

(10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), (8), (9)(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, September 19, 2002 will be:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;
Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature;
Formal orders of investigations; and
Regulatory matters regarding financial institutions.

The subject matter of the Open Meeting scheduled for Thursday, September 19, 2002 will be:

1. The Commission will consider whether to propose amendments to Forms N-1A, N-2, and N-3, and proposed Form N-CSR, that would require mutual funds and other registered management investment companies to disclose the policies and procedures that they use to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities. The proposed amendments would also require registered management investment companies to file with the Commission, and make available to shareholders, their proxy voting records.

2. The Commission will consider whether to propose new rule 206(4)-6 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 that would require registered investment advisers to adopt proxy voting policies and procedures, and to disclose to clients those policies and procedures and how clients can obtain information about how the adviser has voted their proxies. In addition, the Commission will consider an amendment to rule 204-2 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 that would require advisers to keep records of how they vote client proxies.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: The Office of the Secretary at (202) 942-7070.

Dated: September 12, 2002.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-23663 Filed 9-12-02; 4:00 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Economic Injury Disaster #9R32]

State of California

Tulare County and the contiguous counties of Fresno, Inyo, Kern and Kings Counties in the State of California constitute an economic injury disaster area as a result of a fire that began on July 21, 2002 and is currently still burning in Tulare County. Eligible small businesses and small agricultural cooperatives without credit available elsewhere may file applications for economic injury assistance for this disaster until the close of business on June 5, 2003 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations: Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 4 Office, P. O. Box 13795, Sacramento, CA 95853-4795.

The interest rate for eligible small businesses and small agricultural cooperatives is 3.5 percent.

The number assigned for economic injury for this disaster is 9R3200.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59002)

Dated: September 5, 2002.

Hector V. Barreto,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02-23408 Filed 9-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3439]

State of California

Santa Clara County and the contiguous counties of Alameda, San Mateo, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, San Benito, Santa Cruz and Merced in the State of California constitute a disaster area as a result of a fire that occurred on August 19, 2002 in building #7 of the Santana Row Development in San Jose, California. The fire destroyed the unoccupied residential floors of the Santana Row Development and surrounding homes and businesses. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on November 4, 2002 and for economic injury until the close of business on June 5, 2003 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations: Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 4 Office, P. O. Box 13795, Sacramento, CA 95853-4795.

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For Physical Damage:	

	Percent
Homeowners with credit available elsewhere	6.625
Homeowners without credit available elsewhere	3.312
Businesses with credit available elsewhere	7.000
Businesses and non-profit organizations without credit available elsewhere	3.500
Others (including non-profit organizations) with credit available elsewhere	6.375
For Economic Injury: Businesses and small agricultural cooperatives without credit available elsewhere	3.500

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 343905 and for economic damage is 9R3100.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: September 5, 2002.

Hector V. Barreto,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02-23409 Filed 9-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending September 6, 2002

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

Docket Number: OST-2002-13271.

Date Filed: September 3, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC3 0583 dated 6 September 2002, Mail Vote 233—Resolution 010a, TC3 Special Passenger Amending Resolution between China (excluding Hong Kong SAR and Macau SAR) and Thailand, Intended effective date: 20 September 2002.

Docket Number: OST-2002-13312.

Date Filed: September 6, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC COMP 0961 dated 10 September 2002, Mail Vote 234—Resolution 010b r1-r3, Special Passenger Amending Resolution—East Timor, Intended effective date: 15 September 2002.

Docket Number: OST-2002-13313.

Date Filed: September 6, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: CTC COMP 0420 dated 10 September 2002, Mail Vote 235—Resolution 010uu r1–r3, Special Cargo Amending Resolution—East Timor, Intended effective date: 15 September 2002.

Docket Number: OST–2002–13314.

Date Filed: September 6, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC COMP 0949 dated 2 August 2002, Composite Resolution r1–r18, PTC COMP 0953 dated 13 August 2002—technical correction, Minutes—PTC COMP 0960 dated 6 September 2002, Intended effective date: 1 April 2003.

