

Veterans Affairs, so that the lands can be managed as part of the Fort Rosecrans National Cemetery and shall thereafter be subject to all laws and regulations applicable thereto, subject to valid existing rights.

3. For a period of 90 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, objections, or requests for public meetings in connection with the proposed actions described in this notice, may present their views in writing to the Chief, Branch of Lands Management, California State Office, Bureau of Land Management, at the address listed above. If the authorized officer determines that a public meeting should be held, it will be scheduled and conducted in accordance with 43 CFR 2310.3-1(c)(2). A notice of the time and place would be published in the **Federal Register** at least 30 days before the scheduled date of the public meeting.

4. The application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR part 2300.

5. The subject lands are currently withdrawn for the Department of Navy for military purposes and therefore are segregated from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws and from location and entry under the United States mining laws. The temporary uses which may be permitted during this segregative period are those which are compatible with the use of the lands, as determined by the Bureau of Land Management, the Department of Navy, and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Dated: August 28, 2002.

**Howard K. Stark,**  
Chief, Branch of Lands Management.

[FR Doc. 02-24470 Filed 9-25-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Minerals Management Service

#### Availability of Revised Outer Continental Shelf Leasing Map

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Availability of Revised Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Leasing Map.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the NAD 27-based OCS Leasing Map, "Outer Continental Shelf Leasing Map in the Western Gulf of Mexico Planning Area, TX3A, Mustang Island Area, East Addition," last revised on September 3, 2002, is on file and available for information only, in the Gulf of Mexico

OCS Regional Office, New Orleans, Louisiana.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 26, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of Leasing Maps and Official Protraction Diagrams (OPDs) are \$2.00 each. These may be purchased from the Public Information Unit, Information Services Section, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, Minerals Management Service, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana 70123-2394, Telephone (504) 736-2519 or (800) 200-GULF. Leasing Maps and OPDs may be obtained in two digital formats: .gra files for use in ARC/INFO and .pdf files for viewing and printing in Acrobat. Copies are also available for download at [www.gomr.mms.gov/homepg/lseSale/mapdiag.html](http://www.gomr.mms.gov/homepg/lseSale/mapdiag.html).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with Title 43, Code of Federal Regulations, this map is the basic record for the description of mineral and oil and gas lease sales in the geographic area it represents. This revision corrects an error on Leasing Map TX3A, Mustang Island Area, East Addition, November 1, 2000. The area value 4926.76 acres in Block A47 is corrected as follows: 4928.76 acres.

Dated: September 10, 2002.

**Michael C. Hunt,**  
Acting Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 02-24408 Filed 9-25-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### [USITC SE-02-028]

#### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** October 2, 2002 at 2 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED

1. Agenda for future meeting: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-417-419 and 731-TA-953-954, 956-959, and 961-962 (Final) (Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Germany, Indonesia, Mexico, Modlova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 15, 2002.)

**5. Outstanding action jackets:** none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 23, 2002.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 02-24674 Filed 9-24-02; 3:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review:

Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired: Crime Victim Compensation State Certification Form.

The Department of Justice DOJ, Office of Justice Programs has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 67, Number 100, page 36227 on May 23, 2002, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until October 28, 2002. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-7285.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### **Overview of This Information Collection**

(1) *Type of information collection:* Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Crime Victim Compensation State Certification Form.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is OJP Administrative Form 7390/5, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local and Tribal Governments. The Victim of Crime Act (VOCA) as amended and the Victim Compensation Program Guidelines require each crime victim compensation program to submit an annual Crime Victim Compensation Certification Form. Information received for each program will be used to calculate the annual formula/block grant amount for the VOCA state crime victim compensation programs. The information is aggregated and serves as supporting documentation for the Director's biennial report to the Congress.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 54 respondents will each complete a one hour annual report.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 54 total hour burden associated with this collection. If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer,

Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: September 20, 2002.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 02-24438 Filed 9-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-M**

#### **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

##### **Workshop on Key Issues Related to the Licensing of Future Non-Light Water Reactors**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has underway preapplication reviews of advanced reactor designs. The purpose of these preapplication reviews is to identify, early in the design process, key safety issues and a path to their resolution. For advanced non-light water reactors, several such key issues were identified and described in SECY-02-0139. The purpose of this workshop is to discuss the issues described in SECY-02-139, including options for their resolution.

**DATES:** The workshop will be held on October 22–23, 2002—1 p.m. to 5 p.m. on October 22, 2002; 9 to 5 p.m. on October 23, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** The workshop will be held at the Double Tree Hotel, 12500 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Farouk Eltawila, Director, Division of Systems Analysis and Regulatory Effectiveness, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10 E32, telephone (301) 415-7499; Internet: FXE@nrc.gov, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

For material related to the meeting, please contact U.S. NRC Public Affairs Office (301) 415-8200.

##### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

In a paper dated July 22, 2002, (SECY-02-0139, "Plan for Resolving Policy Issues Related to Licensing Non-Light Water Reactors") the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff identified seven issues with potential policy implications resulting from work to date reviewing non-light water reactors. These seven issues are:

- How should the Commission's expectations for enhanced safety be implemented for future non-LWRs?

- Should specific defense-in-depth attributes be defined for non-LWRs?
- How should NRC requirements for future non-LWR plants relate to international safety standards and requirements?

- To what extent should a probabilistic approach be used to establish the plant licensing basis?
- Under what conditions, if any, should scenario-specific accident source terms be used for licensing decisions regarding containment and site suitability?
- Under what conditions, if any, can a plant be licensed without a pressure-retaining containment building?
- Under what conditions, if any, can emergency planning zones be reduced, including a reduction to the site exclusion area boundary?

##### **Discussion**

Options for resolving these issues are under development and recommendations on their resolution are due to the Commission in December 2002. The options under consideration are listed in the agenda along with key considerations for discussion. To assist in developing and evaluating options and preparing recommendations, the NRC staff is planning to hold a workshop to discuss and solicit feedback on the issues and options for their resolution, including advantages and disadvantages.

##### **Key Considerations for Discussion**

- Feedback is sought specifically on:
- Are the options for resolution being considered appropriate?
  - Are there other options which should be considered?
  - Which option(s) should be pursued and why?
  - Which options should not be pursued and why?

##### **Workshop Agenda**

*October 22, 2002*

- 1-1:15 p.m. Introduction (NRC staff)
- 1:15-1:45 Overview of the Seven Issues and Options for Their Resolution (NRC staff)
- Discussion of Issues and Options:
- 1:45-3:45 Issue 1: Expectations for Safety
- Options for Resolution:
  - Maintain current level of safety
  - Require an enhanced level of safety
  - Require an enhanced level of confidence in the design
- Key Considerations:
  - Should the Commission's Safety Goal Policy apply on a site or a per plant basis?
  - Should safety be improved for future plants to ensure the population of plants that maintain safety?