rule, and the future assessment will
determine whether it is necessary to
continue to restrict the importation of
poultry and poultry products from
Denmark, whether we can restore
Denmark to the list of regions
considered free of END, or whether we
can restore portions of Denmark as free
of END.

Emergency Action
This rulemaking is necessary on an
emergency basis to prevent the
introduction of END into the United
States. Under these circumstances, the
Administrator has determined that prior
notice and opportunity for public
comment are contrary to the public
interest and that there is good cause
under 5 U.S.C. 553 for making this rule
effective less than 30 days after
publication in the Federal Register.

We will consider comments we
receive during the comment period for
this interim rule (see DATES above).
After the comment period closes, we
will publish another document in the
Federal Register. The document will
include a discussion of any comments
we receive and any amendments we are
making to the rule.

Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory
Flexibility Act
This rule has been reviewed under
Executive Order 12866. For this action,
the Office of Management and Budget
has waived its review under Executive
Order 12866.

We are amending the regulations by
removing Denmark from the list of
regions considered free of END. We are
taking this action because Denmark has
confirmed an outbreak of END. This
action restricts the importation of
poultry carcasses, parts or products of
poultry carcasses, and eggs (other than
hatching eggs) of poultry, game birds,
or other birds from Denmark. This action
is necessary to help prevent the
introduction of END into the United
States.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act
requires that agencies consider the
economic effects of their rules on small
entities. Given that the U.S. does not
currently import any poultry or poultry
products from Denmark, we anticipate
no U.S. entities, large or small, will
experience any economic effects as a
result of this rule.

Under these circumstances, the
Administrator of the Animal and Plant
Health Inspection and Service has
determined that this action will not
have a significant economic impact on
a substantial number of small entities.

Executive Order 12988
This rule has been reviewed under
Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice
Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State
and local laws and regulations that are
inconsistent with this rule; (2) has
retroactive effect to July 16, 2002; and
(3) does not require administrative
proceedings before parties may file suit
in court challenging this rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act
This interim rule contains no
information collection or recordkeeping
requirements under the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501
et seq.).

List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 94
Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock,
Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry
and poultry products, Reporting and
recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, we are amending 9 CFR
part 94 as follows:

PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-
MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOUL
PLAGUE), EXOTIC NEWCASTLE
DISEASE, AFRICAN SWINE FEVER,
HOG CHOLERA, AND BOVINE
SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY:
PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED
IMPORTATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 94
continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 450, 7711–7714, 7751,
7754, 8303, 8306, 8308, 8310, 8311, and
9701; 42 U.S.C. 4331 and 4332; 7 CFR
2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

§94.6 [Amended]
2. In §94.6, paragraph (a)(2) is
amended by removing the word
“Denmark,”.

Done in Washington, DC, this 16th day of
September, 2002.

Peter Fernandez,
Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant
Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 02–23940 Filed 9–19–02; 8:45 am]
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SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a
new airworthiness directive (AD) that
applies to all SOCATA—Groupe
AEROSPATIALE (Socata) Model TBM
700 airplanes. This AD requires you to
perform a test on the flight control
system and adjust the control roll stop
if jamming occurs during the test. This
AD is the result of mandatory
continuing airworthiness information
(MCAI) issued by the airworthiness
authority for France. The actions
specified by this AD are intended to
prevent the flight control wheels from
traveling beyond normal roll control
limits, which could result in the control
wheel becoming jammed. Such a
condition could lead to reduced or loss
of control of the airplane.

DATES: This AD becomes effective on
October 29, 2002.

The Director of the Federal Register
approved the incorporation by reference
of certain publications listed in the
regulations as of October 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may get the service
information referenced in this AD from
SOCATA Groupe AEROSPATIALE,
Customer Support, Aerodrome Tarbes—
Ossun-Lourdes, BP 930—F65009 Tarbes
Cedex, France; telephone: 011 33 5 62
41 73 00; facsimile: 011 33 5 62 41 76
54; or the Product Support Manager,
SOCATA—Groupe AEROSPATIALE,
North Perry Airport, 7501 Pembroke
Road, Pembroke Pines, Florida 33023;
telephone: (954) 893–1400; facsimile:
(954) 964–4141. You may view this
information at the Federal Aviation
Administration (FAA), Central Region,
Office of the Regional Counsel,
15–AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas
City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of
the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol
Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Karl Schlotzbauer, Aerospace Engineer,
FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901
Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri
64106;
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

What Events Have Caused This AD?

The Direction Generale de l’Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, recently notified FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Socata Model TBM 700 airplanes. The DGAC reports that, during a ground test of the flight control system on one of the affected airplanes, the pilot control wheel became jammed when performing dual actions (e.g., full up and full left) because the control wheel was turned beyond normal roll control travel limits. This was a result of a misadjustment of the roll control.

What Is the Potential Impact if FAA Took No Action?

If this condition is not corrected, it could result in the flight control wheels traveling beyond normal roll control limits, which could result in the control wheel becoming jammed. This could lead to reduced or loss of control of the airplane.

