

c. Do you have solutions/recommendations for changes to management strategies or regulations to improve or address increases/decreases observed in this species?

6 a. With whom do you share your subsistence resources?

b. How has this changed over time?

7 a. How did you pass along your subsistence knowledge & skills to your children/grandchildren or others in the community?

8 a. When did you first recall that non-residents came to this area to access these resources?

9 a. What environmental, physical or climatological changes have you observed?

10 a. What species occur now that didn't before?

b. What species used to occur (be plentiful), but are no longer?

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before November 26, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Regional Anthropologist, Bristol Bay Region; Coastal Regions Division; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office of Subsistence Management; 3601 C Street, Suite 1030; Anchorage, AK 99503. Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS 224-ARLSQ, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia McClenahan, Office of Subsistence Management, 907/786-3888 x. 3875, or Anissa Craghead, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer 703/358-2287.

Title: Oral History and Traditional Knowledge Gathering within Togiak National Wildlife Refuge.

Aaron Archibeque,

Refuge Manager, Togiak National Wildlife Refuge.

[FR Doc. 02-24587 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Inventory Completion for Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects in the Possession of the University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, Philadelphia, PA

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 43 CFR 10.9, of the completion of an inventory of human

remains and associated funerary objects in the possession of the University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, Philadelphia, PA.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 43 CFR 10.2 (c). The determinations within this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of these Native American human remains and associated funerary objects. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations within this notice.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Native Village of Kotzebue.

In 1895, human remains representing one individual were removed from an unknown location on Choris Peninsula in Kotzebue Sound, AK, by Mr. Benjamin Sharp. Mr. Sharp collected these human remains for the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, and in 1997, the human remains were transferred from the Academy of Natural Sciences to the University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology. No known individual was identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

Museum documentation and published sources describe the human remains as "Eskimo" and date them to the 19th century. Published sources and consultation information indicate that the Native Village of Kotzebue, which is represented by Kotzebue IRA government, occupied the area where the human remains were recovered during the 19th century.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of one individual of Native American ancestry. Officials of the University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology also have determined that pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (e), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and the Native Village of Kotzebue.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Native Village of Kotzebue, the Kikiktatruk Inupiat Corporation, and the NANA Regional Corporation. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally

affiliated with these human remains should contact Dr. Jeremy Sabloff, the Williams Director, University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, 33rd and Spruce Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6324, telephone (215) 898-4051, fax (215) 898-0657, before October 28, 2002.

Repatriation of the human remains to the Native Village of Kotzebue may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: August 8, 2002.

Robert Stearns,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 02-24625 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-S

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-406]

Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages; Completion of Remand; Notice of Institution of Further Enforcement Proceedings

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has completed its proceedings in response to the remand from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Jazz Photo Corporation et al. v. U.S. International Trade Commission*, 264 F.3d 1094 (Fed. Cir. 2001), and has determined to institute further enforcement proceedings as to Jazz Photo Corp. (Jazz) and two individuals associated with Jazz.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., telephone 202-205-3104, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised

that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on March 25, 1998, based on a complaint by Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd. (Fuji) of Tokyo, Japan, alleging unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by several respondents in the importation and sale of certain lens-fitted film packages (*i.e.*, disposable cameras) that infringed one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji. 63 FR 14474 (March 25, 1998). On June 2, 1999, the Commission terminated the investigation, finding a violation of section 337 by all the respondents by reason of infringement of various claims of all 15 patents. 64 FR 30541 (June 8, 1999). The Commission issued a general exclusion order prohibiting the importation of LFFPs that infringe any of the claims of the patents at issue, and issued twenty cease and desist orders to domestic respondents.

Respondents Jazz, OptiColor Inc., and Dynatec International Inc. (Dynatec) appealed the portion of the Commission's determination that concerned refurbished LFFPs that were sold by or under license from Fuji, to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit). The portion of the Commission's determination that concerned newly-manufactured LFFPs was not appealed. On August 21, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued its opinion, affirming-in-part, reversing-in-part, and remanding the Commission's determination. *Jazz Photo Corporation et al. v. U.S. International Trade Commission*, 264 F.3d 1094 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

On November 21, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued its mandate in the investigation, thereby returning jurisdiction over the investigation to the Commission. The Commission solicited comments from the parties concerning the action that the Commission should take on remand. On January 11, 2002, Jazz, Fuji, Grandway USA, the successor in interests to Dynatec, and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed comments. Fuji filed amended comments on January 16, 2002. Fuji, Jazz, Grandway, and the IA filed response comments on January 25, 2002. On February 6, 2002 Jazz filed a petition to the Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari of a portion of the Jazz decision. On March 13, 2002, Fuji filed a cross petition for a writ of certiorari. On June 24, 2002, the Supreme Court denied both petitions. The Federal Circuit's remand to the Commission

concerned a motion filed by Fuji with the Federal Circuit on May 4, 2001. In that motion Fuji requested: (1) A modification of the stay orders to increase the bonds imposed on Dynatec and Jazz (an issue that became moot when the court lifted the stays that it had put in place pending appeal), and (2) an order prohibiting circumvention of Commission's orders by Grandway.¹ Fuji raised the same issues that it raised in the May 4, 2001 motion to the Federal Circuit in a complaint for enforcement proceedings that it filed with the Commission on June 27, 2001. After negotiations, Fuji and Grandway entered a Stipulated Agreement (SA) on July 19, 2001, which Fuji filed with the Commission on July 20, 2001. In filing the SA with the Commission, Fuji stated that it was withdrawing the allegations that it made against Grandway because the matters complained of in the enforcement complaint were now moot. In view of the SA between Fuji and Grandway and Fuji's statement to the Commission in withdrawing its enforcement complaint against Grandway, the Commission determined that the issues remanded to the Commission by the Federal Circuit in the Jazz decision are moot. Fuji also requested that the Commission consider its amended "Response to the Commission's Notice of Request for Comments," dated January 16, 2002, as an enforcement complaint against Jazz and two individuals associated with Jazz. The Commission having found that Fuji's filing complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding, determined to institute formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether Jazz and the two named individuals are in violation of the Commission's general exclusion order and/or cease and desist order issued in the investigation, and what if any enforcement measures are appropriate.

The following were named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Complainant Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd; (2) Jazz Photo Film Co., (3) Jack Benun, Principal Consultant of Jazz (4) Anthony Cossentino, President of Jazz, (5) and a Commission investigative attorney to be designated by the Director, Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337 and Commission rule 210.75, 19 CFR 210.75.

Issued: September 24, 2002.

¹ As noted, Grandway is the successor in interest to Dynatec.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 02-24661 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

ACTION: 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Application for Replacement Naturalization/Citizenship Document; Form N-565.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for sixty days until November 26, 2002.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a previously approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Replacement Naturalization/Citizenship Document.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the*