed oat bran or whole oat flour with a beta-glucan content up to 10 percent (dwb) and not less than that of the starting material (dwb) is an appropriate source of beta-

glucan soluble fiber for the health claim. Therefore, we are amending the regulation that authorizes a health claim on the relationship between soluble fiber from whole oats and reduced risk of CHD to include this additional source of beta-glucan soluble fiber.

DATES: This interim final rule is effective October 2, 2002. Submit written or electronic comments by December 16, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to <http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James E. Hoadley, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-830), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD, 20740-3835, 301-436-1450.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. *The Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990*

The Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 (the 1990 amendments) (Public Law 101-535) amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) in a number of important ways, including confirming FDA's authority to regulate health claims on food labels and in food labeling.

We issued several new regulations in 1993 that implemented the health claim provisions of the 1990 amendments. Among these were § 101.14 (21 CFR 101.14) (58 FR 2478, January 6, 1993), which set out the rules for the authorization and use of health claims, and § 101.70 (21 CFR 101.70) (58 FR 2478, January 6, 1993), which established a process for petitioning the agency to authorize health claims about a substance-disease relationship and set out the types of information that any such petition must include. Each of these regulations became effective on May 8, 1993.

In addition, we conducted extensive reviews of the evidence on the 10 substance-disease relationships listed in the 1990 amendments, including dietary fiber and reduced risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). As a result of our review, we have authorized claims that relate to 8 of these 10 relationships.

B. *1990 to 1993 Dietary Fiber and Cardiovascular Disease Health Claim Evaluation*

During 1990 to 1993, we conducted an extensive review of the relationship between dietary fiber and CVD. We

examined the then current state of scientific opinion regarding the role of total dietary fiber in general, without focusing on any particular dietary fiber. Although we denied the use of a health claim relating total dietary fiber to reduced risk of CVD (58 FR 2552, January 6, 1993), we authorized a health claim relating fruits, vegetables, and grain products that contain dietary fiber, particularly soluble dietary fiber, to reduced risk of CHD, one of the more common serious forms of CVD (58 FR 2552, January 6, 1993).

We concluded that, based on the totality of publicly available scientific evidence, there was significant scientific agreement that the evidence supported an association between diets low in saturated fat and cholesterol and high in fruits, vegetables, and grain products (i.e., foods that are low in saturated fat and cholesterol and that are good sources of dietary fiber) and reduced risk of coronary heart disease (58 FR 2552 at 2572). We therefore authorized a health claim in part 101 (21 CFR part 101) in § 101.77 on the association between diets low in saturated fat and cholesterol and high in vegetables, fruit, and grain products that contain soluble fiber and a reduced risk of CHD.

In the 1993 dietary fiber and CVD final rule, in response to a comment regarding the apparent hypocholesterolemic properties of specific food fibers, e.g., oat bran, we agreed that the effectiveness of naturally occurring fibers in foods may be documented for specific food products (e.g., oat bran meeting specified parameters) (58 FR 2552 at 2567). We further stated that if a manufacturer could document, through appropriate studies, that dietary consumption of the soluble fiber in its particular food has the effect of lowering low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, and has no adverse effects on other heart disease risk factors (e.g., high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol), it should petition for a health claim for its particular product (58 FR 2552 at 2567).

C. *1997 Soluble Fiber From Whole Oats and Coronary Heart Disease Health Claim*

We subsequently received a petition for, and authorized, a health claim on the relationship between soluble fiber from whole oats and reduced risk of CHD (the soluble fiber from whole oats final rule) (62 FR 3584, January 23, 1997; modified at 62 FR 15343, March 31, 1997). We initially proposed to authorize a health claim on the association between oat bran and oatmeal and reduced risk of CHD (the oats proposed rule) (61 FR 296, January