

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Dornier Luftfahrt GMBH: Docket 2002–NM–77–AD.

Applicability: Model 328–100 series airplanes, as listed in Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–24–391, dated September 11, 2001; and Model 328–300 series airplanes, as listed in Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328J–24–120, dated September 12, 2001; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent damage to the electrical wire harness, made up of wiring and a protective sleeve, which could result in electrical arcing and an increased potential for fire or explosion, accomplish the following:

Inspection

(a) Within 400 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, do a one-time general visual inspection to detect chafing damage to the electrical wire harness, made up of wiring and a protective sleeve, next to the fuel line at the left electric fuel pump; per Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–24–391, dated September 11, 2001 (for Model 328–100 series airplanes); or Service Bulletin SB–328J–24–120, dated September 12, 2001 (for Model 328–300 series airplanes); as applicable.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: “A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified.

A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked.”

No Chafing: Secure the Electrical Wire Harness

(b) If no chafing damage to the electrical wire harness, made up of wiring and a protective sleeve, is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, before further flight, secure the electrical wire harness to the fuel line using ty-rap, per Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–24–391, dated September 11, 2001 (for Model 328–100 series airplanes); or Service Bulletin SB–328J–24–120, dated September 12, 2001 (for Model 328–300 series airplanes); as applicable.

Chafing: Corrective Action(s) and Secure the Electrical Wire Harness

(c) If any chafing damage to the electrical wire harness, made up of wiring and a protective sleeve, is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, before further flight, do the action(s) specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD, as applicable, and paragraph (c)(3) of this AD, per Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–24–391, dated September 11, 2001 (for Model 328–100 series airplanes); or Service Bulletin SB–328J–24–120, dated September 12, 2001 (for Model 328–300 series airplanes); as applicable.

(1) For any damaged protective sleeve: Repair or replace the protective sleeve, per the applicable service bulletin.

(2) For any damaged wiring: Replace the electrical wire harness, made up of wiring and a protective sleeve, with a new electrical wire harness, per the applicable service bulletin.

(3) Secure the electrical wire harness, made up of wiring and a protective sleeve, to the fuel line using ty-rap, per the applicable service bulletin.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in German airworthiness directives 2002–049 and 2002–050, both dated March 7, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 5, 2002.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–23291 Filed 9–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97–ANE–44–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes to revise an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A series turbofan engines. That AD currently requires initial and repetitive torque checks for loose or broken front pylon mount bolts made from INCO 718 material and MP159 material. That AD also requires initial and repetitive visual inspections of the primary mount thrust load path. This proposal extends the cycles accumulated before performing the initial inspection, and reduces the frequency of repetitive inspections for MP159 material bolts, and adds a terminating action to the primary mount thrust load path inspections by introducing a new increased durability engine mount forward mount bearing housing. This proposed revision is prompted by component testing to assess the low cycle fatigue (LCF) life of the MP159 material bolts and the development of a new design forward engine mount bearing housing that meets the 8,000 flight cycle design intent for inspection. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent front pylon mount bolt and primary mount thrust load path failure, which could result in an engine separating from the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation

Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-ANE-44-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299. Comments may be inspected at this location, by appointment, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-ane-adcomment@faa.gov." Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565-8860; fax (860) 565-4503. This information may be examined, by appointment, at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tara Goodman, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7130; fax (781) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to

Docket Number 97-ANE-44-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-ANE-44-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299.

Discussion

On August 1, 2000, the FAA issued AD 2000-16-02, Amendment 39-11856 (65 FR 49730, August 15, 2000), applicable to Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A series turbofan engines. That AD requires initial and repetitive torque checks for loose or broken front pylon mount bolts made from INCO 718 material and MP159 material. That AD also requires initial and repetitive visual inspections of the primary mount thrust load path. That condition, if not corrected, could result in an engine separating from the airplane.

Since AD 2000-16-02 was issued, Pratt & Whitney has determined that the cycles accumulated before performing the initial inspections should be extended from 1,250 cycles to 4,100 cycles and that the 1,250 cycle maximum inspection interval specified in paragraph (c) of that AD should be increased to a 4,350 cycle maximum inspection interval for MP159 material bolts. In addition, Pratt & Whitney is introducing a new forward mount bearing housing (monoball housing) which constitutes terminating action for repetitive inspections. This improved housing will eliminate the 1,250 cycle maximum inspection interval of the forward engine mount primary thrust load path, presently being performed in accordance with Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) PW4G-100-A71-18, allowing the improved housing to achieve the 8,000 flight cycle inspection goal. This proposed revision is prompted by component testing that assessed the low cycle fatigue (LCF) life of MP159 material bolts, and the development of a new design forward engine mount bearing housing that meets the 8,000 flight cycle design intent for inspection. The FAA reviewed this analysis and agrees with it.

Manufacturer's Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved the technical contents of the following Pratt & Whitney alert service bulletins (ASB's) and service bulletin (SB):

- ASB PW4G-100-A71-9, Revision 1, dated November 24, 1997, that

describes the repetitive inspection procedures for the forward mount pylon bolts and adds a note to Part B of the Accomplishment Instructions.

