

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of information collection:* Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Crime Victim Compensation State Certification Form.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is OJP Administrative Form 7390/5, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local and Tribal Governments. The Victim of Crime Act (VOCA) as amended and the Victim Compensation Program Guidelines require each crime victim compensation program to submit an annual Crime Victim Compensation Certification Form. Information received for each program will be used to calculate the annual formula/block grant amount for the VOCA state crime victim compensation programs. The information is aggregated and serves as supporting documentation for the Director's biennial report to the Congress.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 54 respondents will each complete a one hour annual report.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 54 total hour burden associated with this collection. If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer,

Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: September 20, 2002.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 02-24438 Filed 9-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Workshop on Key Issues Related to the Licensing of Future Non-Light Water Reactors

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has underway preapplication reviews of advanced reactor designs. The purpose of these preapplication reviews is to identify, early in the design process, key safety issues and a path to their resolution. For advanced non-light water reactors, several such key issues were identified and described in SECY-02-0139. The purpose of this workshop is to discuss the issues described in SECY-02-139, including options for their resolution.

**DATES:** The workshop will be held on October 22-23, 2002—1 p.m. to 5 p.m. on October 22, 2002; 9 to 5 p.m. on October 23, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** The workshop will be held at the Double Tree Hotel, 12500 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Farouk Eltawila, Director, Division of Systems Analysis and Regulatory Effectiveness, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10 E32, telephone (301) 415-7499; Internet: [FXE@nrc.gov](mailto:FXE@nrc.gov), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

For material related to the meeting, please contact U.S. NRC Public Affairs Office (301) 415-8200.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

In a paper dated July 22, 2002, (SECY-02-0139, "Plan for Resolving Policy Issues Related to Licensing Non-Light Water Reactors") the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff identified seven issues with potential policy implications resulting from work to date reviewing non-light water reactors. These seven issues are:

- How should the Commission's expectations for enhanced safety be implemented for future non-LWRs?
  - Should specific defense-in-depth attributes be defined for non-LWRs?
  - How should NRC requirements for future non-LWR plants relate to international safety standards and requirements?
  - To what extent should a probabilistic approach be used to establish the plant licensing basis?
    - Under what conditions, if any, should scenario-specific accident source terms be used for licensing decisions regarding containment and site suitability?
      - Under what conditions, if any, can a plant be licensed without a pressure-retaining containment building?
      - Under what conditions, if any, can emergency planning zones be reduced, including a reduction to the site exclusion area boundary?

#### Discussion

Options for resolving these issues are under development and recommendations on their resolution are due to the Commission in December 2002. The options under consideration are listed in the agenda along with key considerations for discussion. To assist in developing and evaluating options and preparing recommendations, the NRC staff is planning to hold a workshop to discuss and solicit feedback on the issues and options for their resolution, including advantages and disadvantages.

#### Key Considerations for Discussion

- Feedback is sought specifically on:
- Are the options for resolution being considered appropriate?
  - Are there other options which should be considered?
  - Which option(s) should be pursued and why?
  - Which options should not be pursued and why?

#### Workshop Agenda

October 22, 2002

- 1-1:15 p.m. Introduction (NRC staff)  
 1:15-1:45 Overview of the Seven Issues and Options for Their Resolution (NRC staff)  
 Discussion of Issues and Options:  
 1:45-3:45 Issue 1: Expectations for Safety  
 —Options for Resolution:  
 • Maintain current level of safety  
 • Require an enhanced level of safety  
 • Require an enhanced level of confidence in the design  
 —Key Considerations:  
 • Should the Commission's Safety Goal Policy apply on a site or a per plant basis?  
 • Should safety be improved for future plants to ensure the population of plants that maintain safety?