Has FAA Taken Any Action to This Point?

We issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to all Socata Model TBM 700 airplanes. This proposal was published in the Federal Register as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on July 12, 2002 (67 FR 46130). The NPRM proposed to require you to inspect the flight control system to determine if jamming occurs and adjust the roll control stop to correct this condition.

What Are the Differences Between This AD, the Service Information, and the DGAC AD?

The DGAC AD requires inspection and, if necessary, adjustment prior to further flight after the effective date of the AD. We require that you inspect and, if necessary, adjust within 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD.

We do not have justification to require this action prior to further flight. We use compliance times such as this when we have identified an urgent safety of flight situation. We believe that 100 hours TIS will give the owners or operators of the affected airplanes enough time to have the actions required by this AD accomplished without compromising the safety of the airplanes.

Was the Public Invited To Comment?

The FAA encouraged interested persons to participate in the making of this amendment. We did not receive any comments on the proposed rule or on our determination of the cost to the public.

FAA’s Determination

What Is FAA’s Final Determination on This Issue?

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, we have determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We have determined that these minor corrections:

—Provide the intent that was proposed in the NPRM for correcting the unsafe condition; and

—Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM.

Cost Impact

How Many Airplanes Does This AD Impact?

We estimate that this AD affects 133 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What is the Cost Impact of This AD on Owners/Operators of the Affected Airplanes?

We estimate the following costs to accomplish the inspection:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Total cost per airplane</th>
<th>Total cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 workhour × $60 per hour = $60</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$60 × 133 = $7,980.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary modification that will be required based on the results of the inspection. We have no way of determining the number of airplanes that may need such modification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Total cost per airplane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 workhours × $60 per hour = $180</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$180.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Impact

Does This AD Involve a Significant Rule or Regulatory Action?

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:
PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new AD to read as follows:


(a) What airplanes are affected by this AD?

This AD affects Model TBM 700 airplanes, all serial numbers, that are certificated in any category.

(b) Who must comply with this AD?

Anyone who wishes to operate any of the airplanes identified in paragraph (a) of this AD must comply with this AD.

(c) What problem does this AD address?

The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the flight control wheels from traveling beyond normal roll control limits, which could result in the control wheel becoming jammed. Such a condition could lead to reduced or loss of control of the airplane.

(d) What actions must I accomplish to address this problem?

To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Perform a test of the pilot and right-hand (RH) station control wheels to determine if either control wheel becomes jammed.</td>
<td>Within the next 100 hours time-in-service after October 29, 2002 (the effective date of this AD) and thereafter every time the flight control system undergoes maintenance.</td>
<td>In accordance with Socata TBM Aircraft Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 70–095 27, November 2001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Adjust the roll control stops if jamming occurs on either the pilot control wheel or the RH station control wheel during any test required in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD.</td>
<td>Prior to further flight after jamming is found during any test required by paragraph (d)(1) of this AD.</td>
<td>In accordance with Socata TBM Aircraft Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 70–095 27, dated November 2001.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Can I comply with this AD in any other way? You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Standards Office Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Standards Office Manager.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance? Contact Karl Schletzbaum, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4146; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

(g) What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD? The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD. No passengers are allowed for this flight.

(h) Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference? Actions required by this AD must be done in accordance with Socata TBM Aircraft Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 70–095 27, dated November 2001. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get copies from SOCATA Groupe AEROSPATIALE, Customer Support, Aerodrome Tarbes-Ossun-Lourdes, BP 930, 65133 Tarbes Cedex, France; telephone: 011 33 5 62 41 73 00; facsimile: 011 33 5 62 41 76 54; or the Product Support Manager, SOCATA Groupe AEROSPATIALE, North Perry Airport, 7501 Pembroke Road, Pembroke Pines, Florida 33023; telephone: (954) 893–1400; facsimile: (954) 964–4141. You may view copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in French AD 2001–582(A), dated November 28, 2001.

(i) When does this amendment become effective? This amendment becomes effective on October 29, 2002.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on September 6, 2002.

Michael Gallagher, Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–23513 Filed 9–19–02; 8:45 am]
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SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes emergency airworthiness directive (AD) 2002–17–53 that was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of Textron Lycoming LTIO–540 and TIO–540 series engines, rated at 300 horsepower (HP) or higher. That action requires, before further flight, replacing certain serial-numbered crankshafts that were hammer forged with crankshafts that were press forged. That AD was promted by reports of crankshaft failures in LTIO–540 and TIO–540 engines, rated at 300 HP or higher. This amendment expands the suspect population of engines to include engines with crankshafts that were manufactured between March 1997 and the present and all IO–540 engines with crankshafts that were manufactured between March 1997 and the present that have been modified by supplemental type certificate (STC) by installing a turbocharger system. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of the crankshaft, which could result in total engine power loss, in-flight engine failure and possible forced landing.


The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of September 20, 2002.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before November 19, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–NE–