- ASB PW4G-100-A71-20, Revision 1, dated January 15, 2002, that describes the repetitive inspection procedures for engines with the MP159 material forward mount pylon bolts, part number (P/N) 51U615, to verify the integrity of the bolts to identify a bolt-out condition. In addition, the 1,250 maximum cycle inspection interval is increased to 4,350 cycles maximum.

- ASB PW4G-100-A71-18, Revision 2, dated January 15, 2002, that describes the procedures for visually inspecting the primary mount thrust load path; and adds Pratt & Whitney ASB PW4G-100-A71-22, dated January 15, 2002, to the references section. ASB PW4G-100-71-18 also lists ASB PW4G-100-71-22, dated January 15, 2002, as an alternate method of compliance for the periodic inspection of the primary thrust load path components.

- SB PW4G-100-A71-22, dated January 15, 2002, that introduces a new increased durability forward mount bearing housing (monoball housing), P/N 52U420.

FAA's Determination of an Unsafe Condition and Proposed Actions

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A series turbofan engines of this same type design, the proposed AD would revise AD 2000-16-02 to:

- Require extension of the cycles accumulated for performing initial inspection.
- Require an extension of the frequency of performing repetitive inspections for MP159 material bolts.
- Add a terminating action to the primary mount thrust load path inspections by introducing a new increased durability engine mount forward mount bearing housing.

Economic Analysis

There are approximately 226 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 21 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD. The FAA also estimates that it would take approximately 3 work hours per engine to perform the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$19,000 per engine. Based on these figures, the total cost of the proposed AD to U.S. operators is estimated to be \$402,780.

Regulatory Analysis

This proposed rule does not have federalism implications, as defined in Executive Order 13132, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, the FAA has not consulted with state authorities prior to publication of this proposed rule.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39-11856 (65 FR 49730, August 15, 2000), and by adding a new airworthiness directive, to read as follows:

Pratt & Whitney: Docket No. 97-ANE-44-AD. Revises AD 2000-16-02, Amendment 39-11856.

Applicability

This airworthiness directive (AD) is applicable to Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A series turbofan engines, with front pylon mount bolts, part numbers (P/N's) 54T670 or 51U615, installed. These engines are installed on but not limited to Airbus Industrie A330 series airplanes.

Note 1: This AD applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance

Compliance with this AD is required as indicated, unless already done.

To prevent front pylon mount bolt and primary mount thrust load path failure, which could result in engine separation from the airplane, do the following:

INCO 718 Material Bolts Torque Checks

(a) Perform initial and repetitive torque checks of INCO 718 material front pylon mount bolts, P/N 54T670, and replace, if necessary, with new bolts, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of PW Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. PW4G-100-A71-9, Revision 1, dated November 24, 1997, as follows:

(1) For front pylon mount bolts, P/N 54T670, with fewer than 1,000 cycles-in-service-since-new (CSN) on the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following in accordance with Part (A) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the ASB:

(i) Perform an initial torque check prior to accumulating 1,250 CSN or at the next engine removal for cause, whichever occurs first.

(ii) Thereafter, perform torque checks at intervals of not less than 750 or greater than 1,250 cycles in service (CIS) since last torque check, not to exceed 11,000 CSN.

(2) For front pylon mount bolts, P/N 54T670, with 1,000 or more CSN but less than 5,750 CSN on the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following in accordance with Part (A) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the ASB:

(i) Perform an initial torque check within 250 CIS after the effective date of this AD, or at the next engine removal for any cause, whichever occurs first.

(ii) Thereafter, perform torque checks at intervals of not less than 750 or greater than 1,250 CIS since last torque check, not to exceed 11,000 CSN.

(3) For front pylon mount bolts, P/N 54T670, with 5,750 or more CSN on the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following in accordance with Part (B) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the ASB:

(i) Perform an initial torque check within 250 CIS after the effective date of this AD, or prior to the next engine removal for any cause, whichever occurs first.

(ii) Thereafter, perform torque checks at intervals of not less than 750 or greater than 1,250 CIS since last torque check, not to exceed 11,000 CSN.

(4) Prior to further flight, replace all four bolts in accordance with Part (A), Paragraph

1(D) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the ASB, if any of the bolts are loose or broken.

INCO 718 Material Bolts Life Limit

(b) This AD establishes a new life limit of 11,000 CSN for front pylon mount bolts, P/N 54T670. Except as provided in paragraph (e) of this AD, no front pylon mount bolts, P/N 54T670, may exceed this new life limit after the effective date of this AD.

MP159 Material Bolts Inspections

(c) Perform initial and repetitive torque inspections of front pylon mount bolts, P/N 51U615, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Pratt & Whitney ASB PW4G-100-A71-20, Revision 1, dated January 15, 2002, as follows:

(1) For front pylon mount bolts with less than 4,100 CSN on the effective date of this AD, perform the initial torque inspection at the earlier of the following:

(i) Before accumulating 4,350 CSN; or
(ii) The next engine removal for any cause.

(2) For front pylon mount bolts with 4,100 or more CSN on the effective date of this AD, perform the initial torque check at the earlier of the following:

(i) Within 250 CIS after the effective date of this AD; or

(ii) The next engine removal for any cause.

