

### Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the *Sunset Regulations* (19 CFR 351.218) and *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department's schedule of sunset reviews, case history information (*i.e.*, previous margins, duty absorption determinations, scope language, import volumes), and service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset Internet Web site at the following address: <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/>.

All submissions in these sunset reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303. Also, we suggest that parties check the Department's sunset website for any updates to the service list before filing any submissions. The Department will make additions to and/or deletions from the service list provided on the sunset website based on notifications from parties and participation in these reviews. Specifically, the Department will delete from the service list all parties that do not submit a substantive response to the notice of initiation.

Because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation of the sunset review. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

### Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in 19 CFR 351.102) wishing to participate in these sunset reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the orders without further review.

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's

regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in the sunset review must file substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic interested parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.<sup>1</sup> Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: September 25, 2002.

**Faryar Shirzad,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02–24929 Filed 9–30–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–809]

### Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea; Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On June 16, 2002, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation in the changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea. As a result of this review, the Department of Commerce

<sup>1</sup> A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation, 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

preliminarily finds, for the purposes of this proceeding, that Husteel Company, Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Shinho Steel Company, Ltd.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 2002.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suresh Maniam or Scott Holland, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0176 and (202) 482–1279, respectively.

### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2002).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background:

Since the initiation of this changed circumstances review (*Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* ("Initiation"), 67 FR 41394, June 16, 2002) the following events have occurred:

On July 12, 2002, we issued a changed circumstances questionnaire to Husteel requesting additional information regarding successorship. We received a response to the questionnaire from Husteel on August 9, 2002.

#### Scope of the Review

The merchandise subject to this review is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4mm (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipes and tubes and are intended for the low-pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, air, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air-conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing applications, such as for fence tubing, and as structural pipe tubing used for framing and as support members for reconstruction or load-bearing purposes in the construction, shipbuilding, trucking, farm equipment, and other

related industries. Unfinished conduit pipe is also included in this order.

All carbon-steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of this review except line pipe, oil country tubular goods, boiler tubing, mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished conduit. In accordance with the Department's *Final Negative Determination of Scope Inquiry on Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe and Tube from Brazil, the Republic of Korea, Mexico, and Venezuela*, 61 FR 11608, (March 21, 1996), pipe certified to the API 5L line-pipe specification and pipe certified to both the API 5L line-pipe specifications and the less-stringent ASTM A-53 standard-pipe specifications, which falls within the physical parameters as outlined above, and entered as line pipe of a kind used for oil and gas pipelines is outside of the scope of the antidumping duty order.

Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS") subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs Service purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

### Preliminary Results

In making successor-in-interest determinations, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. *See, e.g., Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460-61 (May 13, 1992). While no single factor, or combination of factors, will necessarily prove dispositive, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to its predecessor company if the resulting operations are essentially the same as those of the predecessor company. *See, e.g., Id. and Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944, 6945 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as its predecessor, the Department will

assign the new company the cash-deposit rate of its predecessor.

Based on the information submitted by Husteel in its August 9, 2002, questionnaire response ("questionnaire response"), we preliminarily determine that Husteel is the successor-in-interest to Shinho.

Husteel previously provided documentation to support the name change, including the minutes of the shareholders' meeting where the name change was approved, the corporate articles before and after the name change, court certification of the name change, and the new business registration certificate issued by tax authorities. *See Initiation*.

Subsequent to initiation, Husteel provided further documentation to support its statement that the company's management structure, production facilities, supplier relationships and customer base remain unchanged. The Company organizational charts and Board of Directors did not change. The company continues to operate two production facilities, one at Incheon and in Daebul, Korea. Furthermore, we noted that the product code lists for each facility, the monthly purchase transactions by vendor, the monthly home market sales transactions by customer, for the period of January 2002, through June 2002, were unchanged.

Based on the evidence on the record, we preliminarily find that Husteel operates as the same business entity as Shinho. Consequently, we preliminarily determine that Husteel should receive the same antidumping duty cash-deposit rate (*i.e.*, a 2.99 percent antidumping duty cash-deposit rate) with respect to the subject merchandise as the predecessor company, Shinho. *See Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 66 FR 28422, (May 23, 2001).

### Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. *See* 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit arguments are

requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.

Consistent with section 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations, we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary finding.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act.

Dated: September 25, 2002.

**Faryar Shirzad,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-24927 Filed 9-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-821-815]

### Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Russian Federation

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has suspended the antidumping duty investigation involving certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products ("cold-rolled steel") from the Russian Federation ("Russia"). The basis for this action is an agreement between the Department and the Russian cold-rolled steel producers accounting for substantially all imports of cold-rolled steel from Russia, wherein each signatory producer/exporter individually agrees to make any necessary price revisions to eliminate completely any amount by which the normal value (NV) of this merchandise exceeds the U.S. price of its merchandise subject to the Agreement.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 23, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean Kemp, Jonathan Herzog or Aishe Allen at (202) 482-4037, (202) 482-4271, and (202) 482-0172 respectively, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On May 9, 2002, the Department published its preliminary determination