Dorothy Y. Beard,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02–23477 Filed 9–13–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart B (Formerly Subpart Q) During the Week Ending September 6, 2002

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under subpart B (formerly subpart Q) of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 301.201 *et seq.*). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: OST–2002–13302.

Date Filed: September 5, 2002.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: September 26, 2002.

Description: Application of Jetsgo Corporation, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 41302 *et seq.*, and parts 211 and 302, subpart B, requesting a foreign air carrier permit authorizing it to engage in scheduled and charter foreign air transportation of persons, property and mail between a point or points in Canada and a point or points in the United States, pursuant to the Air Transport Services Agreement between

the United States of America and Canada.

Dorothy Y. Beard,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02–23474 Filed 9–13–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[USCG 2000–8568]

Revised Recertification Procedure for Alternative Voluntary Advisory Groups in Lieu of Councils, Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet, AK

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of policy.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard announces a change of policy on recertification procedures for alternative voluntary advisory groups in lieu of councils at Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet regions of Alaska. Under the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990, the Coast Guard must certify, on an annual basis, an alternative voluntary advisory group in lieu of a Regional Citizen's Advisory Council for Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet regions of Alaska. The new policy will require an applicant for recertification to provide the Coast Guard with comprehensive information every three years (triennially). For each of the two years between the triennial applications procedure, applicants need only submit a letter requesting recertification and describe any substantive changes to the information provided at the last triennial recertification.

DATES: This notice of policy is effective September 16, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The public docket for this notice is maintained by the 17th Coast Guard District. Comments and documents, as indicated in this notice, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection by appointment. Appointments can be made by calling the Chief of Planning and Response, 17th Coast Guard District at (907) 463–2804. Comments regarding this notice or the Regional Citizen's Advisory Council can be sent to Commander 17th Coast Guard District, Office of Response (MOR) P.O. Box 25517 Juneau, AK, 99802.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this notice, please call Commander Spencer Wood at (907) 463–2804. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, please call the Chief of Planning

and Response, 17th Coast Guard District at (907) 463–2804.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Purpose

As part of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, Congress passed the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2732) (the Act) to foster long-term partnership among industry, government, and local communities in overseeing compliance with environmental concerns in the operation of crude oil terminals and oil tankers.

Paragraph (o) of the Act permits an alternative voluntary advisory group to represent the communities and interests in the vicinity of the oil terminal facilities in Cook Inlet and Prince William Sound regions of Alaska in lieu of a Council of the type specified in 33 U.S.C. 2732(d), if certain conditions are met. The Act requires that each group enter into a contract to ensure annual funding and receive annual certification from the President that it fosters the general goals and purposes of the Act and is broadly representative of the community and interests in the vicinity of the terminal facilities. Accordingly, in 1991, the President granted certification to both the Cook Inlet Regional Citizen's Advisory Council (RCAC) and the Prince William Sound RCAC alternative voluntary advisory groups (advisory groups).

On October 18, 1991, the President delegated his authority under 33 U.S.C. 2732 (o) to the Secretary of Transportation in Executive Order 12777, section 8(g) (see 56 FR 54757; Oct. 22, 1991). On March 3, 1992, the Secretary redelegated that authority to the Commandant of the Coast Guard (see 57 FR 8582; March 11, 1992). The Commandant redelegated that authority to the Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection (G–M) on March 19, 1992 (letter #5402). The successor to that officer, the Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety and Environmental Protection (G–M) redelegated that authority to the Commander, Seventeenth U.S. Coast Guard District on February 26, 1999 (letter #16450).

The Coast Guard published guidelines on December 31, 1992 (57 FR 62600), to assist groups seeking recertification under the Act. We issued a policy statement on July 7, 1993 (58 FR 36504), to clarify the factors that we would be considering in making our determination as to whether advisory groups should be certified in accordance with the Act; and the procedures, which we would follow in meeting our