

justified. The Department of the Interior, in establishing this provisional court, is fulfilling its trust responsibility and complying with the unique government-to-government relationship that exists between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### List of Subjects in 25 CFR Part 11

Courts, Indians—law, Law enforcement, Penalties.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, we are amending part 11, chapter I of title 25 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below.

#### PART 11—LAW AND ORDER ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 11 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301; R.S. 463, 25 U.S.C. 2; R.S. 465, 25 U.S.C. 9; 42 Stat. 208, 25 U.S.C. 13; 38 Stat. 586, 25 U.S.C. 200.

2. Section 11.100 is amended by adding new paragraph (a)(15) to read as follows:

#### § 11.100 Listing of Courts of Indian Offenses.

(a) \* \* \*

(15) Paiute-Shoshone Indian Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony (land in trust for the Paiute-Shoshone Indian Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony).

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: September 13, 2002.

**Neal A. McCaleb,**

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 02-24241 Filed 9-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4J-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 6

##### Protection and Security of Vessels, Harbors, and Waterfront Facilities

###### CFR Correction

In Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, parts 1 to 124, revised as of July 1, 2001, § 6.01-4 is corrected to read as follows:

#### § 6.01-4 Waterfront facility.

*Waterfront facility.* “Waterfront facility,” as used in this part, means all piers, wharves, docks, or similar structures to which vessels may be secured and naval yards, stations, and installations, including ranges; areas of land, water, or land and water under and in immediate proximity to them; buildings on them or contiguous to

them and equipment and materials on or in them.

[EO 13143, 64 FR 68273, Dec. 6, 1999]

[FR Doc. 02-55521 Filed 9-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 9

[FRL-7381-4]

#### OMB Approvals Under the Paperwork Reduction Act; Technical Amendment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), this technical amendment amends the table that lists the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control numbers issued under the PRA for regulations for Motor Vehicle Emission and Fuel Economy Compliance.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This final rule is effective September 24, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard W. Nash, Certification and Compliance Division, 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor MI 48103, (734) 214-4412, [nash.dick@epa.gov](mailto:nash.dick@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA is amending the table of currently approved information collection request (ICR) control numbers issued by OMB for various regulations. The amendment updates the table to list those information collection requirements approved by OMB on July 18, 2002 under control number 2060-0104. The affected regulations are codified at 40 CFR parts 85, 86 and 600. EPA will continue to present OMB control numbers in a consolidated table format to be codified in 40 CFR part 9 of the Agency's regulations. The table lists CFR citations with reporting, recordkeeping, or other information collection requirements, and the current OMB control numbers. This listing of the OMB control numbers and their subsequent codification in the CFR satisfies the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and OMB's implementing regulations at 5 CFR part 1320.

This ICR was previously subject to public notice and comment prior to OMB approval. Due to the technical nature of the table, EPA finds that further notice and comment is unnecessary. As a result, EPA finds that there is “good cause” under section

553(b)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), to amend this table without prior notice and comment.

#### I. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a “significant regulatory action” and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty, contain any unfunded mandate, or impose any significant or unique impact on small governments as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). This rule also does not require prior consultation with State, local, and tribal government officials as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993) or Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998), or involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). Because this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5-501 of the Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it does not establish an environmental standard intended to mitigate health or safety risks.

#### Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a good cause finding that notice and public procedure is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA has made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefor, and established an effective date of September 24, 2002. EPA will submit a report containing this