(3) Thereafter, perform torque inspections at intervals not to exceed 4,350 CIS since last torque inspection.

(4) Prior to further flight, replace all four bolts, in accordance with Paragraph 1 (D) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the ASB, if any are loose or broken.

Primary Mount Thrust Load Path Inspections

(d) Perform initial and repetitive visual inspections of the primary mount thrust load path, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of PW ASB PW4G-100-A71-18, Revision 2, dated January 15, 2002, as follows:

(1) For forward engine mount assemblies with fewer than 1,000 CSN on the effective date of this AD, perform the initial visual inspection at the earlier of the following:

(i) Before accumulating 1,250 CSN; or
(ii) The next engine removal for any cause.

(2) For forward engine mount assemblies with 1,000 or more CSN on the effective date of this AD, perform the initial visual inspection at the earlier of the following:

(i) Within 250 CIS after the effective date of this AD; or

(ii) The next engine removal for any cause.

(3) Thereafter, perform visual inspections at intervals of not less than 750 or greater than 1,250 CIS since last visual inspection.

(4) Prior to further flight, replace all cracked parts with serviceable parts and inspect the primary thrust load path components in accordance with Paragraph 4 of the accomplishment instructions of the SB.

Terminating Action

Replacement of the forward engine mount housing, part number, P/N 59T794 or P/N 54T659 with P/N 52U420 in accordance with Service Bulletin (SB) PW 4G-100-71-22, dated January 15, 2002, constitutes

terminating action to the inspection requirements of paragraph (d) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators must submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, ECO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

Special Flight Permits

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be done.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on September 5, 2002.

Jay J. Pardee,

*Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-23290 Filed 9-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-CE-27-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. MU-2B Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 88-23-01, which currently requires repetitively inspecting torque tube joints for cracks, and, if cracks are found, replacing the joints on all Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. (Mitsubishi) MU-2B Series airplanes. AD 88-23-01 resulted from field reports that fatigue cracks were found in the flap control system. A design change exists that could eliminate the need for the repetitive inspections. The proposed AD would require you to replace the existing joints with new improved-design joints as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent failures of the flap

control system due to the existing design torque tube joints. Such failure could lead to loss of control of the aircraft.

DATES: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive any comments on this proposed rule on or before October 21, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-CE-27-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. You may view any comments at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also send comments electronically to the following address: *9-ACE-7-Docket@faa.gov*. Comments sent electronically must contain "Docket No. 2002-CE-27-AD" in the subject line. If you send comments electronically as attached electronic files, the files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

You may get service information that applies to this proposed AD from Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc., 4951 Airport Parkway, Suite 800, Addison, Texas 75001; telephone: (972) 934-5480; facsimile: (972) 934-5488.

You may also view this information at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Direct all questions to:

- For the airplanes manufactured in Japan (Type Certificate A2PC): Carl Fountain, Aerospace Engineer, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone: (562) 627-5222; facsimile: (562) 627-5228; and
- For the airplanes manufactured in the United States (Type Certificate A10SW): Werner Koch, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Airplane Certification Office, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0150; telephone: (817) 222-5133; facsimile: (817) 222-5960.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

How Do I Comment on This Proposed AD?

The FAA invites comments on this proposed rule. You may submit whatever written data, views, or arguments you choose. You need to include the rule's docket number and submit your comments to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. We will consider all comments received on or before the closing date. We may amend this proposed rule in light of

comments received. Factual information that supports your ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of this proposed AD action and determining whether we need to take additional rulemaking action.

Are There Any Specific Portions of This Proposed AD I Should Pay Attention To?

The FAA specifically invites comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. You may view all comments we receive before and after the closing date of the rule in the Rules Docket. We will file a report in the Rules Docket that summarizes each contact we have with the public that concerns the substantive parts of this proposed AD.

How Can I Be Sure FAA Receives My Comment?

If you want FAA to acknowledge the receipt of your mailed comments, you must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard. On the postcard, write "Comments to Docket No. 2002-CE-27-AD." We will date stamp and mail the postcard back to you.

Discussion

Has FAA Taken Any Action to This Point?

Field reports indicating fatigue cracks were found in the joint of the torque tube assemblies that had been in service for more than 4,000 hours on Mitsubishi MU-2 Series airplanes caused us to issue AD 88-23-01, Amendment 39-6056. This AD requires the following on Mitsubishi MU-2B Series airplanes:

- Repetitively inspecting joints of the torque tube assembly for cracks; and
- Replacing joints if cracks are found.

What Has Happened Since AD 88-23-01 To Initiate This Action?

A recent accident investigation revealed that the improper reinstallation (following an AD 88-23-01 required repetitive inspection) of two cotter pins in the torque tube resulted in a disconnect in the flap drive train. This disconnect resulted in an asymmetrical flap deployment during a landing approach. The pilot lost control of the aircraft, resulting in destruction of the aircraft and death of the pilot.

Is There Service Information That Applies to This Subject?

Mitsubishi has issued:

- Service Bulletin No. 067/27-008A, Revision A, dated March 29, 1995; and