3:45–4 p.m. Break

4–5 p.m. *Issues 2: Defense-in-Depth*

—Options for Resolution:

- Case-by-case determination
  - Develop a description of defense-on-depth attributes
  - Develop a process for determining defense-in-depth measures
- Key Considerations
- IAEA description

October 23, 2002

9–10 a.m. Issue 3: Use of International Codes and Standards

—Options for Resolution:

- Review and endorse international codes and standards only as needed to review an application
  - Review and endorse existing international codes and standards, whenever practical to fill gaps in the NRC infrastructure
  - Participate in the development of and endorse international codes and standards, whenever practical to fill gaps in the NRC infrastructure
  - Harmonize, as much as possible, NRC licensing requirements with other regulatory bodies
- Key Considerations:
- Cost and schedule

10–10:15 a.m. Break

10:15–11:15 a.m. *Issue 4: Event Selection and Safety Classification*

—Options for Resolution:

- Deterministic selection of events to be considered in the design and safety classification
  - Probabilistic selection of events to be considered in the design and safety classification.
  - Combination of deterministic and probabilistic
- Key Considerations:
- Probabilistic risk assessment quality and completeness

11:15–12:30 p.m. Lunch

12:30–1:30 p.m. *Issue 5: Licensing Source Term*

—Options for Resolution:

- Use a bounding source term representative of core damage accident (LWR practice)
  - Use a range of source terms corresponding to the design basis accident scenarios
- Key Considerations:
- Ensuring plant and fuel performance over the life of the plant

1:30–2:30 p.m. *Issue 6: Containment vs. Confinement*

—Options for Resolution:

- Require non-LWRs to have a pressure retaining containment building
- Allow a design without a pressure retaining containment provided certain performance criteria are met

—Key Considerations:

- Confidence in accident selection and source term

2:30–2:45 p.m. Break

2:45–3:45 p.m. *Issue 7: Emergency Preparedness*

—Options for Resolution:

- Retain current requirements and emergency planning zone size
  - Allow a reduction in emergency planning zone size
- Key Considerations:
- Confidence in accident selection and source term

3:45–5 p.m. General Discussion and Wrapup

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of September 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Farouk Eltawila,**

*Director, Division of Systems Analysis and Regulatory Effectiveness, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 02–24439 Filed 9–25–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting Notice

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) will hold a meeting on October 10–12, 2002, in Conference Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Monday, November 26, 2001 (66 FR 59034).

#### Thursday, October 10, 2002

*8:30 a.m.–8:35 a.m.: Opening Statement by the ACRS Chairman*—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

*8:35 a.m.–10 a.m.: Confirmatory Research Program on High-Burnup Fuel (Open)*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC Staff and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) regarding the confirmatory research program on high-burnup fuel and the EPRI topical report on reactivity insertion accidents.

*10:15 a.m.–12:15 p.m.: Overview of European Simplified Boiling Water Reactor (ESBWR), SWR 1000 (Boiling Water Reactor), Advanced CANDU Reactor (ACR 700) Pre-Application Review (Open)*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC Staff and industry on the ESBWR (General Electric 1380 MWe), SWR 1000 (Framatome ANP-Siemens 1000 Mwe) and ACR 700 (Advanced CANDU Reactor 700 Mwe) advanced reactor designs.

*1:15 p.m.–2:45 p.m.: Catawba and McGuire License Renewal Application (Open)*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and Duke Energy Company regarding the license renewal application and draft Safety Evaluation Report for the Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2 and McGuire Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2.

*2:45 p.m.–4 p.m.: Policy Issues Related to Advanced Reactor Licensing (Open)*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding changes to policy issues related to the licensing of advanced reactors resulting from the resolution of ACRS comments and recommendations included in its June 17, 2002 report.

*4:15 p.m.–7 p.m.: Proposed ACRS Reports (Open)*—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports on matters considered during this meeting.

#### Friday, October 11, 2002

*8:30 a.m.–8:35 a.m.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman (Open)*—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

*8:35 a.m.–10 a.m.: Program Plan for Low-Power Shutdown (LPSD) Standardized Plant Analysis Risk (SPAR) Model Development and Cancellation of Revision 4i of SPAR Models (Open)*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the program plan for LPSD SPAR Model Development, the reasons for canceling plans for the development of revision 4i of the SPAR Models, and insights from the onsite review of the LPSD SPAR model for Surry Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2.

*10:15 a.m.–11:30 a.m.: Guidance for Performance-Based Regulation (Open)*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the draft NUREG/BR “Guidance for Performance-Based Regulation.”

*11:30 a.m.–11:45 a.m.: Reconciliation of ACRS Comments and Recommendations (Open)*—The Committee will discuss the responses from the NRC Executive Director for Operations (EDO) to comments and recommendations included in recent ACRS reports and letters. The EDO responses are expected to be made available to the Committee prior to the meeting.

*1:15 p.m.–2:15 p.m.: Future ACRS Activities/Report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee (Open)*—The